



American National Election Studies

**ANES TIME SERIES
CUMULATIVE DATA FILE
1948-2020**

VARIABLE CODEBOOK

September 16, 2022

Contents

GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
How to Cite	1
Sample Sizes	1
Current Release (September 16, 2022 Version)	3
List of Variables Updated in Current Release	3
VARIABLE DESCRIPTION	7

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The Cumulative Data File consists of variables derived from the 1948-2020 series of biennial (“time-series”) SRC/CPS National Election Studies. [NOTE: No ANES study was conducted in 1950; 1954 was a minor study although its data are included here.]

To produce this dataset, cross-section cases from the time-series election studies have been pooled; the total unweighted cross-section N is 68,224 [NOTE: non-cross-section cases from black supplements in 1964, 1968 and 1970 are not included in this file.]

A variable in the dataset almost always represents a question which has been asked in three or more (time-series) studies. This dataset incorporates for specific questions data from all time-series studies in which the question was asked in comparable fashion.

When using variables from this dataset, the analyst should keep the following in mind:

- Question wording has varied over the years. Where there are differences in question wording, we have tried to reference them in the documentation; completeness of this effort, however, cannot be guaranteed.
- Even if a question is worded identically in successive surveys, its placement in the survey instrument may be different, with unknown (and possibly large) effect.
- Variables have been recoded to be consistent over time. Questions are not necessarily coded the same way in this dataset as they are in the election study datasets from which they came.

We would appreciate being notified of any errors in the dataset or documentation by email to: anes@electionstudies.org

How to Cite

American National Election Studies. 2022. *ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File* [dataset and documentation]. September 16, 2022 version. www.electionstudies.org

* 1970 numbers exclude 73 non-eligible Rs in the original dataset's cross-section N. The Cumulative File excludes all non-eligible respondents from its cross-section. For descriptions of type 0, type 1, and type 2 variables in 1970, see weight vars VCF0009-VCF0011.

** Weighted cross-section Ns are represented in the Guide to Public Opinion and Electoral Behavior, which was produced using data from The Cumulative File. To reproduce the data appearing in the GPOEB, it is necessary to use appropriate weights (see VCF0009-VCF0011).

*** Weighting 1992 and later are post-stratified and centered to a mean of 1.

**** Combined sample (2012, 2016: face-to-face and internet; 2020: web, phone, and video)

Current Release (September 16, 2022 Version)

The current release (2022-09-16) of the Cumulative Data File contains 1030 variables. Sixty-nine variables have been updated to include data from the 2020 Time Series study. Three (VCF9056, VCF9057, and VCF9060) have been revised to include the full range (0-100) of feeling thermometer values with missing data being recoded to values greater than 900. This release also includes a full sample post-election weight variable (VCF9999), for study years and cases for which a post-election weight is available.

List of Variables Updated in Current Release

	Variable Name	Variable Label
1	VERSION	Version Number Of Release
2	VCF9999	Weight: post-election weight full sample
3	VCF0013	Completion - Post-election (flag)
4	VCF0014	Completion - Pre-election (flag)
5	VCF0018a	Language of Interview - Pre
6	VCF0018b	Language of Interview - Post
7	VCF0070a	Interviewer Gender - Pre
8	VCF0070b	Interviewer Gender - Post
9	VCF0072a	Interviewer Ethnicity - Pre

	Variable Name	Variable Label
10	VCF0072b	Interviewer Ethnicity - Post
11	VCF0127a	Household - Who Belongs to Union 8-category
12	VCF0127b	Household - Who Belongs to Union 4-category
13	VCF0302	Party Identification of Respondent- Initial Party ID Response
14	VCF0502a	Which Major Party is More Conservative 4-Category
15	VCF0705	Vote for President- Major Parties and Other
16	VCF0706	Vote and Nonvote- President
17	VCF0712	Timing of Respondent's Presidential Vote Decision
18	VCF0731	Respondent Discuss Politics with Family and Friends
19	VCF0733	How Often in the Last Week Respondent Discussed Politics
20	VCF0736	Vote for U.S. House- Party
21	VCF0748	Voted on Election Day or Before
22	VCF0824	If Compelled to Choose Liberal or Conservative
23	VCF0842	Environmental Regulation Scale
24	VCF0846	Is Religion Important to Respondent
25	VCF0849	Liberal-Conservative Position 1984- COLLAPSED
26	VCF0878	Should Gays/Lesbians Be Able to Adopt Children
27	VCF0900	Congressional District of Residence
28	VCF0901b	State Postal Abbrev
29	VCF0902	Type of U.S. House Race
30	VCF0903	Is House Incumbent Running
31	VCF0904	Is House Incumbent Opposed
32	VCF0905	Number of Candidates in U.S. House Race
33	VCF1015	Number of Days Pre-Election IW Conducted Before Election
34	VCF1016	Number of Days Post-Election IW Conducted After Election
35	VCF9001	Length of Residence in Community
36	VCF9002	Length of Residence in Home
37	VCF9008	Which Party Would Best Handle Pollution and Protecting Environment
38	VCF9022	Voter Strength of Preference- Presidential Cand
39	VCF9023	Nonvoter Preference- Presidential Cand
40	VCF9025	Vote for Governor- Party

	Variable Name	Variable Label
41	VCF9027	Vote in Previous Presidential Election - Party
42	VCF9031	Contacted By Anyone Other than Parties
43	VCF9054	Senate Race in State
44	VCF9055	Type of U.S. Senate Race
45	VCF9056	Thermometer - Senate Democratic Candidate
46	VCF9057	Thermometer - Senate Republican Candidate
47	VCF9060	Thermometer - Senator in State with Senate Race
48	VCF9069	Strength Approve/Disapprove Running U.S. House Incumbent
49	VCF9205	Which party would do a better job handling the nation's economy
50	VCF9217	Does R approve or disapprove of the way current U.S. President is handling foreign relations
51	VCF9218	Does R approve or disapprove of the way current U.S. President is handling health care
52	VCF9221	CSES: How good or bad a job has the government done in general over the last term of the current administration
53	VCF9224	Is R invested in the stock market
54	VCF9225	Has unemployment in the country gotten better, stayed the same, or gotten worse in the past year
55	VCF9226	Much or somewhat that the level of unemployment has gotten better/worse
56	VCF9227	Does R think the difference in incomes between rich and poor in the U.S. is larger, smaller, or about the same as 20 years ago
57	VCF9228	Much or somewhat larger/smaller gap in incomes between rich and poor in the U.S. than 20 years ago
58	VCF9229	Will unemployment get better, stay the same, or get worse in the next 12 months
59	VCF9231	Does R favor or oppose placing limits on imports to protect American jobs (or haven't you thought much about this)
60	VCF9234	Abortion issue placement for Democratic Presidential candidate
61	VCF9235	Abortion issue placement for Republican Presidential candidate
62	VCF9237	R strongly or not strongly favor/oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder
63	VCF9238	Should the government make it more difficult or easier to buy a gun, or should the rules stay the same as they are now
64	VCF9239	How important is gun control issue to R

	Variable Name	Variable Label
65	VCF9240	CSES: Left-right (11pt) scale placement for R
66	VCF9241	CSES: Left-right (11pt) scale placement for Democratic party
67	VCF9242	CSES: Left-right (11pt) scale placement for Republican party
68	VCF9243	Would R call self a born-again Christian /consider self a born-again Christian / had the deep religious experience described as being born again
69	VCF9244	How often can R trust people
70	VCF9250	CSES: Who votes for makes a big diffence - (5pt) scale placement for R
71	VCF9265	PRE-ELECTION: Did R vote in the state Presidential primary election or caucus
72	VCF9266	(HISPANIC Rs) For information about politics does R get the most information from Spanish or English language television, radio, and newspapers
73	VCF9275	In American politics, do blacks have too much, about the right amount of, or too little influence
74	VCF9282	Is R living with family members

VARIABLE DESCRIPTION

VERSION VERSION NUMBER OF RELEASE

Question	Version of Cumulative Data File
----------	---------------------------------

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This character variable appears in the data as: ANES_cdf_VERSION:YYYY-mmm-DD where mmm is standard 3-character month abbreviation (Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec).
-------	--

VCF0004 YEAR OF STUDY

Question	Year of study (4-digit)
----------	-------------------------

VCF0006 STUDY RESPONDENT NUMBER: YEAR-LEVEL CASE ID

Question	Case identification number
----------	----------------------------

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: In Pre-Post studies, this is the Pre case ID. 2016 NOTE: This is the revised 2016 case id number.
-------	--

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
--------	----------------------------

Sources	1948: V480002 1952: V520002 1956: V560002 1958: V580002 1960: V600002 1962: V620002 1964: V640002 1966: V660002 1968: V680002 1970: V700002(type 0) 1972: V720002 1974: V742002 1976: V763002 1978: V780002 1980: V800004 1982: V820004 1984: V840004 1986: V860004 1988: V880004 1990: V900004 1992: V923004 1994: V940001 1996: V960001 1998: V980001 2000: V000001
---------	---

2002: V020001
 2004: V040001
 2008: V080001
 2012: caseid
 2016: V160001
 2020: V200001

VCF0006a UNIQUE RESPONDENT NUMBER (CROSS-YEAR ID FOR PANEL CASES)

Question	Unique identification number
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: For non-panel cases this corresponds to 4-digit year of study followed by 4-digit case id from the study as found in VCF0006. For panel cases, this corresponds to 4-digit year of first year of time-series participation followed by 4-digit case id from first participation study. For example, 1958 ANES panel cases (first interviewed in the 1956 anes) are numbered 1956xxxx where xxxx corresponds to the case ID the respondent had been assigned previously in the 1956 study; VCF0006, by contrast, includes the respondent's 1958 ANES case ID. Studies including panel cases as part of the overall study cross-section are flagged in VCF00016. 1958 NOTE: In the 1958 anes time-series study, V580007 and V580008 flags whether 1958 respondents were previously interviewed in 1956. However, some respondents flagged in these variables had been interviewed in 1956 not as part of the 1956 anes study's cross-section sample, but as part of a sample component intended for a separate 'panel' (non-cross-section) file. Thus the actual count of cases from the 1958 study having 1956 unique IDs in VCF0006a (as 1956xxxx, where xxxx is the 1956 ANES cross-section case ID) does not correspond with the count of cases flagged in V580007 and V580008 for previous participation in 1956. 1960 NOTE: see 1958 NOTE; cases flagged in the 1960 ANES study for participation prior to 1960 may have participated outside of the time-series cross-section(s) in the year(s) of prior participation and may not correspond to the count of cases found here for initial time-series participation.</p>

VCF0009x WEIGHT: FOR 1970 TYPE 0 - 2012,2016 FTF SAMPLE

Question	CDF Weight: 1970 type 0 - 2012 FTF sample
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE</p>
Sources	<p>1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940007a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101</p>

2012: weight_fff
2016: V160101f

VCF0009y WEIGHT: FOR 1970 TYPE 0 - 2012,2016 WEB SAMPLE

Question	CDF Weight: 1970 type 0 - 2012 Web sample
----------	---

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE
--------------	--

Sources	1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940007a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_web 2016: V160101w
----------------	--

VCF0009z WEIGHT: FOR 1970 TYPE 0 - 2012,2016, 2020 FULL SAMPLE

Question	CDF Weight: 1970 type 0 - 2012,2016,2020 full sample
----------	--

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE
--------------	--

Sources	1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940006a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_full 2016: V160101 2020: V200010a
----------------	--

VCF0010x WEIGHT: FOR 1970 TYPE 1 - 2012,2016 FTF SAMPLE

Question	CDF Weight: 1970 type 1 - 2012 FTF sample
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE
Sources	1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700012 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940006a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_ftf 2016: V160101f

VCF0010y WEIGHT: FOR 1970 TYPE 1 - 2012,2016 WEB SAMPLE

Question	CDF Weight: 1970 type 1 - 2012 Web sample
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE
Sources	1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700012 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940006a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_web 2016: V160101w

VCF0010z WEIGHT: FOR 1970 TYPE 1 - 2012,2016 FULL SAMPLE

Question	CDF Weight: 1970 type 1 - 2012,2016 full sample
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE
Sources	1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700012 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940006a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002

2000: V000002
 2002: V020101
 2004: V040101
 2008: V080101
 2012: weight_full
 2016: V160101

VCF0011x WEIGHT: FOR 1970 TYPE 2 - 2012,2016 FTF SAMPLE

Question	CDF Weight: 1970 type 2 - 2012 FTF sample
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE
Sources	1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700013 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940006a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_ftf 2016: V160101f

VCF0011y WEIGHT: FOR 1970 TYPE 2 - 2012,2016 WEB SAMPLE

Question	CDF Weight: 1970 type 2 - 2012 Web sample
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE
Sources	1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700013 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940006a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_web 2016: V160101w

VCF0011z WEIGHT: FOR 1970 TYPE 2 - 2012,2016 FULL SAMPLE

Question	CDF Weight: 1970 type 2 - 2012,2016 full sample
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE
Sources	1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700013 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940006a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_full 2016: V160101

VCF9999 WEIGHT: POST-ELECTION WEIGHT FULL SAMPLE

Notes	Additional documentation will be forthcoming.
Sources	1996: V960005B 2000: V000002a 2002: V020102 2004: V040102 2008: V080102 2016: V160102 2020: V200010b

VCF0012 FORM FILTER FOR PAPER QUESTIONNAIRES

Question	Form or interview type of paper questionnaire
Valid	1. Type 1 (1970 N=758) /Form I (1972 N=1372) /Form A (1986 N=1086;1988 N=1006;1990 N=1007;1994 N=500) 2. Type 2 (1970 N=749) /Form II (1972 N=1333) /Form B (1986 N=1090;1988 N=1034;1990 N=993;1994 N=497)
Missing	9. NA INAP. no form or type of IW; not a paper questionnaire
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0012A, VCF0012B. This describes paper questionnaires (1948-1994). 1972 NOTE 1: 57 Rs who were Pre Form I and 37 Rs who were Pre Form II were sent post mail questionnaires that contained most, but not all, of Form I/ Form II Post personal questions. Rs who were Form I in the Pre but administered Post mail questionnaires were designated 'FORM III' in the Post, while Rs who were Form II in the Pre but who completed mail

Sources	1952: V520003 1960: V600016 1964: V640276 1968: V680005 1972: V720438 1976: V763004 1980: V800003 1984: V840061 1988: V880048 1992: V923010 1996: V960010 2000: V000003 2002: V021002 2004: V041001 2008: V081001 2012: wave_completions 2016: V160502 2020: V200004
----------------	---

VCF0014 COMPLETION - PRE-ELECTION (FLAG)

Question	Pre-election interview data present
Valid	0. No Pre-election interview data present 1. Pre-election interview data present
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: This describes the presence of pre-election data in ANES pre-post time-series studies: studies conducted in years of presidential elections and in 2002 were pre-post studies. Only in 1948, 1952 and 1960 cases were any cases conducted with a Post-election interview but no Pre-election interview. In non-presidential years except 1954 and 2002, ANES conducted a Post-election interview only, and all non-presidential years except 1954 and 2002 have been coded 0.* 1954**, 2002 and presidential years other than 1948, 1952 and 1960 have been coded 1.</p> <p>1948 NOTE: 1 case code 9 NA in the original survey data was examined and identified as Post-only; this case has been coded 0.</p> <p>1954 NOTE: * The 1954 study was a minor study conducted in October of 1954 and is coded 1. The 1954 study was comprised of only 27 variables and is represented in the Cumulative Data File in: VCF0104-VCF0106, VCF0110, VCF0112-VCF0114, VCF0127, VCF0128, VCF0140, VCF0301-VCF0305, VCF0701, VCF9027.</p>
Sources	1948: V480009 1952: V520003 1960: V600016 1988: V880856 2016: V160502 2020: V200002

VCF0015a ABBREVIATED INTERVIEW - PRE

Question	Abbreviated interview form - Pre
Valid	0. Pre IW not abbreviated [1992:'Long' form Pre] 1. Abbreviated pre IW

	<p>[1980 N=44: CA29, TX03 ; 1992 short-form Pre N=150; 1996 VQ date 09/25/96 or later N=1074; 2000 VQ date 09/28/00 or later N=999]</p> <p>2. Spanish Pre</p> <p>[1992 N=22; a translation of the 1992 Pre short form questionnaire except for demographic sections, which were slightly more complete in the Spanish Pre form]</p>
Missing	INAP. Inap. post-election study; no pre IW (1948,1952,1960)
Notes	<p>1980 NOTE: Abbreviated Pre interviews were administered as replacements for discovered 'fake' interviews.</p> <p>1992 NOTE: In addition to 'long-form' or full personal mode questionnaires, short-form telephone questionnaires were prepared in both the Pre and Post waves for out-of-range panel cases. Please see the full 1992 ANES documentation for a complete description. NOTE that, contrary to intentions, use of the short-form questionnaire was not limited to telephone mode, nor was long-form use confined to personal mode. [In a few cases the shorter interview was administered to 'fresh' cross-section respondents-- 4 cases Pre, 4 cases Post].</p> <p>1996 NOTE: The Pre 'VQ file' or CAPI application was revised several times while in the field. Beginning with the instrument with revision date 9/25/96, some questions (most of the items related to 1996 candidate Ross Perot) were dropped from the Pre.</p> <p>2000 NOTE: In the Pre, Buchanan traits and affects were dropped beginning with the 09/28/00 VQ.</p>
Sources	<p>1980: V800048</p> <p>1992: V923011</p> <p>1996: V960018</p> <p>2000: V000012</p>

VCF0015b ABBREVIATED INTERVIEW - POST

Question	Abbreviated interview form - Post
Valid	<p>0. Post IW is not abbreviated [1952: extended form B re-interview; 1984: personal IW; 1992: 'long' form Post incl. Spanish Post]</p> <p>1. Abbreviated Post IW [1952 N=585: not the extended re-interview with 29 additional questions; 1968 mail questionnaire N=36; 1972 Form III mail questionnaire N=57; 1984 telephone questionnaire N=966 ; 1992 short-form N=190 ; 1996 training VQ N=39]</p> <p>2. Additional Abbreviated Post IWs [1972 Form IV mail questionnaire N=37; 1984 abbreviated telephone questionnaire N=45]</p>
Missing	INAP. Inap. no post IW
Notes	<p>1952 NOTE: In 1952 some respondents were sent an extended version of the post questionnaire ('form B'); cases not administered this extended Post re-interview are coded 1.</p> <p>1968 NOTE: In the 1968 post, some respondents were sent mail questionnaires which contained fewer questions than in the full face-to-face questionnaire.</p> <p>1972 NOTE: In the 1972 post, some respondents were sent mail questionnaires which contained fewer questions than in the full face-to-face questionnaire: respondents who were Form I in the Pre (see VCF0012) and who were</p>

VCF0019 R RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HH 1952-1986

Question	R's relationship to head
Valid	1. R is head of household 2. R is spouse of head of household 3. R is neither head of household nor spouse of head
Missing	9. NA 0. No Pre IW (1952,1960) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The concept of 'Head of Household' was used 1948-1986 (identification of head was not coded 1948 or 1954).
Sources	1952: V520009 1956: V560174 1958: V580173 1960: V600121 1964: V640185 1966: V660238 1968: V680265 1970: V700392(type 0) 1972: V720426 1974: V742426 1976: V763514 1978: V780633 1980: V800723 1982: V820765 1984: V840710 1986: V860758

VCF0050a RESPONDENT LEVEL OF POLITICAL INFO - PRE

Question	PRE INTERVIEWER OBSERVATION: Respondent's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:
Valid	1. Very high 2. Fairly high 3. Average 4. Fairly low 5. Very low
Missing	9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.
Sources	1968: V680269 1972: V720429 1976: V763517 1980: V800726 1984: V840713 1988: V880555 1992: V924205 1996: V960070 2000: V001033 2002: V023155 2004: V043403 2008: V083303 2012: iwrobspre_levinfos 2016: V168016

VCF0050b RESPONDENT LEVEL OF POLITICAL INFO - POST

Question	POST INTERVIEWER OBSERVATION: Respondent's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:
Valid	1. Very high 2. Fairly high 3. Average 4. Fairly low 5. Very low
Missing	9. NA 0. no Post IW; abbrev. Post IW (1984); web mode (2012,2016) INAP. question not used
Notes	1972 NOTE: no Post version of this variable was available. 1976 NOTE: same as 1972. 1988 NOTE: same as 1972. 2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.
Sources	1966: V660242 1968: V680531 1970: V700396(type 0) 1974: V742558 1978: V780636 1980: V801186 1982: V820768 1984: V841112 1986: V860761 1990: V900688 1992: V926250 1994: V941438 1996: V960940 1998: V980676 2000: V001745 2002: V025192 2004: V045303 2008: V085403 2012: iwrobspost_levinfo 2016: V168112

VCF0070a INTERVIEWER GENDER - PRE

Question	Pre interviewer gender
Valid	1. Male 2. Female
Missing	9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.
Sources	1988: V880037 1992: V923089 1996: V960090 2000: V000072 2002: V022025 2004: V042103 2008: V082252

2012: iwrdesc_pre_gender
 2016: V168257
 2020: V203403

VCF0070b INTERVIEWER GENDER - POST

Question	Post interviewer gender
Valid	1. Male 2. Female
Missing	9. NA 0. no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	1988 NOTE: Post data are not available. 2000 NOTE: Gender of post Interviewer assigned to R is coded for all cases, including cases without post interview. 2004 NOTE: same as 2000. 2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1990: V900049 1992: V925042 1994: V940101 1996: V960955 1998: V980058 2000: V000187 2002: V024025 2004: V044103 2008: V084252 2012: iwrdesc_post_gender 2016: V168307 2020: V203413

VCF0071a INTERVIEWER RACE FULL - PRE

Question	Pre interviewer race - full
Valid	1. White 2. Black 3. Native American 4. Asian 7. Other
Missing	9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: For reasons of confidentiality, data are not available for the full set of race codes after 2002 [see VCF0071c]. 1988 NOTE: Categories 'Asian' and 'Other' were not used.
Sources	

Missing	9. NA; Web mode INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Code 7 Other not used until 1992. 2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.
Sources	1988: V880034 1992: V923086 1996: V960093 2000: V000075 2002: V022027a 2008: V082255 2012: iwrdesc_pre_hisp 2016: V168261 2020: V203402

VCF0072b INTERVIEWER ETHNICITY - POST

Question	Post interviewer ethnicity
Valid	1. Non-Hispanic 2. Hispanic 7. Other
Missing	9. NA; Web mode 0. no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Code 7 Other not used until 1992. 2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1990: V900046 1992: V925039 1994: V940104 1996: V960958 1998: V980061 2000: V000190 2002: V024027a 2008: V084255 2012: iwrdesc_post_hisp 2016: V168311 2020: V203412

VCF0101 RESPONDENT - AGE

Question	1964-1976: What is your date of birth? 1978-1982: What is the month and year of your birth? 1984-LATER: What is the month, day and year of your birth?
Valid	17-96. Age as coded (1992: 91=91 or older; 2012,2016: 90=90 or older, 2020:80=80 or older) 97. 97 years old (1952, 1974, 1996 and later: or older) 98. 98 years old (1958-1962, 1966, 1968: or older) 99. 99 years old (1976-1990,1994,2002: or older)

Missing	00. NA; DK; RF; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Prior to 1964 this appeared as a coded staff summary (not as a survey question). Except for 1968, in Pre-Post studies this variable is pre-election. Beginning in 1964, age variables in ANES Study datasets were created by computing R's age from his/her given date of birth (beginning in 1988, when birthdate was not available, age as given for household listing by R/informant was used instead). The age calculation used in these Study datasets determined age at date of interview in 1966, 1968, 1970, 1972 and 1980-1994; it represented age on November 1 of the year of interview in 1976 and 1978. In 1974, age on election day of the year of interview was calculated. For 1964, no documentation is extant describing exact calculation made. Prior to 1964, instead of age calculated using R's birthdate, age as given by R/informant (this information may have come from the coversheet) is represented.</p> <p>1948 NOTE: Age var was in the form of age groups and could not be included (see VCF0102).</p> <p>1954 NOTE: same as 1948.</p> <p>1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated into the (pre) age var listed.</p> <p>1992 NOTE: Birthdate was not asked in short-form interviews: for panel 'short-form' cases, 2 years were added to the age that appeared in the 1990 study; for short-form 'fresh' cross-section cases, age was pulled from the coversheet.</p> <p>2002 NOTE: For panel cases, 2 years were added to the age (if determined) that appeared in the 2000 study.</p> <p>2008 NOTE: Age was calculated from year of birth.</p> <p>2016 NOTE: Age was calculated from year of birth.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520142 1956: V560295 1958: V580174 1960: V600122 1962: V620009 1964: V640187 1966: V660192 1968: V680533 1970: V700398(type 0) 1972: V720294 1974: V742406 1976: V763369 1978: V780504 1980: V800408 1982: V820535 1984: V840429 1986: V860595 1988: V880417 1990: V900552 1992: V923903 1994: V941203 1996: V960605 1998: V980572 2000: V000908 2002: V023126x 2004: V043250 2008: V083215x 2012: dem_age_r_x 2016: V161267 2020: V201507x

VCF0102 RESPONDENT - AGE GROUP

Question	1964-1976: What is your date of birth? 1978-1982: What is the month and year of your birth? 1984-LATER: What is the month, day and year of your birth?
Valid	1. 17 - 24 2. 25 - 34 3. 35 - 44 4. 45 - 54 5. 55 - 64 6. 65 - 74 7. 75 - 99 and over (except 1954)
Missing	0. NA; DK; RF; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0101 (except 1948,1954). See notes VCF0101. 1948 NOTE: Code 6 from 1948 includes respondents 65 years and older (no code 7). 1954 NOTE: same NOTE as 1948
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1948: V480047 1952: V520142 2016: V161267 2020: V201507x

VCF0103 RESPONDENT - COHORT

Question	1964-1976: What is your date of birth? 1978-1982: What is the month and year of your birth? 1984-LATER: What is the month, day and year of your birth?
Valid	1. 1991 - present 2. 1975 - 1990 3. 1959 - 1974 4. 1943 - 1958 5. 1927 - 1942 6. 1911 - 1926 7. 1895 - 1910 8. Before 1895
Missing	0. NA; DK; RF; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0101 (except 1954) or from birth year when available. See NOTES VCF0101. 1948 NOTE: The 1948 ANES age variable was present as age groups which do not allow simple recoding. An estimate was made to fit those codes into the cohort categories. The original age categories were: 1948: 1.18-24; 2.25-34; 3.35-44; 4.45-54; 5.55-64; 6.65 and over. The 1948 recode used was: 1=4, 2=5, 3-4=6, 5-6=7. 1954 NOTE: The 1954 ANES age variable was present as age groups which do not allow simple recoding. An estimate was made to fit those codes into the cohort categories. The original age categories were: 1954: 1.21-24; 2.25-34; 3.35-44; 4.45-54; 5.55-64; 6.over 65. The 1954 recode used was: 1=4, 2-3=5, 4-5=6, 6=7.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	2016: V161267 2020: V201506
----------------	--------------------------------

VCF0104 RESPONDENT - GENDER

Question	Respondent gender
Valid	1. Male 2. Female 3. Other (2016)
Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: For pre-post studies, when a gender variable was present in both the pre and post, the pre variable is represented here. Coded by interviewer.</p> <p>1960 NOTE: 1960 pre variable 118 incorporated data from post gender variable V600248 to establish the gender of 22 no-pre cases.</p> <p>2016 NOTE: In 2016, for the first time gender of respondent was self-description by the respondent, and category Other was introduced.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1948: V480045 1952: V520128 1956: V560171 1958: V580170 1960: V600118 1962: V620098 1964: V640182 1966: V660236 1968: V680263 1970: V700390(type 0) 1972: V720424 1974: V742553 1976: V763512 1978: V780630 1980: V800720 1982: V820762 1984: V840707 1986: V860755 1988: V880413 1990: V900547 1992: V924201 1994: V941434 1996: V960066 1998: V980672 2000: V001029 2002: V023153 2004: V041109a 2008: V081101 2012: gender_respondent_x 2016: V161342 2020: V201600

VCF0116 RESPONDENT - WORK STATUS 7-CATEGORY

Question	<p>1972-1978: (1972: We'd like to know if you are looking for work, working now) (1974-1978: We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you unemployed,) retired, (a housewife) a (student), or what? (IF HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (IF R IS HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT AND R IS WORKING FOR PAY:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? 1980 AND LATER EXC. 2002 FRESH CROSS: We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, (a homemaker), (a student), or what? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (RETIRED 1980,1982, 1988 AND LATER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (DISABLED 1982,1988 AND LATER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? (RETIRED AND ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1980:) In an average week do you work 20 or more hours on that job? (RETIRED OR DISABLED, AND R ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1982,1988 AND LATER:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? (RETIRED OR DISABLED AND R VOLUNTEERED WORKING FOR PAY 1984,1986:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? 2002 FRESH CROSS: We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you unemployed, retired, a homemaker, (a student), or what? (MULTIPLE RESPONSES)</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Working now2. Temporarily laid off4. Unemployed5. Retired6. Permanently disabled7. Homemaker8. Student
Missing	<p>9. RF, NA, DK INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix NOTE 'WORK STATUS'. See also VCF0118,VCF0150. For all years except 2002, this is occupation status after the respondent has first self-identified in one or more occupation categories and then answered other employment questions related to work history, number of work hours etc.; for these years, it may be that coding here differs from R's initial self-identification(s). 2002 NOTE: 2000 data have been incorporated for panel cases.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1972: V720306 1974: V742443 1976: V763409 1978: V780531 1980: V800515 1982: V820610 1984: V840457 1986: V860615 1988: V880429 1990: V900566 1992: V923915 1994: V941216 1996: V960676 1998: V980579a 2000: V000920 2002: V023132x 2004: V043260b 2008: V083222a 2012: dem_empstatus_1digitfin_x 2016: V161276x 2020: V201534x</p>

1978 NOTE:
 See 1976 note.
 1980 NOTE:
 See 1976 note.
 1982 NOTE:
 See 1976 note.
 1984 NOTE:
 Score (4-digit code, see APPENDIX '1984 DUNCAN S.E.I.') is based on 1980 U.S. Census occupation codes; distributions are not comparable to earlier years (based on 1970 Census). Scores have 1 implied decimal point.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763435,V763446 1978: V780557,V780569 1980: V800581,V800594 1982: V820614,V820629,V820642,V820656 1984: V841138

VCF0122 RESPONDENT - PRESTIGE SCORE NORC RANK 1976-1982

Question	NORC Prestige score for R
Missing	<p>998. R is member of armed forces or former member; R's occupation has no NORC code (2 categories: carpenter's helpers, or fishermen and oystermen)</p> <p>000. R has never worked (unemployed or disabled)- all years; R is nonworking student or housewif e-all years; R is housewife or student working less than 20 hrs per week (1976,1978)</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See PRESTIGE SCORE appendices.</p> <p>1976 NOTE: Score (3-digit code, see APPENDIX 'DUNCAN S.E.I. AND NORC PRESTIGE SCALES 1976-1982') based on 1970 U.S. Census occupation codes. Scores have 1 implied decimal point, i.e., code 578 represents a score of 57.8.</p> <p>1978 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1980 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1982 NOTE: See 1976 note.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763414,V763426 1978: V780536,V780548 1980: V800505,V800518 1982: V820559,V820574,V820587,V820601

VCF0123 HEAD OF HH - PRESTIGE SCORE NORC RANK 1976-1982

Question	NORC Prestige score for Head
Missing	<p>998. Head is member of armed forces or former member; Head's occupation has no NORC code (2 categories: carpenter's helpers, and fishermen and oystermen)</p> <p>999. NA if Head is working now/has ever worked; Head's occupation NA</p>

etc., based upon parents' foreign origins according to information obtained in questions about places of mother's and father's birth (VCF0144,VCF0145).
 1960 NOTE:
 Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520144 1956: V560188 1958: V580226 1960: V600182 1964: V640261 1966: V660230

VCF0134 RESPONDENT - WHERE GREW UP: FARM 1952-1994,2000

Question	<p>1952: Were you brought up mostly in the country, in a small town, or in a large city? 1956-1970: Were you brought up mostly on a farm, in a town, in a small city, or in a large city? 1972-1976: Were you brought up mostly in the country, in a town, in a small city, or in a large city? 1978-1982: Looking at this list, please tell me, were you brought up mostly in the country, in a town, in a small city, or in a large city? 1984-LATER: Looking at this list, please tell me, where were you mostly brought up?</p>
Valid	<p>1. Farm/country (including 'in the country, not on a farm' in 1978-1992) 2. Not farm/country</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK 9. NA; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0135-VCF0137. From 1978 on, this question was administered with a 'show card' that contained categories (corresponding to codes in VCF0137) from which the respondent could choose. Prior to 1978, the choices available to the respondent were those present in the question text. Code 1 includes 'in the country' 1952,1972-1976, 'on a farm' 1956-1970, and both 'farm' and 'in the country, not on a farm' 1978-1992. 1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1952: V520145 1956: V560189 1958: V580228 1960: V600184 1964: V640263 1966: V660232 1968: V680259 1970: V700381(type 0) 1972: V720418 1974: V742540 1976: V763500 1978: V780623 1980: V800710 1982: V820752 1984: V840699</p>

1986: V860749
 1988: V880547
 1990: V900680
 1992: V924129
 1994: V941422
 2000: V001019

VCF0135 RESPONDENT - WHERE GREW UP: LARGE CITY 1952-1994,2000

Question	Type community where R grew up (2)
Valid	1. In a large city (in 1978-1992 this includes categories 5 and 7 from VCF0137) 2. Not in a large city (in 1978-1992 this includes all categories exc. 5 and 7 from VCF0137)
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See question text and wording VCF0134. See also VCF136,VCF0137.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560189 1958: V580228 1960: V600184 1964: V640263 1966: V660232 1968: V680259 1970: V700381(type 0) 1972: V720418 1974: V740254 1976: V763500 1978: V780623 1980: V800710 1982: V820752 1984: V840699 1986: V860749 1988: V880547 1990: V900680 1992: V924129 1994: V941422 2000: V001019

VCF0136 RESPONDENT - WHERE GREW UP: URBANISM 1956-1976

Question	Type community where R grew up (3)
Valid	1. Farm, rural, 'country' 2. Town, 'small town' 3. City, not a large city 4. Large city 5. Other; mixture of communities; 'suburb'
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See question text and wording VCF0134. See also VCF0135,VCF0137. In 1956-1964, the named community was coded according to its approximate population at the time R was growing up. A community may thus have been coded for the study into a size category different from the size description chosen by R in his/her answer. 'City' categories by population during these years were (in general): under 10,000; 10,000-50,000; 100,000-250,000; 250,000+. For the codes here, the 250,000+ category was placed into 'large city', while lesser-population cities were included in code 3. In 1966-1976, R's own perception of size of community was used.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560189 1958: V580228 1960: V600184 1964: V640263 1966: V660232 1968: V680259 1970: V700381(type 0) 1972: V720418 1974: V742540 1976: V763500

VCF0137 RESPONDENT - WHERE GREW UP: URBANISM 1978-2000

Question	Type community where R grew up (4)
Valid	1. On a farm 2. In the country, not on a farm 3. In a small city or town (under 50,000) 4. In a medium-sized city (50,000-100,000) 5. In a large city (100,000-500,000) 6. In a suburb of a large city 7. In a very large city (over 500,000) 8. In a suburb of a very large city
Missing	9. DK; NA; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See question text and wording VCF0134. See also VCF0135,VCF0136.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780623 1980: V800710 1982: V820752 1984: V840699 1986: V860749 1988: V880547 1990: V900680 1992: V924129 1994: V941422 2000: V001019

VCF0138 NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN FAMILY

Question	<p>1958: How many children are there under 18 years old in this family? 1960: How many children under 18 years old are there in this family? 1962: How many children under 18 are there in your family? 1964: How many children are there under 18 years old in this family? 1966: How many children are there under 18 years old in this family (household)? 1968: Are there any children under 18 years old in this family? (How many?)</p>
Valid	<p>0. None 1. One 2. Two 3. Three or more</p>
Missing	<p>9. NA; no Pre IW; Panel (1992,1996,2002) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Data 1978-2004 are from the household listing. For years 1958-1968 and 2008, these data are from questions in the demographic section of the interview. It is unknown how 1956 data were obtained. 1970 NOTE: The respondent was not asked how many children were in the household if R had no children of his/her own (or MD if had any). 1970 data are therefore not included. 1994 NOTE: R was asked if he/she had any children of his/her own; number of children in the household was not established. 1994 data are therefore not included. 2000 NOTE: same as 1994. 2002 NOTE: same as 1994. 2008 NOTE: same as 1994. 2012 NOTE: Same as 1994.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1956: V560178 1958: V580177 1960: V600125 1962: V620088 1964: V640189 1966: V660194 1968: V680147 1978: V780039,V780040,V780041,V780042 1980: V800044,V800045,V800046,V800047 1982: V820054,V820055,V820056,V820057 1984: V840071,V840072,V840073,V840074 1986: V860015,V860016,V860017,V860018 1988: V880092,V880093,V880094,V880095 1990: V900030,V900031,V900032,V900033 1992: V923079,V923080,V923081,V923082 1996: V960048,V960049,V960050,V960051 1998: V980041</p>

VCF0138a NUMBER CHILDREN UNDER AGE 6 IN HH

Question	Number of children age 5 or younger old in household
Valid	<p>0. None 1. One 2. Two 3. Three 4. Four</p>

-
- 5. Five
 - 6. Six
 - 7. Seven
 - 8. Eight

Missing	9. NA; Panel (1992,1996,2002) INAP. question not used
Notes	2002 NOTE: These data are available only for 2002 fresh cross-section cases.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780039 1980: V800044 1982: V820054 1984: V840071 1986: V860015 1988: V880092 1990: V900030 1992: V923079 1996: V960048 1998: V980037 2002: V021107a,V021107b,V021107c,V021107d,V021107e,V021107f 2004: V041105

VCF0138b NUMBER CHILDREN AGES 6-9 IN HH

Question	Number of children 6-9 years old in household
Valid	0. None 1. One 2. Two 3. Three 4. Four 5. Five 6. Six 7. Seven 8. Eight
Missing	9. NA; Panel (1992,1996,2002) INAP. question not used
Notes	2002 NOTE: These data are available only for 2002 fresh cross-section cases.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780040 1980: V800045 1982: V820055 1984: V840072 1986: V860016 1988: V880093 1990: V900031 1992: V923080 1996: V960049 1998: V980038 2002: V021107a,V021107b,V021107c,V021107d,V021107e,V021107f 2004: V041106

VCF0138c NUMBER CHILDREN AGES 10-13 IN HH

Question	Number of children 10-13 years old in household
Valid	0. None 1. One 2. Two 3. Three 4. Four 5. Five 6. Six 7. Seven 8. Eight
Missing	9. NA; Panel (1992,1996,2002) INAP. question not used
Notes	2002 NOTE: These data are available only for 2002 fresh cross-section cases.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780041 1980: V800046 1982: V820056 1984: V840073 1986: V860017 1988: V880094 1990: V900032 1992: V923081 1996: V960050 1998: V980039 2002: V021107a,V021107b,V021107c,V021107d,V021107e,V021107f 2004: V041107

VCF0138d NUMBER CHILDREN AGES 14-17 IN HH

Question	Number of children 14-17 years old in household
Valid	0. None 1. One 2. Two 3. Three 4. Four 5. Five 6. Six 7. Seven 8. Eight
Missing	9. NA; Panel (1992,1996,2002) INAP. question not used
Notes	2002 NOTE: These data are available only for 2002 fresh cross-section cases.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780042 1980: V800047 1982: V820057 1984: V840074 1986: V860018 1988: V880095 1990: V900033 1992: V923082 1996: V960051

1998: V980040
 2002: V021107a,V021107b,V021107c,V021107d,V021107e,V021107f
 2004: V041108

VCF0138e AGE YOUNGEST CHILD IN HH

Question	Age of youngest child in family
Valid	1. 1 - 1/2 years or less 2. Over 1 - 1/2 years up to and including 2 -1/2 years 3. Over 2 - 1/2 years up to and including 3 - 1/2 years 4. Over 3 - 1/2 years up to and including 4 - 1/2 years 5. Over 4 - 1/2 years up to and including 5 - 1/2 years 6. Over 5 - 1/2 years up to and including 10 - 1/2 years 7. Over 10 - 1/2 years up to and including 14- 1/2 years 8. Over 14 - 1/2 years up to 18 years
Missing	9. NA; no children; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560179 1958: V580178 1960: V600126 1962: V620089 1964: V640190 1966: V660195 1968: V680149

VCF0139 RESPONDENT - HOW MANY CHILDREN DOES R HAVE

Question	1970,1974,1976,1980: Do you have any children? (IF YES:) How many?
Valid	0. None 1. One 2. Two 3. Three 4. Four 5. Five 6. Six 7. Seven 8. Eight or more
Missing	9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Ages of children not limited to those under 18 years old.</p> <p>1970 NOTE: Single Rs were not asked this question and are represented in code 0.</p> <p>1992 NOTE: Study data represented only number of children under the age of 18 and are not represented here.</p> <p>1994: Questions were not comparable.</p> <p>1998 NOTE: same as 1992</p> <p>2000 NOTE: same as 1994</p>

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700265(type 0) 1974: V742409 1976: V763372 1980: V800411

VCF0140 RESPONDENT - EDUCATION 6-CATEGORY

Question	<p>1952-1972: How many grades of school did you finish? 1974 AND LATER: What is highest grade of school or year of college you have completed? Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? 1974,1976: Do you have a college degree? (IF YES:) What degree is that? 1978-1984: Do you have a college degree? (IF YES:) What is the highest degree that you have earned? 1986 AND LATER: What is the highest degree that you have earned?</p>
Valid	<p>1. 8 grades or less ('grade school') 2. 9-12 grades ('high school'), no diploma/equivalency; less than high school credential (2020) 3. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency 4. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency plus non-academic training 5. Some college, no degree; junior/community college level degree (AA degree) 6. BA level degrees; advanced degrees incl. LLB</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK 9. NA; RF; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0110. During 1986-2008, the equivalent to code 4 was not used. Code 6 includes 1 cases in 1968, 2 cases in 1976 and 4 cases in 1974 which were designated by respondents as 'honorary degrees.'</p> <p>1952 NOTE: The category recoded to code 6 only mentioned 'has a degree' or 'completed college,' without specifying Bachelor's degree or 4-year college. Another code present specified 'some college' and has been recoded to 5.</p> <p>1956 NOTE: same as 1952</p> <p>1958 NOTE: same as 1952</p> <p>1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated.</p> <p>1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated.</p> <p>1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated.</p> <p>2002 NOTE: For panel cases, 2000 data were incorporated.</p> <p>2004 NOTE: Code 1 includes 1 case with number of grades NA without high school diploma or equivalency.</p> <p>2016 NOTE: Code 4 in 2016 is from category 'Associates Degrees - Occupational/Vocational.'</p> <p>2020: For the 2020 Time Series, all responses that were less than a high school credential were recoded into a single code.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520131 1956: V560181 1958: V580180

1960: V600128
 1962: V620078
 1964: V640196
 1966: V660197
 1968: V680156
 1970: V700269(type 0)
 1972: V720300
 1974: V742423
 1976: V763389
 1978: V780513
 1980: V800436
 1982: V820542
 1984: V840438
 1986: V860602
 1988: V880422
 1990: V900557
 1992: V923908
 1994: V941209
 1996: V960610
 1998: V980577
 2000: V000913
 2002: V023131
 2004: V043254
 2008: V083218x
 2012: dem_edu
 2016: V161270
 2020: V201510

VCF0140a RESPONDENT - EDUCATION 7-CATEGORY

Question	<p>1952-1972: How many grades of school did you finish? 1974 AND LATER: What is highest grade of school or year of college you have completed? Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? 1974,1976: Do you have a college degree? (IF YES:) What degree is that? 1978-1984: Do you have a college degree? (IF YES:) What is the highest degree that you have earned? 1986 AND LATER: What is the highest degree that you have earned?</p>
Valid	<p>1. 8 grades or less ('grade school') 2. 9-12 grades ('high school'), no diploma/equivalency; less than high school credential (2020) 3. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency 4. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency plus non-academic training 5. Some college, no degree; junior/community college level degree (AA degree) 6. BA level degrees 7. Advanced degrees incl. LLB</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK 9. NA; RF; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0140.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1952: V520131 1956: V560181 1958: V580180 1960: V600128 1962: V620078 1964: V640196 1966: V660197 1968: V680156 1970: V700269(type 0) 1972: V720300 1974: V742423</p>

1976: V763389
 1978: V780513
 1980: V800436
 1982: V820542
 1984: V840438
 1986: V860602
 1988: V880422
 1990: V900557
 1992: V923908
 1994: V941209
 1996: V960610
 1998: V980577
 2000: V000913
 2002: V023131
 2004: V043254
 2008: V083218x
 2012: dem_edu
 2016: V161270
 2020: V201510

VCF0141 HEAD OF HH - EDUCATION 6-CATEGORY 1962-1986

Question	<p>1952-1972: How many grades of school did (head) finish? 1974 AND LATER: What is highest grade of school or year of college (head) has completed? Did (head) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? 1974,1976: Does (head) have a college degree? (IF YES:) What degree is that? 1978-1984: Does (head) have a college degree? (IF YES:) What is the highest degree that (head) has earned? 1986 AND LATER: What is the highest degree that (head) has earned?</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 8 grades or less ('grade school') 2. 9-12 grades ('high school'), no diploma/equivalency 3. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency 4. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency plus non-academic training 5. Some college, no degree; junior/community college level degree (AA degree) 6. BA level degrees; advanced degrees incl. LLB
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK 9. NA; head of family not determined (1986) <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0140. After 1986, the concept of 'Head of Household' was discontinued.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1962: V620076 1964: V640197 1968: V680157 1970: V700271(type 0) 1972: V720302 1974: V742432 1976: V763398 1978: V780522 1980: V800445 1982: V820549 1984: V840447 1986: V860607</p>

VCF0142 RESPONDENT - PLACE OF BIRTH

Question	Where were you born? (IF UNITED STATES:) Which state?
Valid	101-997. State/Country as coded
Missing	998. DK 999. NA; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'STATE AND COUNTRY CODES' 1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated. 1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520143 1956: V560182 1958: V580220 1960: V600175 1964: V640252 1966: V660223 1968: V680243 1970: V700363(type 0) 1972: V720407 1974: V742537 1976: V763498 1978: V780621 1980: V800708 1982: V820750 1984: V840697 1986: V860747 1988: V880545 1990: V900678 1992: V924124 1994: V941420

VCF0143 RESPONDENT PARENTS - NATIVE BORN

Question	Were both your parents born in this country?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; RF; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: In 1960,1964,1966,1968,1970, this question was not asked of black Rs or foreign-born Rs. 1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated. 1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520146 1960: V600176 1964: V640253 1966: V660224 1968: V680244 1970: V700364(type 0) 1972: V720408 1974: V742529 1976: V763490 1978: V780612 1980: V800699 1982: V820741 1984: V840693 1986: V860743 1988: V880538 1990: V900674 1992: V924120 1994: V941416 1996: V960707 2000: V001007 2004: V043300 2008: V083257 2012: dem_parents 2016: V161315 2020: V201553

VCF0144 RESPONDENT FATHER - WHERE BORN

Question	(IF BOTH PARENTS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.:) What country was your father born in?
Valid	199. U.S. 182,183,186,201-997. Country as coded
Missing	998. DK 999. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'STATE AND COUNTRY CODES'.</p> <p>All codes within United States have been recoded to 199. This question was a follow-up to VCF0143. If R responded 'yes' to VCF0143, then VCF0144 and VCF0145 have been coded 199. Black Rs were not asked this question in 1960, 1964, 1966, 1968 or 1970 and for these years have been recoded to code 199, United States. Parents of foreign-born Rs were also present in missing data during these years in the study datasets, but for this variable parental birthplaces have been imputed from R's own birth-place, as a generalized category e.g., 'British Isles' if R were born in England).</p> <p>1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520151 1960: V600177 1964: V640254 1966: V660225 1968: V680245 1970: V700365(type 0) 1972: V720409 1974: V742530 1976: V763491 1978: V780613

1980: V800700
1982: V820742

VCF0145 RESPONDENT MOTHER - WHERE BORN

Question (IF BOTH PARENTS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.:) What country was your mother born in?

Valid 199. U.S.
182,183,186,201-997. Country as coded

Missing 998. DK
999. NA; no Pre I
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
See VCF0144.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1952: V520152
1960: V600178
1964: V640255
1966: V660226
1968: V680246
1970: V700366(type 0)
1972: V720410
1974: V742531
1976: V763492
1978: V780614
1980: V800701
1982: V820743

VCF0146 RESPONDENT FAMILY - HOME OWNERSHIP

Question (Do you/ Does your family) own your own home, pay rent or what?

Valid 1. Yes, own
2. No, not owned

Missing 8. DK
9. NA; RF; no Pre IW; short form (1992)
INAP. question not used

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1952: V520156
1964: V640271
1968: V680262
1970: V700389(type 0)
1972: V720421
1974: V742550
1976: V763509
1978: V780629
1980: V800719
1982: V820761
1984: V840706
1986: V860754
1988: V880552
1990: V900685

1992: V924135
1994: V941427
1996: V960714
1998: V980663
2000: V001022
2002: V023141
2004: V043312
2008: V083281
2016: V161334
2020: V201581

VCF0147 RESPONDENT - MARITAL STATUS

Question **1952: Are you married?**
1986: Are you married now and living with your husband/wife– or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married? Are you now Alving with someone as 8 couple, though not married?
1956-2004: Are you married now and living with your husband/ wife (2002: spouse)– or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married?
2008: Are you married now and living with your husband/wife– or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married? / Are you married, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?
2012, 1216: Are you now married, widowed, divorced, separated or never married? Are you currently living with a partner, or not?

Valid 1. Married
2. Never married
3. Divorced
4. Separated
5. Widowed
7. Partners; not married (VOLUNTEERED pre-1986 and 1988-later)

Missing 8. R not married/partnered, refused to say whether never married, divorced, separated or widowed (1992 only); DK
9. NA; no Pre IW; unmarried at time of IW (1952 only); short-form 'new' Cross-Section (1992)
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
Common law partners first appeared as a volunteered code in 1964. Interviewer instruction 1990 and later: 'If spouse is in a nursing home or works in another city, or the family is in the process of moving and is temporarily holding 2 residences, code 1 is used.'
1952 NOTE:
Rs who were not married have been recoded to NA because their specific status as divorced, widowed, never married etc. was not determined. Rs married at the time of the 1952 interview have all been coded 1 in VCF0147, with no 1952 cases appearing in codes 2-7.
1960 NOTE:
1960 pre variable was used except for 22 no-pre cases, for which post marital status was used.
1986 NOTE:
Unmarried Rs were also asked if they were living with a partner.
1992 NOTE:
This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated, except in cases where additional information current to 1992 [as determined by the interviewer] contradicted the marital status of 1990. In the latter instances, the 1992 marital status variable was coded to reflect the most complete and up-to-date information known by the interviewer.
2002 NOTE:
For panel cases, 2000 data were included.
2008 NOTE:
This question was asked in 2 versions; data are combined in this

variable.
 2012 NOTE:
 Unmarried Rs were asked if they were living with a partner. If R refused to indicate whether living with a partner, status according to lead question (codes 1-5) has been coded.
 2016 NOTE:
 same as 2012

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520114 1956: V560177 1958: V580176 1960: V600124 1962: V620791 1964: V640188 1966: V660193 1968: V680146 1970: V700263(type 0) 1972: V720295 1974: V742407 1976: V763370 1978: V780505 1980: V800409 1982: V820536 1984: V840430 1986: V860598 1988: V880418 1990: V900553 1992: V923904 1994: V941204 1996: V960606 1998: V980573 2000: V000909 2002: V023127,V023127a 2004: V043251 2008: V083216a,V083216b 2012: dem_marital,dem_partner 2016: V161268,V161269 2020: V201508, V201509

VCF0148 RESPONDENT - SOCIAL CLASS 8-CATEGORY

Question	<p>There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class.</p> <p>Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes? Which one? /</p> <p>(IF NO OR DK:) Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?</p> <p>(IF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average middle/working class or that you are in the upper part of the middle/working class?</p>
Valid	0. Lower class (VOLUNTEERED) 1. Average working 2. Working-NA average or upper working 3. Upper working 4. Average middle 5. Middle class-NA average or upper middle 6. Upper middle 7. Upper class (VOLUNTEERED)
Missing	9. DK; NA; other (incl. 'refused to accept idea of class') INAP. question not used

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:

Respondents who answered No or DK to the initial question (think of self as belonging to either middle or working class) were administered the followup that asked the respondent, if R 'had to make a choice,' to choose between middle or working. In some years, respondents who refused or did not answer the initial question were also asked the choice question (2012,2008,2004,2000,1990 and 1 post Web respondent in 2016). In 2000 only, respondents who answered Yes to the initial question but who gave an Other response to the followup 'Which one?' were asked the choice question.

Respondents who volunteered upper or lower class in response to any of the questions have been coded as such here (0 or 7).

1992 NOTE:

This set of questions was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated in the 1992 summary var.

2000 NOTE:

The 2000 summary variable used here appropriately coded into its categories the open-end Other Specify responses corresponding to lower, working, middle or upper class. The 2000 summary variable categories are the same as in VCF0148.

2016 NOTE:

In 2016, a half sample of respondents were asked this 4-question version for social class in the pre-election wave and the remaining half sample were asked in the post.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560224 1958: V580219 1966: V660219 1968: V680209 1970: V700356(type 0) 1972: V720400 1974: V742525 1976: V763486 1978: V780603 1980: V800691 1982: V820732 1984: V840685 1988: V880525 1990: V900668 1992: V924114 1994: V941409 2000: V001005 2004: V043298 2008: V083250,V083250a,V083250b,V083250c 2012: dem_class,dem_whichclass,dem_chclass,dem_avgclass 2016: V161304,V161305a,V161305b,V161306,V162128,V162129,V162130,V162131

VCF0148a RESPONDENT - AVERAGE OR UPPER MIDDLE/WORKING CLASS

Question	<p>There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes? Which one? / Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class? (IF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average middle/working class or that you are in the upper part of the middle/working class?</p>
Valid	1. Average working 2. Working-NA average or upper working

3. Upper working
4. Average middle
5. Middle class–NA average or upper middle
6. Upper middle

Missing 9. DK; NA; other (incl. 'refused to accept idea of class')
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
Respondents who volunteered upper or lower class in response to any of the questions (0 or 7 in VCF0148a) have been coded 9.
1960 NOTE:
Because the final question (average or upper) was not asked, data from VCF0149 have been incorporated in the following manner: if VCF0149 is coded 1 or 2, this variable is coded 5; if VCF0149 is coded 3 or 4, this variable is coded 2.
1964 NOTE:
same as 1960.
1986 NOTE:
same as 1960.
2000 NOTE:
The 2000 summary variable used here appropriately coded into its categories the open-end Other Specify responses corresponding to lower, working, middle or upper class. The 2000 summary variable categories are the same as in VCF0148.
2016 NOTE:
In 2016, a half sample of respondents were asked this 4-question version for social class in the pre-election wave and the remaining half sample were asked in the post.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1956: V560224
1958: V580219
1960: V600155
1964: V640231
1966: V660219
1968: V680209
1970: V700356(type 0)
1972: V720400
1974: V742525
1976: V763486
1978: V780603
1980: V800691
1982: V820732
1984: V840685
1986: V860736
1988: V880525
1990: V900668
1992: V924114
1994: V941409
2000: V001005
2004: V043298
2008: V083250,V083250a,V083250b,V083250c
2012: dem_class,dem_whichclass,dem_chclass,dem_avgclass
2016: V161304,V161305a,V161305b,V161306,V162128,V162129,V162130,V162131

VCF0149 RESPONDENT - THINK OF SELF AS MEMBER OF SOCIAL CLASS

Question **There's been some talk these days about different social classes.
Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class.
Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?
Which one? /**

VOLUNTEERED WORKING FOR PAY 1984, 1986:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

Valid	<p>10. Working now 15. Working now and retired–20 or more hrs/wk 16. Working now and permanently disabled–20 or more hrs/wk 17. Working now and homemaker–20 or more hrs/wk 18. Working now and student–20 or more hrs/wk 20. Temporarily laid off 40. Unemployed 50. Retired, no current occupation 51. Retired and working now–less than 20 hrs/wk 60. Permanently disabled, no current occupation 61. Permanently disabled and working–less than 20 hrs/wk 70. Homemaker, no other occupation 71. Homemaker and working now–less than 20 hrs/wk 75. Homemaker and student, no other occupation 80. Student, no current occupation 81. Student and working now–less than 20 hrs/wk</p>
Missing	<p>99. NA INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0116,VCF0118. In 1980, those who were ‘permanently disabled’ when the occupation questions began but who subsequently replied that they were also currently working were not administered the ‘permanently disabled’ series (past employment, if any) but instead were administered the ‘working now’ set of questions (present employment), regardless of number of hours working [code 10]. Code 60 only represents nonworking disabled (during all years since 1980). 2002 NOTE: Number of hours working was not determined; no 2002 data.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1980: V800515 1982: V820610 1984: V840457 1986: V860615 1988: V880429 1990: V900565 1992: V923915 1994: V941216 1996: V960615 1998: V980579 2000: V000919 2004: V043260a 2008: V083222x 2012: dem_empstatus_2digitfin_x 2016: V161275x</p>

VCF0151 RESPONDENT - OCCUPATION GROUP 5-CATEGORY

Question	<p>1952-1964: What is your occupation. I mean, what kind of work do you do? (IF NOT CLEAR OR OBVIOUS [1958,1960,1964 only:] What exactly do you do on your job? (IF NOT ASCERTAINED:) What kind of business is that? (IF R IS UNEMPLOYED:) What kind of work do you usually do? (IF R IS RETIRED:) What kind of work did you do before you retired? 1968-1970: (IF EMPLOYED OR ON STRIKE:) What kind of work do you do? [What exactly do you do on your job?] (IF UNEMPLOYED OR RETIRED:) What kind of work did you do when you were employed? [What exactly did you do on your job?] 1972-1982: (IF R IS WORKING NOW OR IS TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:) What is your main</p>
-----------------	---

it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the USA, the American Baptist Association, [1992-LATER: the National Baptist Convention U.S.A.,]an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group? (IF INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:) Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?
 (IF LUTHERAN:) Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?
 (IF METHODIST:) Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group?
 (IF PRESBYTERIAN:) Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other Presbyterian group?
 (IF REFORMED:) Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America, or some other Reformed group?
 (IF BRETHREN:) Is this the Church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?
 (IF CHRISTIAN OR JUST CHRISTIAN:) When you say 'Christian' does that mean the denomination called the 'Christian Church (Disciples of Christ),' or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say 'I am just a Christian'?
 (IF CHURCH OR CHURCHES OF CHRIST:) Is this the Church of Christ or United Church of Christ?
 (IF CHURCH OF GOD:) Is this the Church of God of Anderson Indiana, the Church of God of Cleveland Tennessee, the Church of God in Christ, or some other Church of God?
 (IF HOLINESS OR PENTECOSTAL:) What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is that church called?
 (IF OTHER:) What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination? Is that group Christian?
 (IF JEWISH:) (IF R ATTENDS JEWISH RELIGIOUS SERVICES:) Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what? (IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH:) Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what?

Valid	10-790. Religion as coded 800. Agnostics 801. Atheists 997. Other
Missing	998. None; no preference; don't know preference; didn't specify preference (2020); DK; RF 999. NA; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'RELIGION 1990 AND LATER (EXC.2002)' Questions about religious affiliation were preceded by the filter question, 'Lots of things come up that keep people from attending Religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?' Respondents who do not think of themselves as affiliated with any church or denomination are not asked these questions.</p> <p>1992 NOTE 1: This set of questions was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases 1990 study data were incorporated.</p> <p>1992 NOTE 2: Codes 127 and 133 were combined into code 127 [no 1992 code 133]; code 102 was moved to become code 306 [no 1992 code 102]; and codes 267 and 261 were added (new).</p> <p>1994 NOTE 1: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated.</p> <p>1994 NOTE 2: The following codes were added: 111,186,234,262.</p> <p>1996 NOTE: Code 240 was added.</p> <p>1998 NOTE: Codes 263,264,524,725,726,727 were added.</p> <p>2000 NOTE: Codes 750,795 were added.</p> <p>2002 NOTE: Religion questions were not comparable.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

-
- 08. Laborers, except farm
 - 09. Farmers (owners and tenants), farm managers, farmer – NA type
 - 10. Farm foreman, farm laborer (wage-worker)
 - 11. Service workers, except private household and government protective services
 - 12. Government protective services: firemen; police; marshals and constables
 - 13. Private household workers
 - 14. Member of armed forces
 - 15. Not in labor force

Missing	98. DK 99. NA 00. Mother wasn't living; not raised by mother; no parallel information for parental surrogate INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780619,V780620 1980: V800706,V800707 1982: V820748,V820749

VCF0153c MOTHER OF RESPONDENT - OCCUPATION GROUP 1984-1988

Question	Other than being a housewife, did your mother (or mother substitute) have a job while you were growing up? (IF YES:) What kind of work did she do while you were growing up? (What was her main occupation?) (1986,1988,1992: What were her most important activities or duties? What kind of (business/industry) was that?)
Valid	01. Executive, administrative and managerial 02. Professional speciality occupations 03. Technicians and related support occupations 04. Sales occupation 05. Administrative support, including clerical 06. Private household 07. Protective service 08. Service exc. protective and household 09. Farming, forestry and fishing occupations 10. Precision production, craft and repair occupations 11. Machine operators, assemblers and inspectors 12. Transportation and material moving occupations 13. Handler, equipment cleaners, helpers and laborers 14. Member of armed forces 15. Not in labor force
Missing	98. DK 99. NA 00. Mother wasn't living; not raised by mother; no parallel information for parental surrogate; short form or Spanish language questionnaire (1992) INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840695,V840696 1986: V860745,V860746 1988: V880543,V880544 1992: V924127,V924128

VCF0154a RESPONDENT - OCCUPATION 71-CATEGORY

Question	What is/was your main occupation? (What sort of work do/did you do?) (1984-1986: IF NOT CLEAR: tell me a little more about what you do/did.) (1986 AND LATER: What are/were your most important activities or duties?)
Missing	00. DK; NA; nonworking homemaker/student; R has never worked for pay INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'CENSUS OCCUPATION 71 CATEGORIES'. This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted). The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation coded rather than current occupation. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here. The original 3-digit U.S. Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect Respondents' anonymity. 2008-LATER NOTE: Revised master codes are not comparable to preceding years.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1984: V840515 1986: V860663 1988: V880465 1990: V900602 1992: V923954 1994: V941255 1996: V960675 1998: V980638 2000: V000979 2004: V043262n</p>

VCF0154b RESPONDENT - OCCUPATION 14-CATEGORY

Question	What is/was your main occupation? (What sort of work do/did you do?) (1984-1986: IF NOT CLEAR: tell me a little more about what you do/did.) (1986 AND LATER: What are/were your most important activities or duties?)
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Executive, administrative and managerial 2. Professional specialty occupations 3. Technicians and related support occupations 4. Sales occupation 5. Administrative support, including clerical 6. Private household 7. Protective service 8. Service except protective and household 9. Farming, forestry and fishing occupations 10. Precision production, craft and repair occupations 11. Machine operators, assemblers and inspectors 12. Transportation and material moving occupations 13. Handlers, equipment cleaners, helpers and laborers 14. Member of armed forces
Missing	00. DK; NA; nonworking homemaker/student; R has never worked for pay INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted). The disabled and homemakers or students who are</p>

also currently working have their current occupation coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation coded rather than current occupation. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.
2008-LATER NOTE:
Revised master codes are not comparable to preceding years.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840516 1986: V860664 1988: V880466 1990: V900603 1992: V923955 1994: V941256 1996: V960676 1998: V980639 2000: V000980 2004: V043262p

VCF0155 RESPONDENT - WORRIED ABOUT FIND/LOSING JOB

Question	How worried are you about losing your job/finding a job in the near future: a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?
Valid	1. A lot 3. Somewhat 5. Not much at all
Missing	8. DK; refused 9. NA 0. R is nonworking homemaker or student; R is unemployed/disabled and has never worked for pay, or R is working and retired (1984-1986); R is unemployed/ disabled and has never worked for pay, or R is working and retired/disabled (1988-1994); R is unemployed/disabled and has never worked for pay (1996-1998) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment were not asked this question. Other respondents excluded from question administration has varied slightly. 1992 NOTE: A large number of NA cases appear in the data without documentation for reason. 2000 NOTE: If Rs were unemployed, nonworking retired or nonworking disabled, they were not asked this question unless they said they were looking for work. 2000 data are not included. 2012-LATER NOTE: Code categories changed in 2012 and are not comparable.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840525 1986: V860671 1988: V880471 1990: V900608 1992: V923960 1994: V941262 1996: V960682 1998: V980645 2004: V043262j 2008: V083244k,V083233b,V083240

VCF0156 RESPONDENT - WORKING R LAID OFF LAST 6 MONTHS

Question	Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last six months?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. RF, NA 0. R is not currently working INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Respondents without current employment (including Rs temporarily laid off) were not asked this question.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840474 1986: V860632 1988: V880444 1990: V900581 1992: V923931 1994: V941232 1996: V960673 1998: V980636 2000: V000977 2004: V043285 2008: V083241 2012: dem_offwork 2016: V161298 2020: V201539

VCF0157 RESPONDENT - WORKING R HOURS REDUCED/CUT IN PAY

Question	During the last six months, have you had a reduction in your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time for reasons other than illness or personal choice?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA 0. R is not currently working INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Respondents without current employment (including Rs temporarily laid off) were not asked this question.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840475 1986: V860633 1988: V880445 1990: V900582 1992: V923932 1994: V941233 1996: V960674 1998: V980637 2000: V000978 2004: V043286 2008: V083242

2012: dem_hrsreduce
2016: V161299

VCF0170a COUNTY OF IW 1956-1960

Question County code 1956-1960

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
See Appendix 'COUNTY CODE' (part I).
Coded 0011-1071 and:

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1956: V560003
1958: V580004
1960: V600004

VCF0170b COUNTY OF IW 1964-1976

Question Sampling PSU-county code 1964-1976

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
See Appendix 'COUNTY CODE' (part II).
Coded 001-993 and:

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1964: V640004
1966: V660003
1968: V680017
1970: V700021(type 0)
1972: V720008
1974: V742009
1976: V763009

VCF0170c COUNTY OF IW 1968-1982

Question ICPSR county code 1968-1982

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
See Appendix 'COUNTY CODE'(part III).
Coded 01001-73037 and:

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1968: V680016
1970: V700020(type 0)
1972: V720007
1974: V742008
1976: V763008
1978: V780013

1980: V800010
1982: V820017

VCF0170d COUNTY OF IW 1970,1978-1998

Question	FIPS state-county code 1970,1978-1998
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'COUNTY CODE' (part IV). County code information restricted beginning 2000. The 1st 2 digits of code correspond to FIPS state code. Coded 01033-56013 and:
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640242 1966: V660124 1968: V680230 1970: V700248(type 0) 1972: V720719 1974: V742364 1976: V763833 1978: V780016 1980: V800871 1982: V820146 1984: V840012 1986: V860026 1988: V880011 1990: V900011 1992: V923061 1994: V940021 1996: V960110 1998: V980093

Question

GROUP THERMOMETER INTRO

1964-1968:

There are many groups in America that try to get the government or the American people to see things more their way. We would like to get your feelings towards some of these groups. I have here a card on which there is something that looks like a thermometer. We call it a 'feeling thermometer' because it measures your feelings towards groups. Here's how it works. If you don't know too much about a group or don't feel particularly warm or cold toward them, then you should place them in the middle, at the 50 degree mark. If you have a warm feeling toward a group or feel favorably toward it, you would give it a score somewhere between 50 degrees and 100 degrees, depending on how warm your feeling is toward the group. On the other hand, if you don't feel very favorably toward some of these groups—if there are some you don't care for too much—then you would place them somewhere between 0 degrees and 50 degrees.

1970-1974:

(1970: As you know, there are many groups and persons in America that try to get the government or the American people to see things more their way. Please use the thermometer again—this time to indicate your feelings toward these groups or persons.) (1972,1974: We'd also like to get your feelings about some groups in American society, using the feeling thermometer just as we did for the [1972: candidates; 1974: leaders]. If we come to a group you don't know much about, just

tell me and we'll move on to the next one.)

1976:

We'd also like to get your feelings about some groups in American society. When I read the name of a group, we'd like you to rate it with what we call a feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees-100 degrees mean that you feel favorably and warm toward the group; ratings between 0 and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorably towards the group and that you don't care too much for that group. If you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward a group you would rate them at 50 degrees. If we come to a group you don't know much about, just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

1978-1984:

(1980-1984: And still using the thermometer, how would you rate the following.)

1986-LATER:

([And] still using the thermometer, how would you rate [the following]:)

Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE FOR ALL GROUP THERMOMETERS: Card or respondent booklet shown to R exc. 2000 telephone and 2002 (telephone study). Code distinction between 'don't know' and non-recognition of name begin in 1978; data are combined in the DK category here. In all Pre-Post study years except 1968 and 1976, group thermometers followed (within the same wave, if not immediately) the series of thermometers for specific political figures, so that R was asked to recall the 'explanation' of the thermometer. In 1968 and 1976 only, group thermometers appeared in a separate wave. 1964 NOTE: 'Don't knows' were coded 50 on thermometers; groups about which the respondent 'didn't know much' were also placed at 50 degrees 1966 NOTE: same as 1964. 1968 NOTE: same as 1964. 1970 NOTE: The order in which group thermometers were asked varied by form. 1970-1976 NOTE: The respondent was not asked to give thermometer ratings for groups about which R 'didn't know much' or 'didn't know too much.' However, it is unclear whether such cases were coded DK or NA. 1996-LATER NOTE: Group thermometers were administered in random order.</p>
--------------	--

VCF0201 THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATS

Question	Democrats – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640242 1966: V660124 1968: V680230 1970: V700248(type 0) 1972: V720719 1974: V742364 1976: V763833

1980: V800871
1982: V820146

VCF0202 THERMOMETER - REPUBLICANS

Question	Republicans – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640247 1966: V660129 1968: V680237 1970: V700250(type 0) 1972: V720721 1974: V742366 1976: V763835 1980: V800873 1982: V820147

VCF0203 THERMOMETER - PROTESTANTS

Question	Protestants – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640249 1966: V660123 1968: V680239 1972: V720715 1976: V763844 2000: V001325 2002: V025070

VCF0204 THERMOMETER - CATHOLICS

Question	Catholics – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640240 1966: V660121 1968: V680227 1972: V720712 1976: V763826 1984: V840774 1988: V880611 1992: V925321 2000: V001323 2002: V025068 2004: V045058 2008: V085064c 2012: ftgr_catholics

VCF0205 THERMOMETER - JEWS

Question	Jews – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640244 1966: V660126 1968: V680232 1972: V720716 1976: V763842 1988: V880626 1992: V925334 2000: V001324 2002: V025069 2004: V045061 2008: V085064f 2016: V162108 2020: V202170

VCF0206 THERMOMETER - BLACKS

Question	Blacks – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); breakoff, sufficient partial (2016) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640250 1966: V660130 1968: V680240 1970: V700249(type 0) 1972: V720720 1974: V742365 1976: V763832 1980: V800870 1982: V820145 1984: V840763 1986: V860149 1988: V880613 1990: V900155 1992: V925323 1994: V940305 1996: V961029 1998: V980262 2000: V001308 2002: V025055 2004: V045077 2008: V085064y 2012: ftcasi_black 2016: V162312 2020: V202480

VCF0207 THERMOMETER - WHITES

Question	Whites – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); breakoff, sufficient partial (2016) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640245 1966: V660128 1968: V680233 1970: V700247(type 0) 1972: V720718 1974: V742363 1976: V763846 1980: V800884 1982: V820144

1984: V840769
 1988: V880625
 1992: V925333
 1994: V940313
 1996: V961030
 1998: V980270
 2000: V001309
 2002: V025056
 2004: V045086
 2008: V085065c
 2012: ftcasi_white
 2016: V162314
 2020: V202482

VCF0208 THERMOMETER - SOUTHERNERS

Question	Southerners – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640239 1968: V680226 1972: V720710 1976: V763824 1980: V800862 1992: V925332 2004: V045078 2008: V085064z

VCF0209 THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS

Question	Big business – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640241 1966: V660119 1968: V680224 1972: V720707 1974: V742356

1976: V763821
 1980: V800859
 1984: V840773
 1988: V880612
 1992: V925322
 1994: V940314
 1996: V961034
 2000: V001313
 2002: V025060
 2004: V045067
 2008: V085064n
 2012: ftgr_bigbus
 2016: V162100
 2020: V202163

VCF0210 THERMOMETER - LABOR UNIONS

Question	Labor unions – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640246 1966: V660127 1968: V680235 1972: V720722 1974: V742367 1976: V763836 1980: V800874 1984: V840767 1986: V860151 1988: V880604 1990: V900157 1992: V925316 1994: V940307 1996: V961033 1998: V980266 2000: V001312 2002: V025059 2004: V045064 2008: V085064j 2012: ftgr_unions 2016: V162098 2020: V202162

VCF0211 THERMOMETER - LIBERALS

Question	Liberals – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640238 1966: V660120 1968: V680225 1970: V700252(type 0) 1972: V720709 1974: V742358 1976: V763823 1980: V800861 1982: V820142 1984: V840770 1986: V860153 1988: V880616 1990: V900161 1992: V925326 1994: V940311 1996: V961032 1998: V980267 2000: V001311 2002: V025058 2004: V045062 2008: V085064g 2012: ftgr_liberal 2016: V162097 2020: V202161

VCF0212 THERMOMETER - CONSERVATIVES

Question	Conservatives – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640251 1966: V660131 1968: V680241 1970: V700242(type 0) 1972: V720724 1974: V742369 1976: V763838 1980: V800877 1982: V820143 1984: V840764 1986: V860150 1988: V880609 1990: V900156 1992: V925319 1994: V940306 1996: V961031 1998: V980264

2000: V001310
 2002: V025057
 2004: V045069
 2008: V085064q
 2012: ftgr_cons
 2016: V162101
 2020: V202164

VCF0213 THERMOMETER - MILITARY

Question	Military – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640243 1968: V680231 1970: V700246(type 0) 1972: V720717 1974: V742362 1976: V763831 1980: V800869 1984: V840781 1988: V880618 1992: V925328 1996: V961027 2000: V001306 2002: V025053 2004: V045066 2008: V085064m 2012: ftgr_military

VCF0214 THERMOMETER - POLICEMEN/POLICE

Question	Policemen (1992: the police; 2016: police) – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1966: V660125 1968: V680228 1970: V700240(type 0)

1972: V720714
 1974: V742360
 1976: V763828
 1992: V925340
 2016: V162110
 2020: V202171

VCF0215 THERMOMETER - BLACK MILITANTS

Question	Black militants – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700255(type 0) 1972: V720727 1974: V742372 1976: V763841 1980: V800880 1984: V840775 1986: V860155

VCF0216 THERMOMETER - CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS

Question	Civil rights leaders – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700239(type 0) 1972: V720729 1974: V742373 1976: V763843 1980: V800875 1984: V840779 1988: V880606

VCF0217 THERMOMETER - CHICANOS/HISPANICS

Question	1976: Chicanos –feeling thermometer 1980,1984,1988: Hispanics– feeling thermometer 1992: Hispanic-Americans– feeling thermometer 1994: Hispanics or Latinos– feeling thermometer 1996-later: Hispanics (Hispanic-Americans)– feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW; breakoff, sufficient partial (2016) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763825 1980: V800863 1984: V840765 1988: V880617 1992: V925327 1994: V940304 1996: V961037 2000: V001316 2002: V025063 2004: V045056 2008: V085064s 2012: ftcasi_hisp 2016: V162311 2020: V202479

VCF0218 THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	The Democratic Party – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 1984 NOTE: This question was asked in both the pre and the post. The pre version is included here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780155 1980: V800168 1982: V820138 1984: V840305 1986: V860146 1988: V880164 1990: V900151 1992: V923317 1994: V940301 1996: V960292 1998: V980260 2000: V000369

2004: V043049
 2008: V083044a
 2012: ft_dem
 2016: V161095
 2020: V201156

VCF0219 THERMOMETER - MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE

Question	Middle class people – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720734 1974: V742376 1976: V763848 1980: V800886 1984: V840766 2004: V045063 2008: V085064h 2012: ftgr_middle

VCF0220 THERMOMETER - PEOPLE ON WELFARE

Question	People on welfare – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763834 1980: V800872 1984: V840777 1986: V860154 1988: V880607 1990: V900159 1992: V925318 1994: V940309 1996: V961036 2000: V001315 2002: V025062 2004: V045068

2008: V085064p
2012: ftgr_welfare

VCF0221 THERMOMETER - POLITICAL INDEPENDENTS

Question	People who call themselves political independents - feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 1984 NOTE: This question was asked in both the pre and the post. The pre version is included here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800170 1982: V820140 1984: V840307

VCF0222 THERMOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES

Question	Political parties, in general – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 1984 NOTE: This question was asked in both the pre and the post. The pre version is included here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800171 1982: V820141 1984: V840308 1986: V860148 1990: V900153 1994: V940303 1996: V960294 2000: V000372

VCF0223 THERMOMETER - POOR PEOPLE

Question	Poor people – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720708 1974: V742357 1976: V763822 1980: V800860 1984: V840768 1986: V860156 1988: V880610 1990: V900162 1992: V925320 1994: V940312 1996: V961035 1998: V980268 2000: V001314 2002: V025061 2004: V045065 2008: V085064k 2012: ftgr_poor 2016: V162099

VCF0224 THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	The Republican Party – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 1984 NOTE: This question was asked in both the pre and the post. The pre version is included here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780156 1980: V800169 1982: V820139 1984: V840306 1986: V860147 1988: V880165 1990: V900152 1992: V923318 1994: V940302 1996: V960293 1998: V980261 2000: V000370

2004: V043050
 2008: V083044b
 2012: ft_rep
 2016: V161096
 2020: V201157

VCF0225 THERMOMETER - WOMENS LIBBERS

Question 1970-1976, 1980, 1984: the women's liberation movement-feeling thermometer
 1986, 1990, 1992, 1996: the women's movement-feeling thermometer

Valid 00-96. Degrees as coded
 97. 97-100 Degrees

Missing 98. DK
 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972)
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
 For 'feminists' (1988 and 1992) see VCF0253 and VCF0254.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1970: V700254(type 0)
 1972: V720725
 1974: V742370
 1976: V763839
 1980: V800878
 1984: V840782
 1986: V860152
 1990: V900158
 1992: V925324
 1994: V940308
 1996: V961039
 2000: V001318

VCF0226 THERMOMETER - YOUNG PEOPLE

Question Young people – feeling thermometer

Valid 00-96. Degrees as coded
 97. 97-100 Degrees

Missing 98. DK
 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972)
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1972: V720723
 1974: V742368
 1976: V763837
 1980: V800876
 2004: V045080

VCF0227 THERMOMETER - ASIAN-AMERICANS

Question	Asian-Americans – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW; breakoff, sufficient partial (2016) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V925339 2000: V001327 2002: V025072 2004: V045075 2008: V085064v 2012: ftcasj_asian 2016: V162310 2020: V202477

VCF0228 THERMOMETER - CONGRESS

Question	1998: U.S. Congress – thermometer 1980,1988,1992,2000-later: Congress (1980,1992: that is, the U.S. Senate and the House of Representatives)– thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800882 1988: V880628 1992: V925337 1996: V961026 1998: V980273 2000: V001305 2002: V025052 2004: V045076 2008: V085064w 2012: ftgr_congress 2016: V162104 2020: V202167

VCF0229 THERMOMETER - ENVIRONMENTALISTS

Question	
-----------------	--

1980,1988,1990: people seeking to protect the environment –thermometer
1994-later: environmentalists – thermometer

Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800867 1988: V880620 1990: V900160 1992: V925329 1994: V940310 1996: V961041 2000: V001320 2002: V025066 2004: V045072 2008: V085064s

VCF0230 THERMOMETER - ANTI-ABORTIONISTS

Question	1984: Anti-abortionists – thermometer 1988,1990: Opponents of abortion – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. Post IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840780 1988: V880624 1990: V900163

VCF0231 THERMOMETER - FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Question	The federal government in Washington – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800868 1988: V880615 1992: V925325 1996: V961028 2000: V001307 2002: V025054 2004: V045060 2008: V085064e 2012: ftgr_fedgov

VCF0232 THERMOMETER - GAYS AND LESBIANS

Question	Gay men and lesbians (that is), homosexuals – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840778 1988: V880627 1992: V925335 1994: V940318 1996: V961042 1998: V980265 2000: V001321 2002: V025067 2004: V045074 2008: V085064u 2012: ftgr_gay 2016: V162103 2020: V202166

VCF0233 THERMOMETER - ILLEGAL ALIENS

Question	Illegal aliens (2004-later: illegal immigrants) – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW; breakoff, sufficient partial (2016) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880622 1992: V925331 1994: V940317

2004: V045081
 2008: V085065a
 2012: ftcasi_illegal
 2016: V162313
 2020: V202481

VCF0234 THERMOMETER - CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS

Question	Christian fundamentalists – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880629 1992: V925338 1994: V940315 1996: V961038 2000: V001317 2002: V025064 2004: V045057 2008: V085064b 2012: ftgr_xfund 2016: V162095 2020: V202159

VCF0235 THERMOMETER - RADICAL STUDENTS

Question	Radical students – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700253(type 0) 1972: V720713 1974: V742359 1976: V763827 1980: V800864

VCF0236 THERMOMETER - FARMERS

Question	Farmers – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720732 1974: V742375 1980: V800883

VCF0253 THERMOMETER - FEMINISTS

Question	Feminists – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. For 'women's movement' see VCF0225.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880605 1992: V925317 2000: V001326 2002: V025071 2004: V045059 2008: V085064d 2012: ftgr_feminists 2016: V162096 2020: V202160

VCF0290 THERMOMETER AVERAGE - MAJOR PARTIES

Question	Thermometers –both major parties
Valid	0-97 Degrees.
Missing	998. DK in VCF0218 or VCF0224 999. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0218 and VCF0224 as: $(VCF0218+VCF0224)/2$. Fractional

results are rounded to the next highest integer.
Cases DK in either thermometer are coded DK; cases which are NA in one thermometer are coded NA unless the other thermometer is DK (in which case this var is coded DK).

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2020: VCF0218, VCF0224

VCF0291 THERMOMETER INDEX - MAJOR PARTIES

Question	Major party thermometer index
Valid	2. Most Republican . 50. Neutral . 99. Most Democratic
Missing	998. DK in VCF0218 or VCF0224 999. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0218 and VCF0224 as: $(VCF0218 - VCF0224 + 100) / 2$. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer. Cases DK in either thermometer are coded DK; cases which are NA in one thermometer are coded NA unless the other thermometer is DK (in which cases this var is coded DK).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2020: VCF0218, VCF0224

VCF0301 PARTY IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONDENT- 7-POINT SCALE

Question	Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what? (IF REPUBLICAN OR DEMOCRAT) Would you call yourself a strong (REP/DEM) or a not very strong (REP/DEM)? (IF INDEPENDENT, OTHER [1966 AND LATER:] OR NO PREFERENCE; 2008: OR DK) Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican or Democratic party?
Valid	1. Strong Democrat 2. Weak Democrat 3. Independent - Democrat 4. Independent - Independent 5. Independent - Republican 6. Weak Republican 7. Strong Republican
Missing	0. NA/RF initial party identification; Democrat or Republican initial party identification but DK/NA/RF strength; initial party identification independent/no preference/other/DK and followup is DK/NA/RF/other; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This variable has been revised in accordance with the ANES memo on

construction of the Party Identification Summary (available from the ANES Web site).

For all years, this variable has been reconstructed in the following consistent manner:

Code 1: initial mention Democrat; followup: strong.

Code 2: initial mention Democrat; followup: not strong.

Code 3: initial preference independent,no preference, other, DK ;

followup: Democrat

Code 4: initial preference independent,no preference, other, DK ;

followup: neither

Code 5: initial preference independent,no preference, other, DK ;

followup: Republican

Code 6: initial mention Republican; followup: not strong.

Code 7: initial mention Republican; followup: strong

2008 NOTE:

The order of the parties in the initial question was randomized.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520237 1956: V560088 1958: V580062 1960: V600091 1962: V620035 1964: V640146 1966: V660082 1968: V680120 1970: V700184(type 0) 1972: V720140 1974: V742204 1976: V763174 1978: V780433 1980: V800266 1982: V820291 1984: V840318 1986: V860300 1988: V880274 1990: V900320 1992: V923634 1994: V940655 1996: V960420 1998: V980339 2000: V000523 2002: V023038x 2004: V043116 2008: V083098x 2012: pid_x 2016: V161158x 2020: V201231x

VCF0302 PARTY IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONDENT- INITIAL PARTY ID RESPONSE

Question	Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?
Valid	1. Republican 2. Independent 3. No preference; none; neither 4. Other 5. Democrat
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; refused INAP. question not used

Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: This variable corresponds to R's initial response, before follow-up questions determine strength or leaning. 1952-66,1970 study data were coded as a summary already including information from strength and closeness, and are not included.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680119 1972: V720141 1974: V742201 1976: V763168 1978: V780426 1980: V800225 1982: V820287 1984: V840315 1986: V860297 1988: V880271 1990: V900317 1992: V923631 1994: V940652 1996: V960417 1998: V980336 2000: V000519 2002: V023036 2004: V043114 2008: V083097 2012: pid_self 2016: V161155,V161155a 2020: V201228

VCF0303 PARTY IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONDENT - SUMMARY 3-CATEGORY

Question	Party ID - 3 categories
Valid	1. Democrats (including leaners) 2. Independents 3. Republicans (including leaners)
Missing	0. DK; NA; other; refused to answer; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from VCF0301, 1-3=1, 4=2, 5-7=3</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520237 1956: V560088 1958: V580062 1960: V600091 1962: V620035 1964: V640146 1966: V660082 1968: V680120 1970: V700184(type 0) 1972: V720140 1974: V742204 1976: V763174 1978: V780433 1980: V800266 1982: V820291 1984: V840318 1986: V860300 1988: V880274 1990: V900320

1992: V923634
 1994: V940655
 1996: V960420
 1998: V980339
 2000: V000523
 2002: V023038x
 2004: V043116
 2008: V083098x
 2012: pid_x
 2020: V201231x

VCF0305 PARTY IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONDENT- STRENGTH OF PARTISANSHIP

Question	Party ID - strength of partisanship
Valid	1. Independent 2. Leaning Independent 3. Weak Partisan 4. Strong Partisan
Missing	0. DK; NA; other; refused to answer; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from VCF0301, 1=4, 2=3, 3=2, 4=1, 5=2, 6=3, 7=4
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520237 1956: V560088 1958: V580062 1960: V600091 1962: V620035 1964: V640146 1966: V660082 1968: V680120 1970: V700184(type 0) 1972: V720140 1974: V742204 1976: V763174 1978: V780433 1980: V800266 1982: V820291 1984: V840318 1986: V860300 1988: V880274 1990: V900320 1992: V923634 1994: V940655 1996: V960420 1998: V980339 2000: V000523 2002: V023038x 2004: V043116 2008: V083098x 2020: V201231x

VCF0306 PARTY IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONDENT'S FATHER

Question	(1970,1988,1990: When you were growing up) Did he/your father (1988,1992: or stepfather) think of himself mostly as a Democrat, as a Republican, or what?
Valid	1. Democrat 2. Independent (some years also: shifted around) 3. Republican 4. Other; minor party; apolitical; never voted, didn't get into politics; parents refused to say; DK (1988 only); father not a U.S. citizen
Missing	9. DK (exc. 1988) 0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; R had no father/father substitute; DK/NA father's interest in politics (1972 only) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Prior to 1972, code 2 was a volunteered code only. 1972 NOTE: If R's response to the question corresponding to VCF0308 (father's interest in politics) was DK or NA, then this question was not asked. 1988 NOTE: DK was combined with 'other' codes (code 4).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520068 1958: V580069 1964: V640151 1968: V680124 1970: V700192(type 0) 1972: V720153 1976: V763202 1978: V780439 1980: V800355 1988: V881115 1992: V926243

VCF0307 PARTY IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONDENT'S MOTHER

Question	(1970,1988,1990: When you were growing up) Did she/your mother (1988,1992: or stepmother) think of himself mostly as a Democrat, as a Republican, or what?
Valid	1. Democrat 2. Independent 3. Republican 4. Other; minor party; apolitical; never voted, didn't get into politics; parents refused to say; DK (1988 only); mother not a U.S. citizen; no women's suffrage (prior to 1972 only)
Missing	9. DK (exc. 1988) 0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; R had no mother/mother substitute; DK/NA mother's interest in politics (1972 only) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Prior to 1972, code 2 was a volunteered code only. 1972 NOTE: If R's response to the question corresponding to VCF0309 (mother's interest in politics) was DK or NA, then this question was not asked. 1988 ONLY: DK was combined with 'other' codes (code 4).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520069 1958: V580071

1964: V640153
 1968: V680126
 1970: V700193(type 0)
 1972: V720155
 1976: V763204
 1978: V780440
 1980: V800356
 1988: V881116
 1992: V926244

VCF0308 POLITICAL INTEREST OF RESPONDENT'S FATHER

Question	Do you remember when you were growing up whether your father was very much interested in politics, somewhat interested, or didn't he pay much attention to it?
Valid	1. Didn't pay much attention 2. Somewhat interested 3. Very much interested
Missing	9. DK 0. NA; R had no father/father substitute INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1958: V580068 1964: V640150 1968: V680123 1972: V720152 1976: V763201

VCF0309 POLITICAL INTEREST OF RESPONDENT'S MOTHER

Question	Now how about your mother? When you were growing up was she very much interested in politics, somewhat interested, or didn't she pay much attention to it?
Valid	1. Didn't pay much attention 2. Somewhat interested 3. Very much interested
Missing	9. DK 0. NA; R had no mother/mother substitute INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1958: V580070 1964: V640152 1968: V680125 1972: V720154 1976: V763203

VCF0310 INTEREST IN THE ELECTIONS

Question	Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you, would you say that you have been/were very much interested, somewhat interested, or not much interested in (1952-1998: following) the political campaigns (so far) this year?
Valid	1. Not much interested 2. Somewhat interested 3. Very much interested 9. DK
Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW; split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used
Notes	1988 NOTE: This question was present in both the pre and post. The pre version is represented here. 1992 NOTE: same as 1988 2002 NOTE: same as 1988 2004 NOTE: same as 1988 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'OLD'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'NEW'). A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order. This question was present in both the pre and post. The pre version is represented here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520044 1956: V560097 1958: V580075 1960: V600098 1962: V620039 1964: V640157 1966: V660087 1968: V680130 1970: V700201(type 0) 1972: V720163 1976: V763031 1978: V780043 1980: V800053 1982: V820058 1984: V840075 1986: V860059 1988: V880097 1990: V900062 1992: V923101 1994: V940124 1996: V960201 1998: V980201 2000: V000301 2002: V023001 2004: V043001 2008: V083001a 2012: interest_following 2016: V161004 2020: V201006

VCF0311 DOES RESPONDENT CARE WHICH PARTY WINS PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION

Question	Generally speaking, would you say that you personally care a good deal which party (1992 and later: who) wins the presidential election this fall, or that you don't care very much which party (1992 and later: who) wins?
Valid	1. Don't care very much or DK, pro-con, depends, and other 2. Care a good deal
Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The 1992 change in wording from 'which party wins' to 'who wins' produced a significant change in distributions. 2016: The 2016 version of this question was not comparable.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520041 1956: V560014 1960: V600019 1964: V640020 1968: V680027 1972: V720029 1976: V763030 1980: V800061 1984: V840080 1988: V880102 1992: V923106 1996: V960202 2000: V000302 2004: V043092 2008: V083072 2012: preswin_care

VCF0312 RESPONDENT CARE(D) WHICH PARTY WINS CONGRESSIONAL ELECTION

Question	POST ADMINISTRATION: As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally cared about the way the elections (1996 AND LATER: election) to the U.S. House of Representatives (1966,1970,1974: to Congress) came out: Did you care very much, pretty much, not very much or not at all? PRE ADMINISTRATION: As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally care about the way the elections (1996 AND LATER: election) to the U.S. House of Representatives (1970,1974: to Congress) come(s) out: Do you care very much, pretty much, not very much or not at all?
Valid	1. Not very much, not at all, DK, pro-con, depends, other 2. Very much, pretty much
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	2002 NOTE: This question was asked in both the Pre and Post; the Pre is

represented here.

2008 NOTE:

A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with with response options in reverse order.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1966: V660063 1970: V700164(type 0) 1974: V742026 1978: V780114 1980: V800822 1982: V820097 1986: V860102 1990: V900106 1992: V925108 1994: V940209 1996: V960256 1998: V980222 2000: V000342 2002: V023007 2008: V083034

VCF0313 INTEREST IN PUBLIC AFFAIRS

Question	<p>1964 AND LATER: Some people seem to follow (1964: think about) what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, some of the time, only now and then, or hardly at all?</p> <p>1960, 1962: We'd also like to know how much attention you pay to what's going on in politics generally. I mean from day to day, when there isn't any big election campaign going on, would you say you follow politics very closely, fairly closely, or not much at all?</p>
Valid	<p>1. Hardly at all (1960,1962: not much at all)</p> <p>2. Only now and then</p> <p>3. Some of the time (1960,1962: fairly closely)</p> <p>4. Most of the time (1960,1962: very closely)</p> <p>9. DK</p>
Missing	<p>0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); split versions: not asked (2008)</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>1960 NOTE: Only three response categories were available, corresponding to codes 1, 3, and 4.</p> <p>1962 NOTE: same as 1960.</p> <p>1962 NOTE 2: The DK category was not present</p> <p>2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'OLD'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'NEW'). A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order. This question was present in both the pre and post. The pre version is represented here.</p> <p>2016 NOTE: The 2016 version of this question was not comparable.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1960: V600225 1962: V620040 1964: V640324 1966: V660064 1968: V680430 1972: V720476 1974: V742027 1976: V763599 1978: V780310 1980: V800974 1982: V820294 1984: V840988 1986: V860301 1988: V880812 1990: V900321 1992: V925721 1994: V940701 1996: V961134 1998: V980340 2000: V001367 2002: V025084 2004: V045095 2008: V085072
----------------	---

VCF0314 DEMOCRATIC PARTY: RESPONDENT LIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0. Zero positive mentions (likes) 1. One positive mention (likes) 2. Two positive mentions (likes) 3. Three positive mentions (likes) 4. Four positive mentions (likes) 5. Five positive mentions (likes)
Missing	9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form or wave. Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. 1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes). 2000 NOTE: same as 1996 2004 NOTE: same as 1996
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520018 1956: V560015 1958: V580015 1960: V600020

1964: V640021
 1968: V680028
 1972: V720031
 1976: V763088,V763089,V763090,V763091,V763092
 1978: V780091,V780092,V780093,V780094,V780095
 1980: V800173,V800174,V800175,V800176,V800177
 1982: V820074,V820075,V820076,V820077,V820078
 1984: V840267,V840268,V840269,V840270,V840271
 1986: V860073,V860074,V860075,V860076,V860077
 1988: V880183,V880184,V880185,V880186,V880187
 1990: V900074,V900075,V900076,V900077,V900078,V900079
 1992: V923402,V923403,V923404,V923405,V923406
 1994: V940132,V940133,V940134,V940135,V940136
 1996: V960326,V960327,V960328,V960329,V960330
 2000: V000374,V000375,V000376,V000377,V000378
 2004: V043053

VCF0315 DEMOCRATIC PARTY: RESPONDENT DISLIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	<p>0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes)</p> <p>1. One negative mention (dislikes)</p> <p>2. Two negative mentions (dislikes)</p> <p>3. Three negative mentions (dislikes)</p> <p>4. Four negative mentions (dislikes)</p> <p>5. Five negative mentions (dislikes)</p>
Missing	9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form (1972,1990,1996) or within a wave (1952,1960). Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response to the same question have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0.</p> <p>1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes).</p> <p>2000 NOTE: same as 1996</p> <p>2004 NOTE: same as 1996</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1952: V520019</p> <p>1956: V560016</p> <p>1958: V580016</p> <p>1960: V600021</p> <p>1964: V640022</p> <p>1968: V680029</p> <p>1972: V720033</p> <p>1976: V763094,V763095,V763096,V763097,V763098</p> <p>1978: V780097,V780098,V780099,V780100,V780101</p> <p>1980: V800179,V800180,V800181,V800182,V800183</p>

1982: V820081,V820082,V820083,V820084
 1984: V840273,V840274,V840275,V840276,V840277
 1986: V860079,V860080,V860081,V860082,V860083
 1988: V880189,V880190,V880191,V880192,V880193
 1990: V900081,V900082,V900083,V900084,V900085
 1992: V923408,V923409,V923410,V923411,V923412
 1994: V940138,V940139,V940140,V940141,V940142
 1996: V960332,V960333,V960334,V960335,V960336
 2000: V000380,V000381,V000382,V000383,V000384
 2004: V043055

VCF0316 DEMOCRATIC PARTY: AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Affect toward Democratic Party
Missing	99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic party 'likes' minus the number of Democratic party 'dislikes' (VCF0314-VCF0315).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0317 DEMOCRATIC PARTY: SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Salience of Democratic Party
Valid	0. Zero mentions . . 10. Ten mentions
Missing	99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic party 'likes' plus the number of Democratic party dislikes (VCF0314+VCF0315)
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0318 REPUBLICAN PARTY: RESPONDENT LIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	

	<ol style="list-style-type: none">0. Zero positive mentions (likes)1. One positive mention (likes)2. Two positive mentions (likes)3. Three positive mentions (likes)4. Four positive mentions (likes)5. Five positive mentions (likes)
Missing	9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form (1972, 1990, 1996) or within a wave (1952, 1960). Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response to the same question have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0 here. 1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes). 2000 NOTE: same as 1996
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020 1956: V560017 1958: V580017 1960: V600022 1964: V640023 1968: V680030 1972: V720035 1976: V763100,V763101,V763102,V763103,V763104 1978: V780103,V780104,V780105,V780106,V780107 1980: V800185,V800186,V800187,V800188,V800189 1982: V820086,V820087,V820088,V820089,V820090 1984: V840279,V840280,V840281,V840282,V840283 1986: V860085,V860086,V860087,V860088,V860089 1988: V880195,V880196,V880197,V880198,V880199 1990: V900086,V900087,V900088,V900089,V900090,V900091 1992: V923414,V923415,V923416,V923417,V923418 1994: V940144,V940145,V940146,V940147,V940148 1996: V960314,V960315,V960316,V960317,V960318 2000: V000386,V000387,V000388,V000389,V000390 2004: V043057

VCF0319 REPUBLICAN PARTY: RESPONDENT DISLIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none">0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes)1. One negative mention (dislikes)2. Two negative mentions (dislikes)3. Three negative mentions (dislikes)4. Four negative mentions (dislikes)5. Five negative mentions (dislikes)
Missing	9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996)

INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form (1972,1990,1996) or within a wave (1952,1960). Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response to the same question have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0.

1996 NOTE:
The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes).

2000 NOTE:
same as 1996

2004 NOTE:
same as 1996

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1952: V520021
1956: V560018
1958: V580018
1960: V600023
1964: V640024
1968: V680031
1972: V720037
1976: V763106,V763107,V763108,V763109,V763110
1978: V780109,V780110,V780111,V780112,V780113
1980: V800191,V800192,V800193,V800194,V800195
1982: V820092,V820093,V820094,V820095,V820096,V820096
1984: V840285,V840286,V840287,V840288,V840289
1986: V860091,V860092,V860093,V860094,V860095
1988: V880201,V880202,V880203,V880204,V880205
1990: V900092,V900093,V900094,V900095,V900096,V900097
1992: V923420,V923421,V923422,V923423,V923424
1994: V940150,V940151,V940152,V940153,V940154
1996: V960320,V960321,V960322,V960323,V960324
2000: V000392,V000393,V000394,V000395,V000396
2004: V043059

VCF0320 REPUBLICAN PARTY: AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question Affect toward Republican Party

Missing 99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996)
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
This is the number of Republican party 'likes' minus the number of Republican party 'dislikes' (VCF0318-VCF0319).

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0321 REPUBLICAN PARTY: SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Salience of Republican Party
Valid	0. Zero mentions . . 10. Ten mentions
Missing	99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Republican party 'likes' plus the number of Republican party 'dislikes' (VCF0318+VCF0319)
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0322 MAJOR PARTIES: AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Net affect toward major parties
Missing	999. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The net affect toward parties is the difference between two sums: the sum of Democratic party 'likes' and Republican party 'dislikes' MINUS the sum of Democratic party 'dislikes' and Republican party 'likes': [VCF0314+VCF0319] - [VCF0315+VCF0318] which is the same as: VCF0316 - VCF0320
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0323 MAJOR PARTIES: SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Major party salience
Valid	0. Zero mentions . . 20. Twenty mentions
Missing	99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half-sample (1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Party salience is the sum of all 'likes' and 'dislikes' about both the Democratic and Republican parties: [VCF0314 + VCF0315 + VCF0318 + VCF0319] which is the same as: VCF0317 + VCF0321
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0324 MAJOR PARTIES: RELATIVE SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Relative salience of major parties
Missing	999. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half-sample (1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Relative salience of parties is the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Democratic party MINUS the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party: [VCF0314+VCF0315] - [VCF0318+VCF0319] which is the same as: VCF0317 - VCF0321
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840323 1986: V860550 1988: V881014 1992: V923635 1996: V960421 2000: V001642a 2002: V025082 2004: V043121 2008: V083178e

Question	POLITICAL FIGURE TRAITS - GENERAL TEXT
	<p>I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures... Think about [NAME]. The first phrase is [TRAIT] In your opinion, does the phrase [TRAIT] describe [NAME] extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all? (What about) [NEXT TRAIT]?</p>
Notes	<p>1984 NOTE: Presidential trait vars appeared in both the pre and post; the pre is represented here.</p> <p>1988 NOTE: Form A respondents were asked in the Pre, and Form B respondents were asked in the Post.</p> <p>1996 NOTE: Traits were administered in random order; order of Presidential candidates (including the President) was also in random order.</p> <p>1998 NOTE: Order of traits was randomized.</p> <p>2000 NOTE: Respondents were randomly selected to be administered Clinton traits in either the pre or the post. The order of traits was randomized.</p> <p>2002 NOTE: Respondents were randomly selected to be administered one of two sets of traits. In the first set traits included VCF342 and VCF343; in the second set, VCF338, VCF344, and VCF345. Each set of traits was randomly assigned to one of two possible orders.</p> <p>2004 NOTE: same as 1996.</p> <p>2008 NOTE: 2008 NOTE: Traits were administered in 2 versions; version "J" corresponds to the version here; the remaining respondents were administered alternative version "K". Traits were administered in random order; order of Presidential candidates (including the President) was also in random order. A half-sample of respondents were administered the traits questions with response options in reverse order.</p>

2012 NOTE:
Not coded comparably in 2012.

VCF0338 PRESIDENT- INTELLIGENT

Question	President trait- Intelligent
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA 0. Form B (1986) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840323 1986: V860550 1988: V881014 1992: V923635 1996: V960421 2000: V001642a 2002: V025082 2004: V043121 2008: V083178e

VCF0339 PRESIDENT- COMPASSIONATE

Question	President trait - compassionate
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA 0. Form B (1986) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840321 1986: V860551 1988: V881015

1992: V923636
1996: V960422

VCF0340 PRESIDENT- DECENT

Question	President trait - decent
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA 0. Form B (1986) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840320 1986: V860555 1988: V881019

VCF0341 PRESIDENT- INSPIRING

Question	President trait- inspiring
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA 0. Form B (1986) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800397 1982: V820382 1984: V840326 1986: V860553 1988: V881017 1992: V923638 1996: V960425

VCF0342 PRESIDENT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

Question	President trait - knowledgeable
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA 0. Form B (1986); R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000); R not selected for this trait (2002); no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800395 1982: V820380 1984: V840327 1986: V860557 1988: V881021 1992: V923641 1994: V940923 1996: V960428 1998: V980432 2000: V001639a 2002: V025078 2004: V043120 2008: V083178d

VCF0343 PRESIDENT - MORAL

Question	President trait - moral
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA 0. Form B (1986); R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000); R not selected for this trait (2002); no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800392 1982: V820377

1984: V840324
 1986: V860552
 1988: V881016
 1992: V923637
 1994: V940920
 1996: V960423
 1998: V980430
 2000: V001637a
 2002: V025076
 2004: V043117
 2008: V083178a

VCF0344 PRESIDENT- LEADERSHIP

Question	President trait - provides strong leadership
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA 0. Form B (1986); R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000); R not selected for this trait (2002); no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800399 1982: V820384 1984: V840330 1986: V860554 1988: V881018 1992: V923639 1994: V940921 1996: V960426 1998: V980434 2000: V001640a 2002: V025079 2004: V043118 2008: V083178b

VCF0345 PRESIDENT- CARES

Question	President trait - really cares about people like you
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all

Missing	8. DK 9. NA 0. Form B (1986); R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000); R not selected for this trait (2002); no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840329 1986: V860556 1988: V881020 1992: V923640 1994: V940922 1996: V960427 1998: V980431 2000: V001638a 2002: V025080 2004: V043119 2008: V083178c

Question	<p>POLITICAL FIGURE AFFECTS - GENERAL TEXT</p> <p>YEARS PRIOR TO 2016: Now we would like to know something about the feelings you have toward [NAME]. Has [NAME] – because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done – made you feel [AFFECT]?</p> <p>2016: Has [NONRUNNING PRESIDENT] – because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: [AFFECT]. How often would you say you've felt [AFFECT] / Now we would like to know something about the feelings you have toward the candidates for President. I am going to name a candidate, and I want you to tell me whether something about that person, or something he or she has done, has made you have certain feelings like anger or pride. Think about [PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE]. How often would you say you've felt [AFFECT] because of the kind of person [PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE] is or because of something HE/SHE has done?</p>
Notes	<p>2016 NOTE: Single question.</p> <p>1980 NOTE: "Don't know" was combined with "no" (code 2).</p> <p>1982 NOTE: same as 1980.</p> <p>1984 NOTE 1: Reagan affect variables appeared in both the pre and post: the pre is represented.</p> <p>1984 NOTE 2: "Don't know" was combined with NA (code 9).</p> <p>1988 NOTE: Form A respondents were asked affects in the Pre, and Form B respondents were asked in the Post.</p> <p>1996 NOTE: Affects were administered in random order; order of Presidential candidates (including the President) was also in random order.</p> <p>1998: Affects were administered in random order.</p> <p>2000 NOTE 1:</p>

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered affects in either the pre or the post.
2000 NOTE 2:
The order of affects was randomized.
2004 NOTE:
same as 1996.
2008 NOTE:
same as 1996.

VCF0346 PRESIDENT- ANGRY

Question	President affect: angry
Valid	1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980,1982 only: DK)
Missing	8. DK (exc. 1980-1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) 0. Form B (1986); no Post IW; R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	2016 NOTE: For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." (no separate answers to a lead-in "Have you ever..."). GENERAL NOTE: Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008. Barack Obama: 2012,2016
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800127 1982: V820386 1984: V840212 1986: V860542 1988: V881023 1992: V923501 1994: V940835 1996: V960341 1998: V980389 2000: V001629a 2004: V043069 2008: V083174 2012: candaff_angdpc 2016: V161236

VCF0347 PRESIDENT- AFRAID

Question	President affect: afraid (of him)
Valid	1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980,1982 only: DK)
Missing	8. DK (exc. 1980-1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) 0. Form B (1986); no Post IW; R selected for Post administration and

	no Post IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	2016 NOTE: For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." (no separate answers to a lead-in "Have you ever..."). GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for affects preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800129 1982: V820388 1984: V840214 1986: V860544 1988: V881025 1992: V923503 1994: V940837 1996: V960345 1998: V980393 2000: V001633a 2004: V043073 2008: V083176 2012: candaff_afrdpc

VCF0348 PRESIDENT- HOPEFUL

Question	President affect: hopeful
Valid	1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980,1982 only: DK)
Missing	8. DK (exc. 1980-1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) 0. Form B (1986); no Post IW; R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	2016 NOTE: For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." (no separate answers to a lead-in "Have you ever..."). GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for affects preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800128 1982: V820387 1984: V840213 1986: V860543 1988: V881024 1992: V923502 1994: V940836 1996: V960343 1998: V980391 2000: V001631a 2004: V043071 2008: V083175 2012: candaff_hpdp

1996: V960421
 2000: V000529
 2004: V043128
 2008: V083099e

VCF0351 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- COMPASSIONATE

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate trait - compassionate
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840337 1988: V880285 1992: V923645 1996: V960422

VCF0352 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- DECENT

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate trait - decent
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840336 1988: V880289

VCF0353 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- INSPIRING

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate trait - inspiring
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800397 1984: V840342 1988: V880287 1992: V923647 1996: V960425

VCF0354 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- KNOWLEDGEABLE

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate trait - knowledgeable
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800395 1984: V840343 1988: V880291 1992: V923650 1996: V960428 2000: V000526 2004: V043127 2008: V083099d

VCF0355 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- MORAL

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate trait - moral
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800392 1984: V840340 1988: V880286 1992: V923646 1996: V960423 2000: V000524 2004: V043124 2008: V083099a

VCF0356 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- LEADERSHIP

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate trait - provides strong leadership
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800399 1984: V840346 1988: V880288 1992: V923648 1996: V960426 2000: V000527 2004: V043125 2008: V083099b

VCF0357 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- CARES

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate trait - really cares about people like you
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840345 1988: V880290 1992: V923649 1996: V960427 2000: V000525 2004: V043126 2008: V083099c

VCF0358 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- ANGRY

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate affect: angry
Valid	1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)
Missing	8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) INAP. question not used
Notes	2016 NOTE: For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." There was no lead-in question "Have you ever...". GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800127 1984: V840219 1988: V880218 1992: V923505 1996: V960341 2000: V000407 2004: V043077 2008: V083061 2012: candaff_angdpc 2016: V161116

VCF0359 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- AFRAID

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate affect: afraid (of him/her)
Valid	1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)
Missing	8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) INAP. question not used
Notes	2016 NOTE: For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has." There was no lead-in question "Have you ever...". GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004, Barack Obama 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800129 1984: V840221 1988: V880220 1992: V923507 1996: V960345 2000: V000411 2004: V043081 2008: V083063 2012: candaff_afrdpc 2016: V161118

VCF0360 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- HOPEFUL

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate affect: hopeful
Valid	1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)
Missing	8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) INAP. question not used
Notes	2016 NOTE: For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has." There was no lead-in question "Have you ever...". GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004, Barack Obama 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800128 1984: V840220 1988: V880219 1992: V923506 1996: V960343 2000: V000409 2004: V043079

2008: V083062
 2012: candaff_hpdpc
 2016: V161117

VCF0361 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- PROUD

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate affect: proud
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>2016 NOTE: For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." There was no lead-in question "Have you ever...".</p> <p>GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004, Barack Obama 2008.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800130 1984: V840222 1988: V880221 1992: V923508 1996: V960347 2000: V000413 2004: V043083 2008: V083064 2012: candaff_prddpc 2016: V161119

VCF0362 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- INTELLIGENT

Question	Republican Presidential candidate trait - intelligent
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1984: V840323 1988: V880275 1992: V923635 2000: V000536 2004: V043121 2008: V083101e
----------------	---

VCF0363 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- COMPASSIONATE

Question	Republican Presidential candidate trait - compassionate
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840321 1988: V880276 1992: V923636

VCF0364 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- DECENT

Question	Republican Presidential candidate trait - decent
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840320 1988: V880280

VCF0365 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- INSPIRING

Question	Republican Presidential candidate trait - inspiring
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800379 1984: V840326 1988: V880278 1992: V923638 1996: V960434

VCF0366 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- KNOWLEDGEABLE

Question	Republican Presidential candidate trait - knowledgeable
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800377 1984: V840327 1988: V880282 1992: V923641 1996: V960437 2000: V000533 2004: V043120 2008: V083101d

VCF0367 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- MORAL

Question	Republican Presidential candidate trait - moral
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800374 1984: V840324 1988: V880277 1992: V923637 1996: V960432 2000: V000531 2004: V043117 2008: V083101a

VCF0368 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- LEADERSHIP

Question	Republican Presidential candidate trait - provides strong leadership
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800381 1984: V840330 1988: V880279 1992: V923639 1996: V960435 2000: V000534 2004: V043118 2008: V083101b

VCF0369 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- CARES

Question	Republican Presidential candidate trait - really cares about people like you
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840329 1988: V880281 1992: V923640 1996: V960436 2000: V000532 2004: V043119 2008: V083101c

VCF0370 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- ANGRY

Question	Republican Presidential candidate affect: angry
Valid	1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)
Missing	8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) Inap. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800113 1984: V840212 1988: V880214 1992: V923501 1996: V960349 2000: V000415 2004: V043069 2008: V083065 2012: candaff_angrpc 2016: V161121

VCF0371 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- AFRAID

Question	Republican Presidential candidate affect: afraid (of him)
Valid	1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)
Missing	8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) Inap. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800115 1984: V840214 1988: V880216 1992: V923503 1996: V960353 2000: V000419 2004: V043073 2008: V083067 2012: candaff_afrrpc 2016: V161123

VCF0372 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- HOPEFUL

Question	Republican Presidential candidate affect: hopeful
Valid	1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)
Missing	8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800114 1984: V840213 1988: V880215 1992: V923502 1996: V960351 2000: V000417 2004: V043071 2008: V083066 2012: candaff_hprpc 2016: V161122

VCF0373 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- PROUD

Question	Republican Presidential candidate affect: proud
Valid	1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only; DK)
Missing	8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only; DK) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in individual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800116 1984: V840215 1988: V880217 1992: V923504 1996: V960355 2000: V000421 2004: V043075 2008: V083068 2012: candaff_prdrpc 2016: V161124

VCF0374 LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. RF; NA INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); question not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720030 1976: V763087 1978: V780090 1980: V800172 1982: V820073 1984: V840266 1986: V860072 1988: V880182 1990: V900074 1992: V923401 1994: V940131 1996: V960325 2000: V000373 2004: V043052 2008: V083045

2012: ptylik_ljkdp
 2016: V161097
 2020: V201158

VCF0375a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
-----------------	---

Valid	0001-8877
--------------	-----------

Missing	8888. DK (mention 1 only) 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
----------------	--

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0314, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
--------------	---

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
---------------	----------------------------

Sources	1972: V720031a 1976: V763088 1978: V780091 1980: V800173 1982: V820074 1984: V840267 1986: V860073 1988: V880183 1990: V900075 1992: V923402 1994: V940132 1996: V960326 2000: V000374 2004: V043053a
----------------	--

VCF0375b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
-----------------	---

Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
--------------	--

-
31. Government management (0601-0697)
32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
35. Group connections (1201-1299)
40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing

88. DK (mention 1 only)
89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990);
questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question
not used

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:
Collapsed from full code VCF0375a.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1972: V720031a
1976: V763088
1978: V780091
1980: V800173
1982: V820074
1984: V840267
1986: V860073
1988: V880183
1990: V900075
1992: V923402
1994: V940132
1996: V960326
2000: V000374
2004: V043053a

VCF0375c 1952-1968 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

Valid

0011-9980

Missing

9987. DK much about party
9988. DK (mention 1 only)
9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:
See NOTES VCF0314.
See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1952: V520018a
1956: V560015a
1958: V580015a
1960: V600020a
1964: V640021a
1968: V680028a

VCF0375d 1952-1968 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	87. Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0375c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520018a 1956: V560015a 1958: V580015a 1960: V600020a 1964: V640021a 1968: V680028a

VCF0376a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0314, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720031b 1976: V763089 1978: V780092 1980: V800174 1982: V820075 1984: V840268

1986: V860074
 1988: V880184
 1990: V900076
 1992: V923403
 1994: V940133
 1996: V960327
 2000: V000375
 2004: V043053b

VCF0376b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
 What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

Valid

11. People within party (0001-0097)
12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
31. Government management (0601-0697)
32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
35. Group connections (1201-1299)
40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 Collapsed from full code VCF0376a.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1972: V720031b
 1976: V763089
 1978: V780092
 1980: V800174
 1982: V820075
 1984: V840268
 1986: V860074
 1988: V880184
 1990: V900076
 1992: V923403
 1994: V940133
 1996: V960327
 2000: V000375
 2004: V043053b

VCF0376c 1952-1968 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question

	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES: VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520018b 1956: V560015b 1958: V580015b 1960: V600020b 1964: V640021b 1968: V680028b

VCF0376d 1952-1968 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0376c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520018b 1956: V560015b 1958: V580015b 1960: V600020b 1964: V640021b 1968: V680028b

VCF0377a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
-----------------	---

Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample(1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0314, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720031c 1976: V763090 1978: V780093 1980: V800175 1982: V820076 1984: V840269 1986: V860075 1988: V880185 1990: V900077 1992: V923404 1994: V940134 1996: V960328 2000: V000376 2004: V043053c

VCF0377b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0377a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720031c 1976: V763090 1978: V780093 1980: V800175

1982: V820076
 1984: V840269
 1986: V860075
 1988: V880185
 1990: V900077
 1992: V923404
 1994: V940134
 1996: V960328
 2000: V000376
 2004: V043053c

VCF0377c 1952-1968 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520018c 1956: V560015c 1958: V580015c 1960: V600020c 1964: V640021c 1968: V680028c

VCF0377d 1952-1968 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0377c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1952: V520018c 1956: V560015c 1958: V580015c 1960: V600020c 1964: V640021c 1968: V680028c
----------------	--

VCF0378a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0314, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763091 1978: V780094 1980: V800176 1982: V820077 1984: V840270 1986: V860076 1988: V880186 1990: V900078 1992: V923405 1994: V940135 1996: V960329 2000: V000377 2004: V043053d

VCF0378b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)

- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0378a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763091 1978: V780094 1980: V800176 1982: V820077 1984: V840270 1986: V860076 1988: V880186 1990: V900078 1992: V923405 1994: V940135 1996: V960329 2000: V000377 2004: V043053d

VCF0378c 1952-1968 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520018d 1956: V560015d 1958: V580015d 1960: V600020d 1964: V640021d 1968: V680028d

VCF0378d 1952-1968 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	
-----------------	--

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0378c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520018d 1956: V560015d 1958: V580015d 1960: V600020d 1964: V640021d 1968: V680028d

VCF0379a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0314, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763092 1978: V780095 1980: V800177 1982: V820078 1984: V840271 1986: V860077 1988: V880187 1990: V900079 1992: V923406 1994: V940136 1996: V960330 2000: V000378 2004: V043053e

VCF0379b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0379a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763092 1978: V780095 1980: V800177 1982: V820078 1984: V840271 1986: V860077 1988: V880187 1990: V900079 1992: V923406 1994: V940136 1996: V960330 2000: V000378 2004: V043053e

VCF0379c 1952-1968 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520018e 1956: V560015e 1958: V580015e 1960: V600020e

1964: V640021e
1968: V680028e

VCF0379d 1952-1968 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0379c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520018e 1956: V560015e 1958: V580015e 1960: V600020e 1964: V640021e 1968: V680028e

VCF0380 DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. RF; NA INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); question not administered in assigned half sample 1996; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0315. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720032 1976: V763093 1978: V780096 1980: V800178 1982: V820079 1984: V840272

1986: V860078
 1988: V880188
 1990: V900080
 1992: V923407
 1994: V940137
 1996: V960331
 2000: V000379
 2004: V043054
 2008: V083047
 2012: ptylik_disldp
 2016: V161100
 2020: V201160

VCF0381a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
 What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

Valid 0001-8877

Missing 8888. DK (mention 1 only)
 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
 INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990);
 questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question
 not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See NOTES VCF0315.
 See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code.
 1972 SPECIAL NOTE:
 Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release.
 Note that VCF0315, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded
 tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant)
 which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3
 released mentions are represented in this file.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1972: V720033a
 1976: V763094
 1978: V780097
 1980: V800179
 1982: V820080
 1984: V840273
 1986: V860079
 1988: V880189
 1990: V900081
 1992: V923408
 1994: V940138
 1996: V960332
 2000: V000380
 2004: V043055a

VCF0381b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	88. DK (mention 1 only) 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0381a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720033a 1976: V763094 1978: V780097 1980: V800179 1982: V820080 1984: V840273 1986: V860079 1988: V880189 1990: V900081 1992: V923408 1994: V940138 1996: V960332 2000: V000380 2004: V043055a

VCF0381c 1952-1968 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	9987.DK much about party 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520019a 1956: V560016a 1958: V580016a 1960: V600021a

1964: V640022a
1968: V680029a

VCF0381d 1952-1968 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	87. Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP: 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0381c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520019a 1956: V560016a 1958: V580016a 1960: V600021a 1964: V640022a 1968: V680029a

VCF0382a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0315. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0315, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720033b 1976: V763095 1978: V780098 1980: V800180 1982: V820081 1984: V840274 1986: V860080 1988: V880190 1990: V900082 1992: V923409 1994: V940139 1996: V960333 2000: V000381 2004: V043055b

VCF0382b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0382a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720033b 1976: V763095 1978: V780098 1980: V800180 1982: V820081 1984: V840274 1986: V860080 1988: V880190 1990: V900082 1992: V923409 1994: V940139 1996: V960333 2000: V000381 2004: V043055b

VCF0382c 1952-1968 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520019b 1956: V560016b 1958: V580016b 1960: V600021b 1964: V640022b 1968: V680029b

VCF0382d 1952-1968 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0382c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520019b 1956: V560016b 1958: V580016b 1960: V600021b 1964: V640022b 1968: V680029b

VCF0383a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0315. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0315, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720033c 1976: V763096 1978: V780099 1980: V800181 1982: V820082 1984: V840275 1986: V860081 1988: V880191 1990: V900083 1992: V923410 1994: V940140 1996: V960334 2000: V000382 2004: V043055e

VCF0383b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0383a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1972: V720033c 1976: V763096 1978: V780099 1980: V800181 1982: V820082 1984: V840275 1986: V860081 1988: V880191 1990: V900083 1992: V923410 1994: V940140 1996: V960334 2000: V000382 2004: V043055e
----------------	--

VCF0383c 1952-1968 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520019c 1956: V560016c 1958: V580016c 1960: V600021c 1964: V640022c 1968: V680029c

VCF0383d 1952-1968 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW
Notes	

GENERAL NOTE:
Collapsed from full code VCF0383c.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520019c 1956: V560016c 1958: V580016c 1960: V600021c 1964: V640022c 1968: V680029c

VCF0384a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0315. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0315, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763097 1978: V780100 1980: V800182 1982: V820083 1984: V840276 1986: V860082 1988: V880192 1990: V900084 1992: V923411 1994: V940141 1996: V960335 2000: V000383 2004: V043055d

VCF0384b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	

11. People within party (0001-0097)
 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
 31. Government management (0601-0697)
 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0384a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763097 1978: V780100 1980: V800182 1982: V820083 1984: V840276 1986: V860082 1988: V880192 1990: V900084 1992: V923411 1994: V940141 1996: V960335 2000: V000383 2004: V043055d

VCF0384c 1952-1968 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520019d 1956: V560016d 1958: V580016d 1960: V600021d 1964: V640022d 1968: V680029d

VCF0384d 1952-1968 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0384c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520019d 1956: V560016d 1958: V580016d 1960: V600021d 1964: V640022d 1968: V680029d

VCF0385a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0315. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0315, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763098 1978: V780101 1980: V800183 1982: V820084 1984: V840277 1986: V860083 1988: V880193 1990: V900085 1992: V923412

1994: V940142
 1996: V960336
 2000: V000384
 2004: V043055e

VCF0385b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0385a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763098 1978: V780101 1980: V800183 1982: V820084 1984: V840277 1986: V860083 1988: V880193 1990: V900085 1992: V923412 1994: V940142 1996: V960336 2000: V000384 2004: V043055e

VCF0385c 1952-1968 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520019e 1956: V560016e 1958: V580016e 1960: V600021e 1964: V640022e 1968: V680029e

VCF0385d 1952-1968 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0385c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520019e 1956: V560016e 1958: V580016e 1960: V600021e 1964: V640022e 1968: V680029e

VCF0386 LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. RF; NA INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); question not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0318.

This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020 1956: V560017 1958: V580017 1960: V600022 1964: V640023 1968: V680030 1972: V720034 1976: V763099 1978: V780102 1980: V800184 1982: V820085 1984: V840278 1986: V860084 1988: V880194 1990: V900086 1992: V923413 1994: V940143 1996: V960313 2000: V000385 2004: V043056 2008: V083049 2012: ptylik_likrp 2016: V161103 2020: V201162

VCF0387a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	8888. DK (mention 1 only) 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0318. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0318, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720035a 1976: V763100 1978: V780103 1980: V800185 1982: V820092 1984: V840279 1986: V860085 1988: V880195 1990: V900087 1992: V923414 1994: V940144

1996: V960314
 2000: V000392
 2004: V043057a

VCF0387b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
 What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

Valid 11. People within party (0001-0097)
 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
 31. Government management (0601-0697)
 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing 88. DK (mention 1 only)
 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
 INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 Collapsed from full code VCF0387a.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1972: V720035a
 1976: V763100
 1978: V780103
 1980: V800185
 1982: V820092
 1984: V840279
 1986: V860085
 1988: V880195
 1990: V900087
 1992: V923414
 1994: V940144
 1996: V960314
 2000: V000392
 2004: V043057a

VCF0387c 1952-1968 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
 What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

Valid 0011-9980

Missing	9987.DK much about party 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020a 1956: V560017a 1958: V580017a 1960: V600022a 1964: V640023a 1968: V680030a

VCF0387d 1952-1968 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	87. Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0387c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020a 1956: V560017a 1958: V580017a 1960: V600022a 1964: V640023a 1968: V680030a

VCF0388a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0001-8877

Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0318. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0318, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720035b 1976: V763101 1978: V780104 1980: V800186 1982: V820086 1984: V840280 1986: V860086 1988: V880196 1990: V900088 1992: V923415 1994: V940145 1996: V960315 2000: V000387 2004: V043057b

VCF0388b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0388a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720035b 1976: V763101 1978: V780104 1980: V800186 1982: V820086 1984: V840280

1986: V860086
 1988: V880196
 1990: V900088
 1992: V923415
 1994: V940145
 1996: V960315
 2000: V000387
 2004: V043057b

VCF0388c 1952-1968 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020b 1956: V560017b 1958: V580017b 1960: V600022b 1964: V640023b 1968: V680030b

VCF0388d 1952-1968 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0388c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020b 1956: V560017b 1958: V580017b

1960: V600022b
 1964: V640023b
 1968: V680030b

VCF0389a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
 What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

Valid 0001-8877

Missing INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See NOTES VCF0318.
 See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code.
 1972 SPECIAL NOTE:
 Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release.
 Note that VCF0318, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1972: V720035c
 1976: V763102
 1978: V780105
 1980: V800187
 1982: V820088
 1984: V840281
 1986: V860087
 1988: V881097
 1990: V900089
 1992: V923416
 1994: V940146
 1996: V960316
 2000: V000388
 2004: V043057c

VCF0389b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
 What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

Valid 11. People within party (0001-0097)
 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
 31. Government management (0601-0697)
 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)

33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0389a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720035c 1976: V763102 1978: V780105 1980: V800187 1982: V820088 1984: V840281 1986: V860087 1988: V881097 1990: V900089 1992: V923416 1994: V940146 1996: V960316 2000: V000388 2004: V043057c

VCF0389c 1952-1968 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020c 1956: V560017c 1958: V580017c 1960: V600022c 1964: V640023c 1968: V680030c

VCF0389d 1952-1968 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
-----------------	---

Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0389c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020c 1956: V560017c 1958: V580017c 1960: V600022c 1964: V640023c 1968: V680030c

VCF0390a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0318. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0318, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763103 1978: V780106 1980: V800188 1982: V820089 1984: V840282 1986: V860088 1988: V880198 1990: V900090 1992: V923417 1994: V940147 1996: V960317 2000: V000389 2004: V043057d

VCF0390b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0390a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763103 1978: V780106 1980: V800188 1982: V820089 1984: V840282 1986: V860088 1988: V880198 1990: V900090 1992: V923417 1994: V940147 1996: V960317 2000: V000389 2004: V043057d

VCF0390c 1952-1968 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020d 1956: V560017d 1958: V580017d 1960: V600022d 1964: V640023d 1968: V680030d

VCF0390d 1952-1968 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0390c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020d 1956: V560017d 1958: V580017d 1960: V600022d 1964: V640023d 1968: V680030d

VCF0391a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0318. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0318, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763104 1978: V780107 1980: V800189 1982: V820090 1984: V840283 1986: V860089 1988: V880199 1990: V900091 1992: V923418

1994: V940148
 1996: V960318
 2000: V000390
 2004: V043057e

VCF0391b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0391a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1976: V763104 1978: V780107 1980: V800189 1982: V820090 1984: V840283 1986: V860089 1988: V880199 1990: V900091 1992: V923418 1994: V940148 1996: V960318 2000: V000390 2004: V043057e

VCF0391c 1952-1968 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020e 1956: V560017e 1958: V580017e 1960: V600022e 1964: V640023e 1968: V680030e

VCF0391d 1952-1968 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0391c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520020e 1956: V560017e 1958: V580017e 1960: V600022e 1964: V640023e 1968: V680030e

VCF0392 DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. RF; NA INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); question not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0319.

This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720036 1976: V763105 1978: V780108 1980: V800190 1982: V820091 1984: V840284 1986: V860090 1988: V880200 1990: V900092 1992: V923419 1994: V940149 1996: V960319 2000: V000391 2004: V043058 2008: V083051 2012: ptylik_dislrp 2016: V161106 2020: V201164

VCF0393a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	8888. DK (mention 1 only) 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0319. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0319, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720037a 1976: V763106 1978: V780109 1980: V800191 1982: V820092 1984: V840285 1986: V860091 1988: V880201 1990: V900093 1992: V923420 1994: V940150 1996: V960320 2000: V000392 2004: V043059a

VCF0393b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	88. DK (mention 1 only) 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0393a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720037a 1976: V763106 1978: V780109 1980: V800191 1982: V820092 1984: V840285 1986: V860091 1988: V880201 1990: V900093 1992: V923420 1994: V940150 1996: V960320 2000: V000392 2004: V043059a

VCF0393c 1952-1968 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	9987.DK much about party 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1952: V520021a 1956: V560018a 1958: V580018a 1960: V600023a 1964: V640024a 1968: V680031a
----------------	--

VCF0393d 1952-1968 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	87. Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0393c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520021a 1956: V560018a 1958: V580018a 1960: V600023a 1964: V640024a 1968: V680031a

VCF0394a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0319. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release.

Note that VCF0319, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720037b 1976: V763107 1978: V780110 1980: V800192 1982: V820093 1984: V840286 1986: V860092 1988: V880202 1990: V900094 1992: V923421 1994: V940151 1996: V960321 2000: V000393 2004: V043059b

VCF0394b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0394a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720037b 1976: V763107 1978: V780110 1980: V800192 1982: V820093 1984: V840286 1986: V860092 1988: V880202 1990: V900094 1992: V923421 1994: V940151 1996: V960321 2000: V000393 2004: V043059b

VCF0394c 1952-1968 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520021b 1956: V560018b 1958: V580018b 1960: V600023b 1964: V640024b 1968: V680031b

VCF0394d 1952-1968 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0394c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520021b 1956: V560018b 1958: V580018b 1960: V600023b 1964: V640024b 1968: V680031b

VCF0395a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0319. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0319, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720037c 1976: V763108 1978: V780111 1980: V800193 1982: V820094 1984: V840287 1986: V860093 1988: V880203 1990: V900095 1992: V923422 1994: V940152 1996: V960322 2000: V000394 2004: V043059c

VCF0395b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0395a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1972: V720037c 1976: V763108 1978: V780111 1980: V800193 1982: V820094 1984: V840287 1986: V860093 1988: V880203 1990: V900095 1992: V923422 1994: V940152 1996: V960322 2000: V000394 2004: V043059c
----------------	--

VCF0395c 1952-1968 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520021c 1956: V560018c 1958: V580018c 1960: V600023c 1964: V640024c 1968: V680031c

VCF0395d 1952-1968 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW
Notes	

GENERAL NOTE:
Collapsed from full code VCF0395c.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520021c 1956: V560018c 1958: V580018c 1960: V600023c 1964: V640024c 1968: V680031c

VCF0396a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0319. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0319, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763109 1978: V780112 1980: V800194 1982: V820095 1984: V840288 1986: V860094 1988: V880204 1990: V900096 1992: V923423 1994: V940153 1996: V960323 2000: V000395 2004: V043059d

VCF0396b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0396a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763109 1978: V780112 1980: V800194 1982: V820095 1984: V840288 1986: V860094 1988: V880204 1990: V900096 1992: V923423 1994: V940153 1996: V960323 2000: V000395 2004: V043059d

VCF0396c 1952-1968 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520021d 1956: V560018d 1958: V580018d 1960: V600023d 1964: V640024d 1968: V680031d

VCF0396d 1952-1968 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0396c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520021d 1956: V560018d 1958: V580018d 1960: V600023d 1964: V640024d 1968: V680031d

VCF0397a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0319. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0319, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763110 1978: V780113 1980: V800195 1982: V820096 1984: V840289 1986: V860095 1988: V880205 1990: V900097 1992: V923424

1994: V940154
 1996: V960324
 2000: V000396
 2004: V043059e

VCF0397b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0397a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1976: V763110 1978: V780113 1980: V800195 1982: V820096 1984: V840289 1986: V860095 1988: V880205 1990: V900097 1992: V923424 1994: V940154 1996: V960324 2000: V000396 2004: V043059e

VCF0397c 1952-1968 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	0011-9980
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520021e 1956: V560018e 1958: V580018e 1960: V600023e 1964: V640024e 1968: V680031e

VCF0397d 1952-1968 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?
Valid	01. People within party 02. Government management 03. Government activity, government philosophy 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic 05. Domestic policies, other 06. Foreign policy 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 09. General party attitudes/responses 10. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0397c.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520021e 1956: V560018e 1958: V580018e 1960: V600023e 1964: V640024e 1968: V680031e

VCF0401 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND: RESPONDENT LIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Now I'd like to ask you about the good and bad points of the two (1996 and later: 'the major') candidates for President. Is there anything in particular about [Democratic presidential candidate] that might make you want to vote for him? What is that? Anything else?
Valid	0. Zero positive mentions (likes) 1. One positive mention (likes) 2. Two positive mentions (likes) 3. Three positive mentions (likes) 4. Four positive mentions (likes) 5. Five positive mentions (likes)

Missing	9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form or wave. Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you like about [Democratic Presidential candidate]?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. Democratic Presidential Candidates: Stevenson 1952, 1956; Kennedy 1960; Johnson 1964; Humphrey 1968; McGovern 1972; Carter 1976, 1980; Mondale 1984; Dukakis 1988; Clinton 1992, 1996; Gore 2000; Kerry 2004.</p> <p>1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes).</p> <p>2000 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE.</p> <p>2004 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1952: V520027 1956: V560019 1960: V600024 1964: V640025 1968: V680032 1972: V720039 1976: V763112,V763113,V763114,V763115,V763116 1980: V800102,V800103,V800104,V800105,V800106 1984: V840094,V840095,V840096,V840097,V840098 1988: V880116,V880117,V880118,V880119,V880120 1992: V923122,V923123,V923124,V923125,V923126 1996: V960206,V960207,V960208,V960209,V960210 2000: V000306,V000307,V000308,V000309,V000310 2004: V043011</p>

VCF0402 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND: RESPONDENT DISLIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is there anything in particular about [Democratic presidential candidate] that might make you want to vote against him? What is that? Anything else?
Valid	<p>0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes) 1. One negative mention (dislikes) 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes) 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes) 4. Four negative mentions (dislikes) 5. Five negative mentions (dislikes)</p>
Missing	9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form or wave. Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you don't like about [Democratic Presidential candidate]?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who</p>

responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. Democratic Presidential Candidates: Stevenson 1952,1956; Kennedy 1960; Johnson 1964; Humphrey 1968; McGovern 1972; Carter 1976,1980; Mondale 1984; Dukakis 1988; Clinton 1992, 1996; Gore 2000.
 1996 NOTE:
 The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes).
 2000 NOTE:
 see 1996 NOTE.
 2004 NOTE:
 see 1996 NOTE.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520028 1956: V560020 1960: V600025 1964: V640026 1968: V680033 1972: V720041 1976: V763118,V763119,V763120,V763121,V763122 1980: V800108,V800109,V800110,V800111,V800112 1984: V840100,V840101,V840102,V840103,V840104 1988: V880122,V880123,V880124,V880125,V880126 1992: V923128,V923129,V923130,V923131,V923132 1996: V960212,V960213,V960214,V960215,V960216 2000: V000312,V000313,V000314,V000315,V000316 2004: V043013

VCF0403 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND: AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Affect toward Democratic Presidential candidate
Missing	99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic presidential candidate 'likes' minus the number of Democratic presidential candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0401-VCF0402).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0404 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND: SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Salience of Democratic Presidential candidate
Valid	0. Zero mentions . . 10. Ten mentions
Missing	99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a) INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic presidential candidate 'likes' plus the number of Democratic presidential candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0401+VCF0402)
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0405 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND: RESPONDENT LIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Now I'd like to ask you about the good and bad points of the two (1996 and later: the major) candidates for President. Is there anything in particular about [Republican presidential candidate] that might make you want to vote for him? What is that? Anything else?
Valid	0. Zero positive mentions (likes) 1. One positive mention (likes) 2. Two positive mentions (likes) 3. Three positive mentions (likes) 4. Four positive mentions (likes) 5. Five positive mentions (likes)
Missing	9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form or wave. Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you like about [Republican Presidential candidate]?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. Republican Presidential Candidates: Eisenhower 1952,1956; Nixon 1960, 1968, 1972; Goldwater 1964; Ford 1976; Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush Sr. 1988,1992; Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004. 1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes). 2000 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE. 2004 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520029 1956: V560021 1960: V600026 1964: V640027 1968: V680034 1972: V720043 1976: V763124,V763125,V763126,V763127,V763128 1980: V800078,V800079,V800080,V800081,V800082 1984: V840082,V840083,V840084,V840085,V840086 1988: V880104,V880105,V880106,V880107,V880108 1992: V923110,V923111,V923112,V923113,V923114 1996: V960218,V960219,V960220,V960221,V960222 2000: V000318,V000319,V000320,V000321,V000322 2004: V043007

VCF0406 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND: RESPONDENT DISLIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is there anything in particular about [Republican presidential candidate] that might make you want to vote against him? What is that? Anything else?
Valid	0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes) 1. One negative mention (dislikes) 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes) 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes) 4. Four negative mentions (dislikes) 5. Five negative mentions (dislikes)
Missing	9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form or wave. Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you don't like about [Republican Presidential candidate]?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. Republican Presidential Candidates: Eisenhower 1952,1956; Nixon 1960, 1968, 1972; Goldwater 1964; Ford 1976; Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush Sr. 1988,1992; Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004.</p> <p>1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes).</p> <p>2000 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE.</p> <p>2004 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520030 1956: V560022 1960: V600027 1964: V640028 1968: V680035 1972: V720045 1976: V763130,V763131,V763132,V763133,V763134 1980: V800084,V800085,V800086,V800087,V800088 1984: V840088,V840089,V840090,V840091,V840092 1988: V880110,V880111,V880112,V880113,V880114 1992: V923116,V923117,V923118,V923119,V923120 1996: V960224,V960225,V960226,V960027,V960228 2000: V000324,V000325,V000326,V000327,V000328 2004: V043009

VCF0407 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND: AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Affect toward Republican Presidential candidate
Missing	99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980) INAP. question not used
Notes	

GENERAL NOTE:
This is the number of Republican presidential candidate 'likes' minus
the number of Republican presidential candidate 'dislikes'
(VCF0405-VCF0406).

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0408 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND: SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question Salience of Republican Presidential candidate

Valid 0. Zero mentions
.
.
10. Ten mentions

Missing 99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980)
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
This is the number of Republican presidential candidate 'likes'
plus the number of Republican presidential candidate 'dislikes'
(VCF0405+VCF0406).

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0409 PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES: AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question Net affect toward Major party Presidential candidates

Missing 999. No Pre IW; form II (1972)
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
The net affect toward major party presidential candidates is the
difference between two sums: the sum of Democratic presidential
candidate 'likes' and Republican presidential candidate 'dislikes'
MINUS the sum of Democratic presidential candidate 'dislikes' and
Republican presidential candidate 'likes':
[VCF0401+VCF0406] - [VCF0402+VCF0405],
which is the same as: VCF0403-VCF0407.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0410 PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES: SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question Major party Presidential candidate salience

Valid 0. Zero mentions
.

0. Zero mentions
.
.
20. Twenty mentions

Missing 99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a)
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
Major party presidential candidate salience is the sum of all 'likes'
and 'dislikes' about both the Democratic and Republican presidential
candidates: [VCF0401+VCF0402 + VCF0405+VCF0406]
which is the same as: VCF0404+VCF0408.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0411 PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES: RELATIVE SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question Major party relative salience of Presidential candidates

Missing 999. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980)
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
Relative salience of major party presidential candidates is the sum of
'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Democratic presidential candidate
MINUS the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican
presidential candidate: [VCF0401+VCF0402] - [VCF0405+VCF0406]
which is the same as: VCF0404-VCF0408

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0412 THERMOMETER AVERAGE - PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES

Question Thermometers- both Major party Presidential candidates

Valid 0-97Degrees.

Missing 98. DK/don't recognize in VCF0424 or VCF0426
99. NA
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
Built from VCF0424 and VCF0426 as: (VCF0424+VCF0426)/2.
Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 2020: VCF0424, VCF0426

VCF0413 THERMOMETER INDEX - PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES

Question	Major party Presidential candidate thermometer index
Valid	2. Most Republican . 50. Neutral . 99. Most Democratic
Missing	998. DK/don't recognize in VCF0424 or VCF0426 999. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0424 and VCF0426 as: $(VCF0424 - VCF0426 + 100)/2$. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2020: VCF0424, VCF0426

VCF0414 THERMOMETER AVERAGE - VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES

Question	Thermometers- both Major party vice-Presidential candidates
Valid	0-97Degrees.
Missing	98. DK/don't recognize in VCF0425 or VCF0427 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0425 and VCF0427 as: $(VCF0425 + VCF0427)/2$. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2020: VCF0424, VCF0426

VCF0415 THERMOMETER INDEX - VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES

Question	Major party vice-Presidential candidate thermometer index
Valid	2. Most Republican . 50. Neutral . 99. Most Democratic
Missing	998. DK/don't recognize in VCF0425 or VCF0427 999. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0425 and VCF0427 as: $(VCF0425 - VCF0427 + 100)/2$. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Question

POLITICAL FIGURE THERMOMETER INTRO

1968-1974:

(1968,1972: As you know, there were many people mentioned this past year as possible candidates for President [1972: or Vice-President] by the political parties.)

(1970: Several political leaders have already been mentioned as possible candidates for President in 1972.)

(1968-1972: We would like to get your feelings toward some of these people.)

(1974: Now I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days.)

I have here a card on which there is something that looks like a thermometer. We call it a "feeling thermometer" because it measures your feelings toward these people. (1968: You probably remember that we used something like this in our earlier interview with you.)

Here's how it works. If you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward a person, then you should place him in the middle of the thermometer, at the 50 degree mark. If you have a warm feeling toward him or feel favorably toward him, you would give him a score somewhere between 50 degrees and 100 degrees. (1968-1970 only: depending on how warm your feeling is toward that person). On the other hand, if you don't feel very favorably toward a person—that is, if you don't care for him too much—then you would place him somewhere between 0 degrees and 50 degrees. Of course, if you don't know too much about a person, just tell me and we'll go on to the next name.

1976:

As you know, many people were mentioned this year as possible candidates for president or vice-president by the political parties. We would like to get your feelings toward some of these people.

I'll read the name of each person and I'd like you to rate that person with what we call a feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorably and warm toward the person, ratings between 0 and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorably towards the person and that you don't care too much for that person. If you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward a person you would rate them at 50 degrees. If we come to a person you don't know much about, just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

1978-LATER:

I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days (1990: have been in the news). I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using (1986-LATER: something we call) the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 and 100 (1986-LATER: degrees) mean that you feel favorably and warm toward the person; ratings between 0 and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorably toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. (1986-LATER: You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person.) If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one. (1978-1984: If you do recognize the name, but you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person, then you would rate the person at the 50 degree mark.)

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:

For study years where the same thermometer appeared in both the Pre and Post, the Pre data are used.

1968 NOTE:

"Don't know"s were coded 50 on thermometers; groups about which the respondent "didn't know much" were also placed at 50 degrees. The 50 degree mark was labeled "50 degrees; no feeling".

1970 NOTE:

The order of names read varied by form.

1996 NOTE:

The order of thermometers for all individuals except Presidential

candidates was randomized.
 1998 NOTE:
 The order of thermometers for all individuals except the President (first thermometer) was randomized.
 2000 NOTE:
 same as 1998
 2002 NOTE:
 Individual thermometers were administered in one of two possible orders, following President (first thermometer).
 2004 NOTE:
 The order of thermometers for all individuals except the president (first thermometer) and Ronald Reagan, in retrospect (as the last/ 14th thermometer) was randomized. Overall randomization was devised as a set of consecutive subrandomizations; major presidential candidates thermometers other than then incumbent president were randomly administered as the 2nd-3rd names, followed by other political figures as the 4th-11th randomized thermometers. Thermometers for the major parties were randomly administered in the 12th-13th positions.

VCF0424 THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer
Valid	00-96, Degrees as coded 97, 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98, DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later) 99, NA; no Post IW INAP, question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Democratic Presidential candidates: Humphrey 1968; McGovern 1972; Carter 1976,1980; Mondale 1984; Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992, 1996; Gore 2000; Kerry 2004; Obama 2008,2012; Hillary Clinton 2016
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680480 1972: V720255 1976: V763299 1980: V800155 1984: V840290 1988: V880154 1992: V923305 1996: V960273 2000: V000361 2004: V043038 2008: V083037b 2012: ft_rpc 2016: V161086 2020: V201151

VCF0425 THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	Democratic vice-presidential candidate thermometer
----------	--

Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW; ; interview conducted before VP candidate selection (2008) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Democratic Vice-Presidential candidates: Muskie 1968; Shriver 1972; Mondale 1976,1980; Ferraro 1984; Bentsen 1988; Gore 1992,1996; Lieberman 2000; Edwards 2004; Biden 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680487 1972: V720266 1976: V763308 1980: V800161 1984: V840304 1988: V880159 1992: V923309 1996: V960275 2000: V000366 2004: V043042 2008: V083039a 2012: ft_dvpc 2016: V161090 2020: V201153

VCF0426 THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	Republican Presidential candidate thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Republican Presidential candidates: Nixon 1968,1972; Ford 1976; Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush Sr. 1988,1992; Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; McCain 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680480 1972: V720255 1976: V763299 1980: V800155 1984: V840290 1988: V880154 1992: V923305 1996: V960273 2000: V000361 2004: V043038 2008: V083037b 2012: ft_rpc 2016: V161087 2020: V201152

VCF0427 THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	Republican vice-presidential candidate thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW; interview conducted before VP candidate selection (2008) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Republican Vice-Presidential candidates: Agnew 1968,1972; Dole 1976; George Bush Sr. 1980,1984; Quayle 1988,1992; Kemp 1996; Cheney 2000,2004; Palin 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680488 1972: V720265 1976: V763312 1980: V800162 1984: V840292 1988: V880160 1992: V923308 1996: V960276 2000: V000367 2004: V043041 2008: V083039b 2012: ft_rvpc 2016: V161091 2020: V201154

VCF0428 THERMOMETER - U.S. PRESIDENT

Question	President thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Presidents: Johnson 1968; Nixon 1970,1972; Ford 1974,1976; Carter 1978, 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986,1988; George Bush Sr. 1990,1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680484 1970: V700231(type 0) 1972: V720255 1974: V742339 1976: V763299 1978: V780137 1980: V800154 1982: V820123 1984: V840290 1986: V860130 1988: V880158 1990: V900134

1992: V923305
 1994: V940223
 1996: V960272
 1998: V980238
 2000: V000359
 2002: V023010
 2004: V043038
 2008: V083036
 2012: ft_dpc
 2016: V161092

VCF0429 THERMOMETER - VICE-PRESIDENT

Question	Vice-president thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Vice-Presidents: Humphrey 1968; Agnew 1970,1972; Rockefeller 1974,1976; Mondale 1978,1980; George Bush Sr. 1982,1984,1986,1988; Quayle 1990, 1992; Gore 1994,1996,1998,2000; Cheney 2002,2004.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680479 1970: V700237(type 0) 1972: V720265 1974: V742342 1976: V763304 1978: V780143 1980: V800161 1982: V820135 1984: V840292 1986: V860144 1988: V880154 1990: V900137 1992: V923308 1994: V940227 1996: V960275 1998: V980243 2000: V000360 2002: V023011 2004: V043041 2012: ft_dvpc

VCF0432 THERMOMETER - HUBERT HUMPHREY

Question	Hubert Humphrey – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc.1968) 99. NA; no Post IW

	INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680479 1970: V700230(type 0) 1972: V720264 1974: V742352 1976: V763300

VCF0433 THERMOMETER - TED KENNEDY

Question	Ted Kennedy – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700235(type 0) 1972: V720258 1974: V742347 1976: V763302 1978: V780140 1980: V800156 1982: V820124 1984: V840299 1988: V880157

VCF0434 THERMOMETER - EUGENE MCCARTHY

Question	Eugene Mccarthy – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc.1968) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680481 1970: V700238(type 0) 1976: V763311

VCF0435 THERMOMETER - GEORGE MCGOVERN

Question	George McGovern – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700236(type 0) 1972: V720254 1976: V763309 1980: V800165 1984: V840300

VCF0436 THERMOMETER - WALTER MONDALE

Question	Walter Mondale – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize (1978 and later) 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1974: V742353 1976: V763308 1978: V780143 1980: V800161 1982: V820136 1984: V840301

VCF0437 THERMOMETER - EDMUND MUSKIE

Question	Edmund Muskie – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc.1968) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680487 1970: V700234(type 0) 1972: V720257

VCF0438 THERMOMETER - GEORGE BUSH SR.

Question	George Bush Sr. – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800162 1982: V820135 1984: V840292 1986: V860144 1988: V880154 1990: V900134 1992: V923305 2000: V001297

VCF0439 THERMOMETER - GEORGE WALLACE

Question	George Wallace – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1978 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680478 1970: V700229(type 0) 1972: V720253 1974: V742338 1976: V763297 1978: V780136 1980: V800166

VCF0440 THERMOMETER - SPIRO AGNEW

Question	Spiro Agnew – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc.1968) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680488 1970: V700237(type 0) 1972: V720265

VCF0441 THERMOMETER - GERALD FORD

Question	Gerald Ford – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize (1978 and later) 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1974: V742339 1976: V763299 1978: V780138 1980: V800158 1984: V840295

VCF0442 THERMOMETER - RICHARD NIXON

Question	Richard Nixon – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1978 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680480 1970: V700231(type 0)

1972: V720255
 1974: V742354
 1976: V763307
 1978: V780142
 1980: V800167
 1982: V820137
 1984: V840302

VCF0443 THERMOMETER - RONALD REAGAN

Question (2004: And finally, in retrospect,) Ronald Reagan – thermometer

Valid 00-96. Degrees as coded
 97. 97-100 Degrees

Missing 98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1978 and later)
 99. NA; no Post IW
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1968: V680482
 1970: V700233(type 0)
 1976: V763301
 1978: V780139
 1980: V800155
 1982: V820123
 1984: V840290
 1986: V860130
 1988: V880158
 1990: V900138
 2004: V043051

VCF0444 THERMOMETER - NELSON ROCKEFELLER

Question Nelson Rockefeller – thermometer

Valid 00-96. Degrees as coded
 97. 97-100 Degrees

Missing 98. DK (exc.1968)
 99. NA; no Post IW
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1968: V680483
 1974: V742342
 1976: V763304

VCF0445 THERMOMETER - ROBERT DOLE

Question	Robert Dole – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize (1984,1986,1988,1994,1996) 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763312 1984: V840294 1986: V860140 1988: V880152 1994: V940225 1996: V960273

VCF0446 THERMOMETER - JIMMY CARTER

Question	Jimmy Carter – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize (1978 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763298 1978: V780137 1980: V800154 1982: V820122 1984: V840293 1988: V880595

VCF0447 THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON

Question	Bill Clinton – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V923306 1994: V940223 1996: V960272 1998: V980238 2000: V000359 2002: V023015 2004: V043045 2008: V083041 2016: V161093

VCF0448 THERMOMETER - AL GORE

Question	Al Gore – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V923309 1994: V940227 1996: V960275 1998: V980243 2000: V000360 2002: V023012

VCF0449 THERMOMETER - ROSS PEROT

Question	Ross Perot – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V923307 1994: V940224 1996: V960274

VCF0450 APPROVE OF PRESIDENT PERFORMANCE

Question	Do you approve or disapprove of the way that [the president] is handling his/her job as President?
Valid	1. Approve 2. Disapprove 8. DK
Missing	0. NA; RF; form II (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	1984 NOTE: This var appeared in both the pre and post; Pre data are included. 1988 NOTE: same as 1984
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720046 1974: V742157 1976: V763135 1978: V780441 1980: V800062 1982: V820065 1984: V840258 1986: V860096 1988: V880140 1990: V900098 1992: V923319 1994: V940201 1996: V960295 1998: V980216 2000: V000339 2002: V023005 2004: V043024 2008: V083028 2012: presapp_job 2016: V161082 2020: V201127

VCF0451 STRENGTH APPROVE/DISAPPROVE PRESIDENT PERFORMANCE

Question	Do you approve or disapprove of the way that [the president] is handling his/her job as President? Do you (approve/disapprove) (of the president's performance) strongly or not strongly?
Valid	1. Approve, strongly 2. Approve, not strongly 3. Disapprove, not strongly 4. Disapprove, strongly 8. DK
Missing	0. NA; RF; form II (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	1984 NOTE: This var appeared in both the pre and post; Pre data are included. 1988 NOTE: same as 1984
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	

1980: V800063
 1982: V820066
 1984: V840259
 1986: V860097
 1988: V880141
 1990: V900099
 1992: V923320
 1994: V940202
 1996: V960296
 1998: V980217
 2000: V000341
 2002: V023006x
 2004: V043025
 2008: V083028a
 2012: presapp_job_x
 2016: V161082x,V161082,V161082a
 2020: V201128

VCF0471 THERMOMETER - HILLARY CLINTON

Question	Hillary Clinton – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V923313 1994: V940229 1996: V960281 1998: V980259 2000: V000368 2002: V023020 2004: V043044 2008: V083040 2012: ft_hclinton 2016: V161086

VCF0472 THERMOMETER - PAT BUCHANAN

Question	Pat Buchanan – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1992: V923315 1996: V960282 1998: V980249 2000: V000362
----------------	--

VCF0473 THERMOMETER - DAN QUAYLE

Question	Dan Quayle – thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880160 1990: V900137 1992: V923308 1994: V940226 1998: V980246

VCF0475 LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; RF INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720038 1976: V763111 1980: V800101 1984: V840093 1988: V880115 1992: V923121

1996: V960205
 2000: V000305
 2004: V043010
 2008: V083008
 2012: candlik_likdpc
 2016: V161068
 2020: V201106

VCF0476a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	8888. DK (mention 1 only) 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0401, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720039a 1976: V763112 1980: V800102 1984: V840094 1988: V880116 1992: V923122 1996: V960206 2000: V000306 2004: V043011a

VCF0476b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)

-
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
 - 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
 - 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
 - 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
 - 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing	88. DK (mention 1 only) 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0476a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720039a 1976: V763112 1980: V800102 1984: V840094 1988: V880116 1992: V923122 1996: V960206 2000: V000306 2004: V043011a

VCF0476c 1952-1968 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	9987. Don't know much about candidate 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520027a 1956: V560019a 1960: V600024a 1964: V640025a 1968: V680032a

VCF0476d 1952-1968 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background

23. Personal attraction
 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign
 25. Stand on domestic policies
 26. Stand on foreign affairs
 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
 29. Candidate as party representative*
 30. Other

Missing	87. Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0476c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520027a 1956: V560019a 1960: V600024a 1964: V640025a 1968: V680032a

VCF0477a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0401, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720039b 1976: V763113 1980: V800103 1984: V840095 1988: V880117 1992: V923123 1996: V960213 2000: V000307 2004: V043011b

VCF0477b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0477a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720039b 1976: V763113 1980: V800103 1984: V840095 1988: V880117 1992: V923123 1996: V960213 2000: V000307 2004: V043011b

VCF0477c 1952-1968 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520027b 1956: V560019b 1960: V600024b 1964: V640025b 1968: V680032b

VCF0477d 1952-1968 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0477c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520027b 1956: V560019b 1960: V600024b 1964: V640025b 1968: V680032b

VCF0478a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0401, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720039c 1976: V763114 1980: V800104 1984: V840096 1988: V880118 1992: V923124 1996: V960208 2000: V000308 2004: V043011c

VCF0478b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0478a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720039c 1976: V763114 1980: V800104 1984: V840096 1988: V880118 1992: V923124 1996: V960208 2000: V000308 2004: V043011c

VCF0478c 1952-1968 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520027c 1956: V560019c 1960: V600024c 1964: V640025c 1968: V680032c

VCF0478d 1952-1968 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0478c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520027c 1956: V560019c 1960: V600024c 1964: V640025c 1968: V680032c

VCF0479a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0401, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763115 1980: V800105 1984: V840097 1988: V880119 1992: V923125 1996: V960209 2000: V000309 2004: V043011d

VCF0479b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0479a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763115 1980: V800105 1984: V840097 1988: V880119 1992: V923125 1996: V960209 2000: V000309 2004: V043011d

VCF0479c 1952-1968 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520027d 1956: V560019d 1960: V600024d 1964: V640025d 1968: V680032d

VCF0479d 1952-1968 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0479c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520027d 1956: V560019d 1960: V600024d 1964: V640025d 1968: V680032d

VCF0480a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0401, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763116 1980: V800106 1984: V840098 1988: V880120 1992: V923126 1996: V960210 2000: V000310 2004: V043011e

VCF0480b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0480a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763116 1980: V800106 1984: V840098 1988: V880120 1992: V923126 1996: V960210 2000: V000310 2004: V043011e

VCF0480c 1952-1968 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520027e 1956: V560019e 1960: V600024e 1964: V640025e 1968: V680032e

VCF0480d 1952-1968 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0480c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520027e 1956: V560019e 1960: V600024e 1964: V640025e 1968: V680032e

VCF0481 DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; RF INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0402. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720040 1976: V763117 1980: V800107 1984: V840099 1988: V880121 1992: V923127

1996: V960211
 2000: V000311
 2004: V043012
 2008: V083010
 2012: candlik_disldpc
 2016: V161071
 2020: V201108

VCF0482a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

Valid 0001-8877

Missing 8888. DK (mention 1 only)
 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
 INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See NOTEs VCF0402.
 See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
 1972 SPECIAL NOTE:
 Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release.
 Note that VCF0402, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1972: V720041a
 1976: V763118
 1980: V800108
 1984: V840100
 1988: V880122
 1992: V923128
 1996: V960212
 2000: V000312
 2004: V043013a

VCF0482b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

Valid 11. People within party (0001-0097)
 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
 31. Government management (0601-0697)
 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)

33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing 88. DK (mention 1 only)
 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
 INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 Collapsed from full code VCF0482a.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1972: V720041a
 1976: V763118
 1980: V800108
 1984: V840100
 1988: V880122
 1992: V923128
 1996: V960212
 2000: V000312
 2004: V043013a

VCF0482c 1952-1968 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him?
 What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

Missing 9987. Don't know much about candidate
 9988. DK (mention 1 only)
 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
 INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See NOTES VCF0402.
 See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1952: V520028a
 1956: V560020a
 1960: V600025a
 1964: V640026a
 1968: V680033a

VCF0482d 1952-1968 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him?
 What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

Valid 21. Experience and abilities
 22. Character and background

-
- 23. Personal attraction
 - 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign
 - 25. Stand on domestic policies
 - 26. Stand on foreign affairs
 - 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
 - 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
 - 29. Candidate as party representative*
 - 30. Other

Missing	87. Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0482c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520028a 1956: V560020a 1960: V600025a 1964: V640026a 1968: V680033a

VCF0483a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0402, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720041b 1976: V763119 1980: V800109 1984: V840101 1988: V880123 1992: V923129 1996: V960213 2000: V000313 2004: V043013b

VCF0483b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0483a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720041b 1976: V763119 1980: V800109 1984: V840101 1988: V880123 1992: V923129 1996: V960213 2000: V000313 2004: V043013b

VCF0483c 1952-1968 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520028b 1956: V560020b 1960: V600025b 1964: V640026b 1968: V680033b

VCF0483d 1952-1968 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0483c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520028b 1956: V560020b 1960: V600025b 1964: V640026b 1968: V680033b

VCF0484a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0402, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720041c 1976: V763120 1980: V800110 1984: V840102 1988: V880124 1992: V923130 1996: V960214 2000: V000314 2004: V043013c

VCF0484b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0484a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720041c 1976: V763120 1980: V800110 1984: V840102 1988: V880124 1992: V923130 1996: V960214 2000: V000314 2004: V043013c

VCF0484c 1952-1968 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520028c 1956: V560020c 1960: V600025c 1964: V640026c 1968: V680033c

VCF0484d 1952-1968 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0484c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520028c 1956: V560020c 1960: V600025c 1964: V640026c 1968: V680033c

VCF0485a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0402, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763121 1980: V800111 1984: V840103 1988: V880125 1992: V923131 1996: V960215 2000: V000315 2004: V043013d

VCF0485b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0485a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763121 1980: V800111 1984: V840103 1988: V880125 1992: V923131 1996: V960215 2000: V000315 2004: V043013d

VCF0485c 1952-1968 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520028d 1956: V560020d 1960: V600025d 1964: V640026d 1968: V680033d

VCF0485d 1952-1968 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0485c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520028d 1956: V560020d 1960: V600025d 1964: V640026d 1968: V680033d

VCF0486a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0402, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763122 1980: V800112 1984: V840104 1988: V880126 1992: V923132 1996: V960216 2000: V000316 2004: V043013c

VCF0486b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0486a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763122 1980: V800112 1984: V840104 1988: V880126 1992: V923132 1996: V960216 2000: V000316 2004: V043013c

VCF0486c 1952-1968 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520028e 1956: V560020e 1960: V600025e 1964: V640026e 1968: V680033e

VCF0486d 1952-1968 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0486c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520028e 1956: V560020e 1960: V600025e 1964: V640026e 1968: V680033e

VCF0487 LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; RF INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0405. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720042 1976: V763123 1980: V800077 1984: V840081 1988: V880103 1992: V923109

1996: V960217
 2000: V000317
 2004: V043006
 2008: V083012
 2012: candlik_likrpc
 2016: V161074
 2020: V201110

VCF0488a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him?
 What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

Valid 0001-8877

Missing 8888. DK (mention 1 only)
 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
 INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See NOTEs VCF0405.
 See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
 1972 SPECIAL NOTE:
 Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release.
 Note that VCF0405, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1972: V720043a
 1976: V763124
 1980: V800078
 1984: V840082
 1988: V880104
 1992: V923110
 1996: V960218
 2000: V000318
 2004: V043007a

VCF0488b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him?
 What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

Valid 11. People within party (0001-0097)
 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
 31. Government management (0601-0697)
 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)

-
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
 - 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
 - 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
 - 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
 - 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing	88. DK (mention 1 only) 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0488a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720043a 1976: V763124 1980: V800078 1984: V840082 1988: V880104 1992: V923110 1996: V960218 2000: V000318 2004: V043007a

VCF0488c 1952-1968 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	9987. Don't know much about candidate 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520029a 1956: V560021a 1960: V600026a 1964: V640027a 1968: V680034a

VCF0488d 1952-1968 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background

23. Personal attraction
 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign
 25. Stand on domestic policies
 26. Stand on foreign affairs
 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
 29. Candidate as party representative*
 30. Other

Missing	87. Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK ;RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0488c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520029a 1956: V560021a 1960: V600026a 1964: V640027a 1968: V680034a

VCF0489a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0405, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720043b 1976: V763125 1980: V800079 1984: V840083 1988: V880105 1992: V923111 1996: V960219 2000: V000319 2004: V043007b

VCF0489b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0489a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720043b 1976: V763125 1980: V800079 1984: V840083 1988: V880105 1992: V923111 1996: V960219 2000: V000319 2004: V043007b

VCF0489c 1952-1968 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520029b 1956: V560021b 1960: V600026b 1964: V640027b 1968: V680034b

VCF0489d 1952-1968 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0489c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520029b 1956: V560021b 1960: V600026b 1964: V640027b 1968: V680034b

VCF0490a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0405, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720043c 1976: V763126 1980: V800080 1984: V840084 1988: V880106 1992: V923112 1996: V960220 2000: V000320 2004: V043007c

VCF0490b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0490a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720043c 1976: V763126 1980: V800080 1984: V840084 1988: V880106 1992: V923112 1996: V960220 2000: V000320 2004: V043007c

VCF0490c 1952-1968 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520029c 1956: V560021c 1960: V600026c 1964: V640027c 1968: V680034c

VCF0490d 1952-1968 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0490c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520029c 1956: V560021c 1960: V600026c 1964: V640027c 1968: V680034c

VCF0491a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0405, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763127 1980: V800081 1984: V840085 1988: V880107 1992: V923113 1996: V960221 2000: V000320 2004: V043007d

VCF0491b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0491a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763127 1980: V800081 1984: V840085 1988: V880107 1992: V923113 1996: V960221 2000: V000320 2004: V043007d

VCF0491c 1952-1968 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520029d 1956: V560021d 1960: V600026d 1964: V640027d 1968: V680034d

VCF0491d 1952-1968 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDID COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0491c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520029d 1956: V560021d 1960: V600026d 1964: V640027d 1968: V680034d

VCF0492a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0405, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763128 1980: V800082 1984: V840086 1988: V880108 1992: V923126 1996: V960222 2000: V000322 2004: V043007c

VCF0492b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0492a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1976: V763128 1980: V800082 1984: V840086 1988: V880108 1992: V923126 1996: V960222 2000: V000322 2004: V043007c

VCF0492c 1952-1968 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1952: V520029e 1956: V560021e 1960: V600026e 1964: V640027e 1968: V680034e

VCF0492d 1952-1968 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0492c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520029e 1956: V560021e 1960: V600026e 1964: V640027e 1968: V680034e

VCF0493 DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; RF INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0406. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720044 1976: V763129 1980: V800083 1984: V840087 1988: V880109 1992: V923115

1996: V960223
 2000: V000323
 2004: V043008
 2008: V083014
 2012: candlik_dislrpc
 2016: V161077
 2020: V201112

VCF0494a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

Valid 0001-8877

Missing 8888. DK (mention 1 only)
 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
 INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See NOTEs VCF0406.
 See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
 1972 SPECIAL NOTE:
 Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release.
 Note that VCF0406, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1972: V720045a
 1976: V763130
 1980: V800078
 1984: V840088
 1988: V880110
 1992: V923116
 1996: V960224
 2000: V000324
 2004: V043009a

VCF0494b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

Valid 11. People within party (0001-0097)
 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
 31. Government management (0601-0697)
 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)

33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing	88. DK (mention 1 only) 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0494a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720045a 1976: V763130 1980: V800078 1984: V840088 1988: V880110 1992: V923116 1996: V960224 2000: V000324 2004: V043009a

VCF0494c 1952-1968 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	9987. Don't know much about candidate 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520030a 1956: V560022a 1960: V600027a 1964: V640028a 1968: V680035a

VCF0494d 1952-1968 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background

-
- 23. Personal attraction
 - 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign
 - 25. Stand on domestic policies
 - 26. Stand on foreign affairs
 - 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
 - 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
 - 29. Candidate as party representative*
 - 30. Other

Missing	87. Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0494c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520030a 1956: V560022a 1960: V600027a 1964: V640028a 1968: V680035a

VCF0495a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0406, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720045b 1976: V763131 1980: V800085 1984: V840089 1988: V880111 1992: V923117 1996: V960225 2000: V000325 2004: V043009b

VCF0495b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0495a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720045b 1976: V763131 1980: V800085 1984: V840089 1988: V880111 1992: V923117 1996: V960225 2000: V000325 2004: V043009b

VCF0495c 1952-1968 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520030b 1956: V560022b 1960: V600027b 1964: V640028b 1968: V680035b

VCF0495d 1952-1968 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0495c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520030b 1956: V560022b 1960: V600027b 1964: V640028b 1968: V680035b

VCF0496a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0406, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720045c 1976: V763132 1980: V800086 1984: V840090 1988: V880112 1992: V923118 1996: V960226 2000: V000326 2004: V043009c

VCF0496b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0496a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720045c 1976: V763132 1980: V800086 1984: V840090 1988: V880112 1992: V923118 1996: V960226 2000: V000326 2004: V043009c

VCF0496c 1952-1968 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520030c 1956: V560022c 1960: V600027c 1964: V640028c 1968: V680035c

VCF0496d 1952-1968 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0496c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520030c 1956: V560022c 1960: V600027c 1964: V640028c 1968: V680035c

VCF0497a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0406, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763133 1980: V800087 1984: V840091 1988: V880113 1992: V923119 1996: V960227 2000: V000327 2004: V043009d

VCF0497b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0497a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1976: V763133 1980: V800087 1984: V840091 1988: V880113 1992: V923119 1996: V960227 2000: V000327 2004: V043009d

VCF0497c 1952-1968 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1952: V520030d 1956: V560022d 1960: V600027d 1964: V640028d 1968: V680035d

VCF0497d 1952-1968 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative* 30. Other
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0497c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520030d 1956: V560022d 1960: V600027d 1964: V640028d 1968: V680035d

VCF0498a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0406, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763134 1980: V800088 1984: V840092 1988: V880114 1992: V923120 1996: V960228 2000: V000328 2004: V043009e

VCF0498b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0498a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763134 1980: V800088 1984: V840092 1988: V880114 1992: V923120 1996: V960228 2000: V000328 2004: V043009e

VCF0498c 1952-1968 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520030e 1956: V560022e 1960: V600027e 1964: V640028e 1968: V680035e

VCF0498d 1952-1968 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDID COLLAPSED

Question	Is there anything in particular about (REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE) that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	21. Experience and abilities 22. Character and background 23. Personal attraction 24. Issues - NA whether domestic or foreign 25. Stand on domestic policies 26. Stand on foreign affairs 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest 29. Candidate as party representative*
Missing	30. Other INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0498c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520030e 1956: V560022e 1960: V600027e 1964: V640028e 1968: V680035e

VCF0501 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MAJOR PARTIES

Question	Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?
Valid	1. No difference 2. Yes, a difference 9. DK; yes, a difference but don't know what (1964,1966); depends (1972)
Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); telephone IW (1984); form B (1986); form A (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	1952 NOTE: 176 respondents have been coded 2 from category 'minor differences (not important); some differences, don't know what.'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520017 1960: V600229 1964: V640298,V640299 1966: V660081 1968: V680346 1972: V720498 1976: V763184 1980: V800776 1984: V840867 1986: V860541 1988: V880797 1990: V900336 1992: V925901 1994: V840708

1996: V961182
 1998: V980370
 2000: V001435
 2004: V045159
 2008: V085118
 2012: ptydiff_diff
 2016: V162190
 2020: V202216

VCF0502 WHICH MAJOR PARTY IS MORE CONSERVATIVE 3-CATEGORY

Question	<p>1960-1976: Would you say that one of the parties (1972: either party) is more conservative or more liberal than the other (1970-1976: at the national level)? (IF YES:) Which party is more conservative? (IF NO 1960,1968:) Do you think that people generally consider the Democrats or the Republicans more conservative or wouldn't you want to guess about that? (IF NO OR DK 1964,1970,1972,1976:) Do you think that people generally consider the Democrats or the Republicans more conservative or wouldn't you want to guess about that?</p> <p>1984 AND LATER: Would you say that either one of the parties is more conservative than the other at the national level? (IF YES:) Which party is more conservative?</p>
Valid	<p>1. Yes, Democrats more conservative 2. Yes, Republicans more conservative 9. No, both the same; DK; no guess (1970-1976); other (1968,1970,1972)</p>
Missing	<p>0.NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984); form II,III,IV (1972); form A (1990) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: After 1976, respondents answering no/DK to the initial question were not prompted further. 1960 NOTE: 'No' or 'DK' responses to the stem question were combined with cases coded DK in the single study variable released. 1964 NOTE: same as 1960. 1968 NOTE: same as 1960.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1960: V600232 1964: V640302 1968: V680361 1970: V700177(type 0) 1972: V720500 1976: V763194 1984: V840874,V840875 1988: V880810,V880811 1990: V900349,V900350 1992: V925914,V925915 2004: V045160,V045160a 2008: V085119,V085119a 2012: ptycons_cons,ptycons_ptyconswh 2016: V162191,V162191a 2020: V202217, V202218</p>

VCF0502a WHICH MAJOR PARTY IS MORE CONSERVATIVE 4-CATEGORY

Question	<p>1970-1976: Would you say that one of the parties (1972: either party) is more conservative or more liberal than the other at the national level? (IF YES:) Which party is more conservative? (IF NO OR DK:) Do you think that people generally consider the Democrats or the Republicans more conservative or wouldn't you want to guess about that?</p> <p>1984 AND LATER: Would you say that either one of the parties is more conservative than the other at the national level? (IF YES:) Which party is more conservative?</p>
Valid	<p>1. Yes, Democrats more conservative 2. Yes, Republicans more conservative 3. No, both the same 9. DK whether one more conservative; yes, one more conservative but DK which party</p>
Missing	<p>0. NA whether one more conservative; yes, one more conservative but NA which party; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984); form II,III,IV (1972); form A (1990) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: After 1976, respondents answering no/DK to the initial question were not prompted further.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1970: V700177(type 0) 1972: V720500 1976: V763194 1984: V840874,V840875 1988: V880810,V880811 1990: V900349,V900350 1992: V925914,V925915 2004: V045160,V045160a 2008: V085119,V085119a 2012: ptycons_cons,ptycons_ptyconsw 2016: V162191,V162191a 2020: V202217, V202218</p>

VCF0503 DEMOCRATIC PARTY- LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE

Question	<p>We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. I'm going to show you (1996 AND LATER: Here is) a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this scale)? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<p>1. Extremely liberal 2. Liberal 3. Slightly liberal 4. Moderate, middle of the road 5. Slightly conservative 6. Conservative 7. Extremely conservative</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA self-placement (1984-1996); refused to choose self-placement (1996); no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used</p>

Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: 1972-1982: If self-placement was 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much' R was not asked for party placements on this scale. 1984-1996: If R responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' to self-placement AND if R responded 'DK' or NA (1996: or 'refused to choose') to the self-placement follow-up ('If you had to choose would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?' [VCF0849]), then R was not asked for party placements on this scale. In 1996 and later, ordering of placements for the 2 major parties was randomized. 1980 NOTE: This var was present in both the pre and post; pre data are represented here.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720656 1974: V742309 1976: V763289 1978: V780401 1980: V800279 1982: V820405 1984: V840373 1986: V860393 1988: V880235 1990: V900413 1992: V923518 1994: V940847 1996: V960379 1998: V980411 2000: V001382 2004: V043090 2008: V083071a 2012: libcpre_ptyd 2016: V161130 2020: V201206

VCF0504 REPUBLICAN PARTY- LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE

Question	Republican Party - R's rating of party on liberal/conservative scale
Valid	1. Extremely liberal 2. Liberal 3. Slightly liberal 4. Moderate, middle of the road 5. Slightly conservative 6. Conservative 7. Extremely conservative
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA self-placement (1984-1996); refused to choose self-placement (1996); no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0503
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720657 1974: V742310 1976: V763290 1978: V780402 1980: V800278 1982: V820404 1984: V840374 1986: V860394

1988: V880234
 1990: V900414
 1992: V923517
 1994: V940848
 1996: V960380
 1998: V980412
 2000: V001383
 2004: V043091
 2008: V083071b
 2012: libcpre_ptyr
 2016: V161131
 2020: V201207

VCF0507 WHICH PARTY FAVORS GOVERNMENT HELP WITH MEDICAL CARE

Question **1960, 1962:**
Which party do you think is more likely to see to it that people get doctors and hospital care at low cost, the Democrats or the Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference?
1964, 1968:
Which party do you think is more likely to want the government to help in getting doctor and hospital care at low cost, the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference between them on this?

Valid 1. Democrats
 2. No difference
 3. Republicans

Missing 8. DK which party
 9. NA party placement
 0. NA; no opinion for R's opinion on this issue; no Pre IW
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See also: VCF0508-VCF0511.
 If R's own opinion on this issue was 'no opinion,' 'DK' or NA, then R was not asked this question.
 1986 NOTE:
 Code 2 includes 6 cases where George Wallace/American Independent Party was mentioned.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1960: V600068
 1962: V620060
 1964: V640077
 1968: V680065

VCF0508 DEMOCRATIC PARTY- GOVERNMENT HEALTH INSURANCE SCALE

Question **There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some (1988, 1994: people) feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses (1988, 1994: for everyone). Others feel that (1988, 1994: all) medical expenses should be paid by individuals, and through private insurance like Blue Cross (1988,**

1994: or other company paid plans).
**Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale [1988 ONLY:
 or haven't you thought much about this]? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)**

Valid	1. Government insurance plan 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Private insurance plan
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK self-placement(1972,1976,1978,1994); haven't thought much about self-placement (1970,1972,1976,1978,1994); NA self-placement (all years exc. 1970); form II (1972); haven't thought much about party placement (1988 ONLY) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See also: VCF0507. 1972-1978: If self-placement was 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much', then R was not asked for party placements on this scale. 1970 NOTE: If R responded 'haven't thought much' for self-placement then party placements were not asked; however, if R responded DK or NA for self-placement party placements were asked.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700135(type 0) 1972: V720212 1976: V763276 1978: V780383 1988: V880322 1994: V940954 2012: inspre_dem

VCF0509 REPUBLICAN PARTY- GOVERNMENT HEALTH INSURANCE SCALE

Question	Republican Party - R's rating of party on govt health insurance scale
Valid	1. Government insurance plan 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Private insurance plan
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK self-placement(1972,1976,1978,1994); haven't thought much about self-placement (1970,1972,1976,1978,1994); NA self-placement (all years exc. 1970); form II (1972); haven't thought much about party placement (1988 ONLY) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0508.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700136(type 0) 1972: V720213 1976: V763277 1978: V780384

1988: V880321
 1994: V940955
 2012: inspre_rep

VCF0512 WHICH PARTY FAVORS GUARANTEED JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING

Question **1960:**
Which party do you think is more likely to see to it that everybody who wants to work can find a job, the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference between them?
1964,1968:
Which party do you think is more likely to favor the government seeing to it that each person has a job and a good standard of living (1964 only: the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference between them on this)?

Valid 1. Democrats
 2. No difference
 3. Republicans

Missing 8. DK which party
 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/no opinion for self-placement; no Pre IW
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See also: VCF0513-VCF0514.
 If R's own opinion on this issue was 'no opinion,' 'DK' or NA, then R was not asked this question.
 1968 NOTE:
 Code 2 includes 6 cases where George Wallace/American Independent Party was mentioned.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1960: V600055
 1964: V640080
 1968: V680067

VCF0513 DEMOCRATIC PARTY- GUARANTEED JOBS-LIVING SCALE

Question **Some people feel that the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. (1972-1978: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1). Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his/her own. (1972-1978: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.) Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this scale)? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)**

Valid 1. Government see to job and good standard of living
 2.
 3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Government let each person get ahead on his own

Missing	8. DK party placement 9. NA party placement 0. DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement; form III,IV (1972); form II and no Post IW (1972); no Post IW; short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See also: VCF0512. Prior to 2000, Rs with self-placement 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' were not asked for party placements on this scale. 1972 NOTE: Form I respondents were asked this question in the Pre, form II respondents in the Post 2000 NOTE 1: Telephone respondents were asked a branching series and telephone data are not included. 2000 NOTE 2: The order in which Democratic and Republican party placements were administered was randomized. 2004 NOTE: The order in which Democratic and Republican party placements were administered was randomized.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720176,V720617 1974: V742269 1976: V763244 1978: V780359 1980: V801122 1982: V820427 1984: V840417 1988: V880329 1992: V923722 1994: V940934 2000: V000631 2012: guarpr_dem

VCF0514 REPUBLICAN PARTY- GUARANTEED JOBS-LIVING SCALE

Question	Republican Party - R's rating of party on jobs/std of living scale
Valid	1. Government see to job and good standard of living 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government let each person get ahead on his own
Missing	8. DK party placement 9. NA party placement 0. DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement; form III,IV (1972); form II and no Post IW (1972); no Post IW; short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0513.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720177,V720618 1974: V742270 1976: V763245 1978: V780360

1980: V801121
 1982: V820428
 1984: V840418
 1988: V880328
 1992: V923721
 1994: V940935
 2000: V000636
 2012: guarpr_rep

VCF0517 DEMOCRATIC PARTY- AID TO BLACKS SCALE

Question **1988 FORM A, 1990 AND LATER: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.**
1970-1984, 1988 FORM B: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks (1970: Negroes) and other minority groups (1980: even if it means giving them preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves (1970: but they should be expected to help themselves).
ALL YEARS: Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this scale) (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid

1. Government should help minority groups
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Minority groups should help themselves

Missing

8. DK party placement
9. NA party placement
0. DK self-placement (1972-1984,1990,1994); NA self-placement (1972-1990,1994); haven't thought much about self-placement (1970-1984, 1990,1994); no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); telephone IW (2000)
- INAP. question not used

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:
 Note that form B in 1988 and all cases in previous years specified 'blacks and other minorities' in this question, while form A in 1988 and all cases in 1990 specified only 'blacks.' To filter for form A/B responses in 1988, use variable VCF0012.
 Rs with self-placement 'haven't thought much about it' in 1970 or with self-placements 'haven't thought much about it' or DK in 1972,1984, 1990,1994 were not asked for party placements on this scale (if R's self-placement was DK or NA in 1970, R was asked for party placements.) If R's self-placement was NA in 1972-1984,1990,1994 then R was not asked for party placements.
 2000 NOTE 1:
 Telephone respondents were asked a branching series and telephone data are not included.
 2000 NOTE 2:
 The order in which Democratic and Republican party placements were administered was randomized.
 2004 NOTE:
 The order in which Democratic and Republican party placements were administered was randomized.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1970: V700107(type 0)
 1972: V720633
 1974: V742300

1976: V763267
 1978: V780375
 1980: V801074
 1982: V820417
 1984: V840385
 1988: V880338,V880346
 1990: V900449
 1994: V940938
 2000: V000661
 2004: V043162

VCF0518 REPUBLICAN PARTY- AID TO BLACKS SCALE

Question	Republican Party - R's rating of party on aid to blacks/minorities scale
Valid	1. Government should help minority groups 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Minority groups should help themselves
Missing	8. DK party placement 9. NA party placement 0. DK self-placement (1972-1984,1990,1994); NA self-placement (1972-1990,1994); haven't thought much about self-placement (1970-1984, 1990,1994); no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0517
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700108(type 0) 1972: V720634 1974: V742301 1976: V763268 1978: V780376 1980: V801073 1982: V820418 1984: V840386 1988: V880337,V880345 1990: V900450 1994: V940939 2000: V000666 2004: V043163

VCF0521 WHICH PARTY FAVORS STRONGER GOVERNMENT

Question	Which party do you think is more likely to favor a stronger [1978,1980, 1984: more powerful; 1988,1992: a powerful] government in Washington – the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference between them on this?
Valid	1. Democrats 2. No difference

3. Republicans
8. DK which party

Missing	0. Filter response is that R has no opinion/interest for topic or DK whether has own opinion on topic (all years); R says s/he has own opinion but gives opinion as DK (1964,1968,1972,1976,1988,1992); R says s/he has own opinion but gives opinion as NA (all years); R's own opinion is: thinks govt not getting too strong but DK/NA if it should become more powerful (1988,1992 ONLY); R's own opinion is that govt is not too strong AND should stay as it is (1988,1992 ONLY); R's own opinion is 'other/ depends' (1988 ONLY); NA which party; no Post IW; form I (1972); telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: In 1964-1988,1992,2000, if the following answers were given when R was asked if R had an opinion on the question of whether the government is getting too powerful, then R was not asked this question: 'no' (opinion) (1964,1976-1992,2000); 'no interest' (1968,1972); NA (all years); 'DK' (all years). Additionally, in 1964,1968,1972,1976,1988,1992,2000 if R replied 'yes,' that R did have an interest/opinion BUT responded 'DK' or NA when asked for that opinion, then R was not asked this question. In 1988,1992,2000, among Rs who indicated that they had an opinion on this issue, Respondents who were asked this question were limited to Rs having their own opinions as one of the following: 1) The government is too powerful; OR 2) The government is not getting too strong AND (followup) the government should become more powerful. 1992 NOTE: 46 cases were not asked this question due to an error in skip instructions.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640073 1968: V680063 1972: V720058 1976: V763226 1978: V780456 1980: V801131 1984: V841062 1988: V880851 1992: V926018 2000: V001512,V001515

VCF0521a WHICH PARTY FAVORS STRONGER GOVERNMENT W/ NO OPINION

Question	Which party do you think is more likely to favor a stronger [1978,1980, 1984: more powerful; 1988,1992: a powerful] government in Washington – the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference between them on this?
Valid	1. Democrats 2. No difference 3. Republicans 9. DK which party; no opinion
Missing	0. R's own opinion is: thinks govt. not getting too strong but DK/NA if it should become more powerful (1988,1992 ONLY); R's own opinion is that govt is not too strong AND should stay as it is (1988,1992 ONLY); R's own opinion is 'other/depends' (1988 ONLY); NA which party; no Post IW; form I (1972); telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0521.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640073 1968: V680063 1972: V720058 1976: V763226 1978: V780456 1980: V801131 1984: V841062 1988: V880851 1992: V926018 2000: V001515

VCF0522 WHICH PARTY WILL BEST AVOID WAR/BIGGER WAR

Question	Looking ahead, do you think the problem of keeping out of war (1966-1972: a bigger war) would be handled better in the next four years (1966-1970: two years) by the Republicans, by the Democrats, or about the same by both? [2000: IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]
Valid	1. Better by Democrats 2. Same by both 3. Better by Republicans 9. DK; depends (1972); neither (2000)
Missing	0. NA; Form II (1972); no Pre IW; question not administered in assigned half-sample (1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1996 NOTE: The order of parties read in the question text was randomized. 2004 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE.
Weight	VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z
Sources	1956: V560086 1960: V600087 1964: V640141 1966: V660040 1968: V680117 1970: V700046(type 1) 1972: V720052 1980: V800196 1982: V820308 1984: V840249 1986: V860524 1988: V880253 1992: V923602 1996: V960408 2000: V000506 2004: V043111

VCF0523 WHICH PARTY FAVORS MILITARY SPENDING CUT

Question	Which political party do you think is more in favor of cutting military spending - the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?
Valid	1. Democrats 2. Not much difference 3. Republicans
Missing	8. DK 0. NA; no Post IW; form II,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720589 1976: V763359

VCF0524 DEMOCRATIC PARTY- RIGHTS OF ACCUSED SCALE

Question	Some people are primarily concerned with doing everything possible to protect the legal rights of those accused of committing crimes. Others feel that it is more important to stop criminal activity even at the risk of reducing the rights of the accused. Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this scale)? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Protect rights of accused 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Stop crime regardless of rights of accused
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (all years); DK/NA self-placement (1972-1978); no Post IW; form III, IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Rs who responded 'haven't thought much about it' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale. In 1972-1978, Rs who responded 'DK' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700121(type 0) 1972: V720625 1974: V742284 1976: V763251 1978: V780367

VCF0525 REPUBLICAN PARTY- RIGHTS OF ACCUSED SCALE

Question	Republican Party - R's rating of party on rights of accused scale
Valid	1. Protect rights of accused 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Stop crime regardless of rights of accused
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (all years); DK/NA self-placement (1972-1978); no Post IW; form III, IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0524.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700122(type 0) 1972: V720626 1974: V742285 1976: V763252 1978: V780368

VCF0528 DEMOCRATIC PARTY- URBAN UNREST SCALE

Question	There is much discussion about the best way to deal with the problem of urban unrest and rioting. Some say it is more important to use all available force to maintain law and order - no matter what results. Others say it is more important to correct the problems of poverty and unemployment that give rise to the disturbances. Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this scale)? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Solve problems of poverty and unemployment 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Use all available force
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (1972,1976); no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: In 1972-1976, Rs who responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700086(type 0) 1972: V720674 1974: V742277 1976: V763770

VCF0529 REPUBLICAN PARTY- URBAN UNREST SCALE

Question	Republican Party - R's rating of party on urban unrest scale
Valid	1. Solve problems of poverty and unemployment 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Use all available force
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (1972;1976); no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0528.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700087(type 0) 1972: V720675 1974: V742278 1976: V763771

VCF0533 DEMOCRATIC PARTY- SCHOOL BUSING SCALE

Question	There is much discussion about the best way to deal with racial problems. Some people think achieving racial integration of schools is so important that it justifies busing children to schools out of their own neighborhoods. Others think letting children go to their neighborhood schools is so important that they oppose busing. Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this scale)? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Bus to achieve integration 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Keep children in neighborhood schools
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Rs who responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720206 1974: V742292 1976: V763260

VCF0534 REPUBLICAN PARTY- SCHOOL BUSING SCALE

Question	Republican Party - R's rating of party on school busing scale
Valid	1. Bus to achieve integration 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Keep children in neighborhood schools
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0533.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720207 1974: V742293 1976: V763261

VCF0537 DEMOCRATIC PARTY- WOMEN EQUAL ROLE SCALE

Question	Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home. Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this scale)? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Women and men should have an equal role 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Women's place is in the home
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (1972-1988); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Prior to 1998, Rs who responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' for self- placement were not asked for party placements on this scale. 1998 NOTE: The order in which this rating was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720236 1976: V763790 1978: V780391 1980: V801106 1982: V820437 1988: V880391 1998: V980453

VCF0538 REPUBLICAN PARTY- WOMEN EQUAL ROLE SCALE

Question	Republican Party - R's rating of party on equal role for women scale
Valid	1. Women and men should have an equal role 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Women's place is in the home
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (1972-1988); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0537.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720237 1976: V763791 1978: V780392 1980: V801105 1982: V820438 1988: V880390 1998: V980454

VCF0541 DEMOCRATIC PARTY- GOVERNMENT SERVICES- SPENDING SCALE

Question	Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education, in order to reduce spending. (1996 AND LATER: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1). Other people feel that it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. (1996: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.) Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this scale)? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Government should provide many fewer services: reduce spending a lot 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government should provide many more services: increase spending a lot
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/haven't thought much about self-placement (1982, 1984, 1990, 1994); NA self-placement (exc. 1986); short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: In 1982-1984, 1990, 1994, 1996, Rs who responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale. 1996 AND LATER NOTE: the order in which this rating was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized. 1988 NOTE:

If R's self-placement was NA , then R was not asked for party placements.
 1992 NOTE:
 same as 1988.
 1980 NOTE:
 The 1980 version of this question was not comparable.
 2000 NOTE 1:
 Telephone respondents were asked a branching series, and 2000 telephone data are not included.
 2000 NOTE 2:
 The order in which Democratic and Republican party placements were administered was randomized.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1982: V820445 1984: V840378 1986: V860455 1988: V880308 1990: V900456 1992: V923705 1994: V940944 1996: V960461 1998: V980468 2000: V000569 2012: spsrvr_ssdem

VCF0542 REPUBLICAN PARTY- GOVERNMENT SERVICES-SPENDING SCALE

Question	Republican Party - R's rating of party on government services scale
Valid	1. Government should provide many fewer services: reduce spending a lot 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government should provide many more services: increase spending a lot
Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/haven't thought much about self-placement (1982,1984, 1990,1994); NA self-placement (exc. 1986); short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0541
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1982: V820446 1984: V840379 1986: V860456 1988: V880307 1990: V900457 1992: V923704 1994: V940945 1996: V960462 1998: V980469 2000: V000575 2004: V043141 2012: spsrvr_ssrep

VCF0545 DEMOCRATIC PARTY- COOPERATION WITH U.S.S.R. SCALE

Question	<p>1980: Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia.</p> <p>1984,1988: Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia.</p> <p>ALL YEARS: Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale? (7-PT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cooperate more/get along with Russia 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Get much tougher/big mistake
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Rs who responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1980: V801090 1984: V840411 1988: V880374</p>

VCF0546 REPUBLICAN PARTY- COOPERATION WITH U.S.S.R. SCALE

Question	Republican Party - R's rating of party on cooperate with Russia scale
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cooperate more/get along with Russia 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Get much tougher/big mistake
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0545.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1980: V801089 1984: V840412 1988: V880373</p>

VCF0549 DEMOCRATIC PARTY- DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE

Question	<p>Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. (1996,2004: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. (1996,2004: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.)</p> <p>Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Greatly decrease defense spending 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Greatly increase defense spending.
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/haven't thought much about self-placement (1980,1984, 1990); NA self-placement (exc. 1986); short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: In 1980-1984,1990, Rs who responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale.</p> <p>1988 NOTE: If R's self-placement was NA then R was not asked for party placements.</p> <p>1992 NOTE: same as 1988.</p> <p>1996 (and later) NOTE: The order in which this rating was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized.</p> <p>2000 NOTE 1: Telephone respondents were asked a branching series, and 2000 telephone data are not included.</p> <p>2004 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE.</p> <p>2012 NOTE: The 7-point scale used in 2012 was not comparable.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1980: V800287</p> <p>1982: V820409</p> <p>1984: V840398</p> <p>1986: V860412</p> <p>1988: V880316</p> <p>1990: V900443</p> <p>1992: V923711</p> <p>1996: V960477</p> <p>2000: V000598</p> <p>2004: V043146</p>

VCF0550 REPUBLICAN PARTY- DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE

Question	Republican Party - R's rating of party on defense spending
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Greatly decrease defense spending 2. 3. 4. 5.

6.
7. Greatly increase defense spending.

Missing	8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/haven't thought much about self-placement (1980, 1984, 1990); NA self-placement (exc. 1986); short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0549.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800286 1982: V820410 1984: V840399 1986: V860413 1988: V880315 1990: V900444 1992: V923710 1996: V960478 2000: V000603 2004: V043147

VCF0601 APPROVE PARTICIPATION IN PROTESTS

Question **There are many possible ways for people to show their disapproval or disagreement with governmental policies and actions. I am going to describe three such ways. We would like to know which ones you approve of as ways of showing dissatisfaction with the government and which ones you disapprove of.**
How about taking part in protest meetings or marches that are permitted by the local authorities? Would you approve of taking part, disapprove, or would it depend on the circumstances?

Valid

1. Disapprove
2. Pro-con, depends, don't know
3. Approve

Missing 0. NA; no Post IW
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010.
Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

Weight VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

Sources

1968: V680472
1970: V700141(type 1)
1972: V720275
1974: V742238

VCF0602 APPROVE CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE

Question

How about refusing to obey a law which one thinks is unjust, if the person feels so strongly about it that he is willing to go to jail rather than obey the law? Would you approve of a person doing that, Disapprove, or would it depend on the circumstances?

Valid	1. Disapprove 2. Pro-con, depends, don't know 3. Approve
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See introductory text VCF0601. Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.
Weight	VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z
Sources	1968: V680474 1970: V700142(type 1) 1972: V720276 1974: V742239

VCF0603 APPROVE DEMONSTRATIONS

Question	Suppose all other methods have failed and the person decides to try to stop the government from going about its usual activities with sit-ins, mass meetings, demonstrations, and things like that? Would you approve of that, disapprove, or would it depend on the circumstances?
Valid	1. Disapprove 2. Pro-con, depends, don't know 3. Approve
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See introductory text VCF0601. Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.
Weight	VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z
Sources	1968: V680476 1970: V700143(type 1) 1972: V720277 1974: V742240 1976: V763909

VCF0604 TRUST THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO DO WHAT IS RIGHT

Question	People have (1958,1964: I'd like to talk about some of the) different ideas about the government in Washington. These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. (1996 and later: For example:) How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right – just about always, most of the time (not 1966: or) only some of the time (1996: or almost never)?
Valid	1. None of the time/never (VOLUNTEERED); almost never (1966 only) 2. Some of the time 3. Most of the time 4. Just about always 9. DK; depends
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008); abbrev. telephone IW (1984: See VCF0015b) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0604-VCF0608 appeared may have varied. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'OLD'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'NEW'). A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order. 2012 NOTE: This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1958: V580157 1964: V640403 1966: V660173 1968: V680504 1970: V700151 (type 0) 1972: V720571 1974: V742230 1976: V763163 1978: V780347 1980: V800402 1982: V820529 1984: V841064 1986: V860548 1988: V880955 1990: V900504 1992: V926120 1994: V941033 1996: V961251 1998: V980528 2000: V001534 2002: V025174 2004: V045197 2008: V085147a 2012: trustgov_trustgstd

VCF0605 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT RUN BY FEW INTERESTS OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL

Question	Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?
-----------------	---

Valid	1. Few big interests 2. Benefit of all 9. DK; pro-con; depends; other; refused to choose; both
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984: See VCF0015b) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0604-VCF0608 appeared may have varied. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640405 1966: V660176 1968: V680505 1970: V700152(type 0) 1972: V720572 1974: V742231 1976: V763164 1978: V780348 1980: V800403 1982: V820530 1984: V841065 1988: V880957 1990: V900506 1992: V926122 1994: V941035 1996: V961253 1998: V980529 2000: V001536 2002: V025176 2004: V045198 2008: V085148 2012: trustgov_bigintrst 2016: V161216 2020: V201234

VCF0606 HOW MUCH DOES THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WASTE TAX MONEY

Question	Do you think that people in the government waste a lot of money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it?
Valid	1. A lot 2. Some 3. Not very much 9. DK
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984: See VCF0015b) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0604-VCF0608 appeared may have varied. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 2008 NOTE: A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1958: V580156 1964: V640402 1968: V680503 1970: V700150(type 0)

1972: V720570
 1974: V742229
 1976: V763162
 1978: V780346
 1980: V800401
 1982: V820528
 1984: V841063
 1988: V880956
 1990: V900505
 1992: V926121
 1994: V941034
 1996: V961252
 1998: V980527
 2000: V001535
 2002: V025175
 2004: V045198
 2008: V085149
 2012: trustgov_waste
 2016: V161217
 2020: V201235

VCF0607 HOW MANY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS ARE SMART

Question Do you feel that almost all of the people running the government are smart people (1958-1972: who usually know what they are doing), or do you think that quite a few (1970: quite a lot) of them don't seem to know what they are doing?

Valid 1. Don't know what they're doing
 2. Know what they're doing
 9. DK; pro-con; depends; other; refused to choose; both

Missing 0. MD; no Post IW
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 The order in which questions VCF0604-VCF0608 appeared may have varied.
 1988 NOTE:
 Placement varied by form.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1958: V580159
 1964: V640404
 1968: V680506
 1970: V700153(type 0)
 1972: V720573
 1974: V742232
 1976: V763165
 1978: V780349
 1980: V800404

VCF0608 HOW MANY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS ARE CROOKED

Question Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are (1958-1972: a little) crooked, not very many are, or do you think hardly any of them are crooked (1958-1972: at all)?

Valid

	<p>1. Quite a few; quite a lot (1958-1972) 2. Not many 3. Hardly any 9. DK</p>
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984: See VCF015) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0604-VCF0608 appeared may have varied. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 2008 NOTE: A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1958: V580155 1964: V640401 1968: V680507 1970: V700154(type 0) 1972: V720574 1974: V742233 1976: V763166 1978: V780350 1980: V800405 1984: V841066 1988: V880958 1990: V900507 1992: V926123 1994: V941036 1996: V961254 1998: V980526 2000: V001537 2002: V025177 2004: V045200 2008: V085150 2012: trustgvpo_crook</p>

VCF0609 GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS CARE WHAT PEOPLE LIKE RESPONDENT THINK

Question	<p>1952-1980,1982,1992: Now I'd like to read some of the kinds of things people tell us when we interview them. Please tell me whether (1992: and ask you whether) (1966,1988,1990,1994-LATER: how much) you agree or disagree with these statements (1992: with them) (2002: about the government.) (1988,1990: You can just give me the number of your choice.) (1992: I'll read them one at a time and you just tell me whether you agree or disagree) (1996 and later: The first is): 1952-1988,1992: 'I don't think public officials care much what people like me think.' 1990,1994-LATER: 'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.' 2000 TELEPHONE,2002: Do you agree, neither agree nor disagree, or disagree with this statement?</p>
Valid	<p>1. Agree 2. Disagree 3. Neither agree nor disagree (1988 and later only) 9. DK; not sure; it depends; can't say; refused to say</p>
Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; split versions; not asked (2008); form B (1986) INAP. question not used

Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Prior to 1988, response options were read to R. In 1988 and later (except 2000 telephone and 2002), response options were in the Respondent Booklet. Code 1 includes: 'strongly agree' and 'agree' from the 1966 data; 'agree strongly' and 'agree somewhat' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002). Code 2 includes: 'strongly disagree' and 'disagree' from the 1966 data; 'disagree somewhat' and 'disagree strongly' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002). 1952 NOTE: The order of items appearing in VCF0609-VCF0618 was varied. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (version 'C'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'D'). 2012 NOTE: This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question).</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520118 1956: V560115 1960: V600114 1964: V640177 1966: V660118 1968: V680520 1970: V700147(type 0) 1972: V720272 1974: V742225 1976: V763818 1978: V780354 1980: V801033 1982: V820532 1984: V840313 1986: V860549 1988: V880938 1990: V900508 1992: V926103 1994: V941037 1996: V961244 1998: V980524 2000: V001527 2002: V025172 2004: V045201 2008: V085151c 2012: effic_carestd 2016: V162215 2020: V202212

VCF0610 CONGRESSMEN LOSE TOUCH WITH CONSTITUENTS

Question	'Generally speaking, those we elect to Congress in Washington lose touch with the people pretty quickly.'
Valid	1. Agree 2. Disagree 9. DK; it depends; can't say; refused to say
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680521 1970: V700148(type 0) 1972: V720273 1974: V742226 1976: V763819 1978: V780355 1980: V801034

VCF0611 PARTIES ONLY INTERESTED IN VOTES

Question	'Parties are only interested in people's votes but not in their opinions.'
Valid	1. Agree 2. Disagree 9. DK; it depends; can't say; refused to say
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680523 1970: V700149(type 0) 1972: V720274 1974: V742227 1976: V763820 1978: V780356 1980: V801035

VCF0612 VOTING IS THE ONLY WAY TO HAVE A SAY IN GOVERNMENT

Question	'Voting is the only way that people like me can have any (1956-1964: a) say about how the government runs things.'
Valid	1. Agree 2. Disagree 9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say; neither agree nor disagree (1988)
Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied. 1966 NOTE: This question was asked as a Likert Scale (i.e., strongly agree/ agree/ disagree/ strongly disagree), collapsed here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	

1952: V520120
 1956: V560109
 1960: V600108
 1964: V640175
 1966: V660116
 1968: V680518
 1970: V700145(type 0)
 1972: V720270
 1974: V742223
 1976: V763816
 1978: V780352
 1980: V801031

VCF0613 PEOPLE LIKE RESPONDENT HAVE ANY SAY IN WHAT THE GOVERNMENT DOES

Question	'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'
Valid	<p>1. Agree 2. Disagree 3. Neither agree nor disagree (1988 and later only) 9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say</p>
Missing	<p>0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; split versions; not asked (2008) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied. Prior to 1988, response options were read to R. In 1988 and later (except 2000 telephone and 2002), response options were shown to R in the Respondent Booklet. Code 1 includes: 'strongly agree' and 'agree' from the 1966 data 'agree strongly' and 'agree somewhat' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002). Code 2 includes: 'strongly disagree' and 'disagree' from the 1966 data; 'disagree somewhat' and 'disagree strongly' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002). 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 1996 NOTE: This question was asked in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'C'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'D'). 2012 NOTE: This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question).</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1952: V520123 1956: V560108 1960: V600107 1964: V640174 1966: V660115 1968: V680517 1970: V700144(type 0) 1972: V720269 1974: V742222 1976: V763815 1978: V780351 1980: V801030 1982: V820531 1984: V840312</p>

1988: V880937
 1990: V900509
 1992: V926102
 1994: V941038
 1996: V960568
 1998: V980525
 2000: V001528
 2002: V025173
 2004: V045202
 2008: V085151d
 2012: effc_saystd
 2016: V162216
 2020: V202213

VCF0614 POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT SEEM TOO COMPLICATED

Question 'Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.'

Valid 1. Agree
 2. Disagree
 3. Neither agree nor disagree (1988 and later only)
 9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say

Missing 0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008)
 INAP. question not used

Notes See introductory text VCF0609.
 GENERAL NOTE:
 The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied. Prior to 1988, response options were read to R. In 1988 and later (except 2000 telephone and 2002), response options were shown to R in the Respondent Booklet.
 Code 1 includes: 'strongly agree' and 'agree' from the 1966 data 'agree strongly' and 'agree somewhat' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002). Code 2 includes: 'strongly disagree' and 'disagree' from the 1966 data; 'disagree somewhat' and 'disagree strongly' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002).
 1988 NOTE:
 Placement varied by form.
 1996 NOTE:
 This question was asked in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here.
 2008 NOTE:
 This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (version 'C'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'D').
 2012 NOTE:
 This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question).
 2016 NOTE:
 The 2016 version of this question is not comparable.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1952: V520125
 1956: V560112
 1960: V600111
 1964: V640176
 1966: V660117
 1968: V680519
 1970: V700146(type 0)
 1972: V720271
 1974: V742224
 1976: V763817
 1978: V780353
 1980: V801032

1984: V840314
 1988: V880939
 1990: V900510
 1992: V926104
 1994: V941039
 1996: V961246
 1998: V980523
 2000: V001529
 2008: V085151a
 2012: effic_complicstd

VCF0615 MATTER WHETHER RESPONDENT VOTES OR NOT

Question **2002: Now I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of them.**
ALL YEARS:
'So many other people vote in the national elections that it doesn't matter much to me whether I vote or not.'
2000:
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?
2002:
Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement?

Valid 1. Agree (2000: agree strongly/somewhat)
 2. Disagree (2000: disagree strongly/somewhat)
 3. Neither agree nor disagree (2000, 2002 only)
 9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say

Missing 0. NA; no Pre IW; IW conducted prior to addition of question (2000)
 INAP. question not used

Notes See introductory text VCF0609.
 GENERAL NOTE:
 The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied.
 2000 NOTE 1:
 Response options changed.
 2000 NOTE 2:
 This variable was added to the 2000 Post questionnaire after it had already been in the field several days.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1952: V520122
 1956: V560111
 1960: V600110
 1972: V720288
 1976: V763349
 1978: V780423
 1980: V800144
 2000: V001520
 2002: V025171

VCF0616 SHOULD THOSE WHO DON'T CARE ABOUT ELECTION OUTCOME VOTE

Question

'If a person doesn't (1988: if people don't) care how an election comes out he (1980,1984: then that person; 1988,1992: they) shouldn't vote in it.'

Valid	1. Agree 2. Disagree 3. Neither agree nor disagree (1988, 1990, 1992 only) 9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say
Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied. Prior to 1988, response options were read to R. In 1988 and later, response options were shown to R in the Respondent Booklet. Code 1 includes: 'agree strongly' and 'agree somewhat' from 1988 and later data. Code 2 includes: 'disagree somewhat' and 'disagree strongly' from 1988 and later data. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520124 1956: V560113 1960: V600112 1972: V720289 1976: V763350 1978: V780424 1980: V800145 1984: V840311 1988: V880936 1992: V926101

VCF0617 SHOULD SOMEONE VOTE IF THEIR PARTY CAN'T WIN

Question	'It isn't so important to vote when you know your party doesn't have any chance to win.'
Valid	1. Agree 2. Disagree 9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say
Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520117 1956: V560110 1960: V600109 1972: V720287 1976: V763348 1978: V780422 1980: V800143

VCF0618 A GOOD MANY LOCAL ELECTIONS UNIMPORTANT

Question	'A good many local elections aren't important enough to bother with.'
Valid	1. Agree 2. Disagree 9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say
Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied by study.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520121 1956: V560114 1960: V600113 1972: V720290 1976: V763351 1978: V780425 1980: V800146

VCF0619 MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED OR: CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL WITH PEOPLE

Question	1968: Now here is something different. We have questions on other things besides politics. ALL YEARS: Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?
Valid	1. Can't be too careful 2. Most people can be trusted 9. DK; other; depends; both; can't say; refused to say
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used
Notes	1996 NOTE: This question was asked in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are used here. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'G'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'H'). 2012: This question was not asked comparably in 2012.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640398 1966: V660136 1968: V680500 1972: V750581 1974: V742400 1976: V763745 1992: V926139 1996: V960567 1998: V980532 2000: V001475 2002: V025101

2004: V045186
2008: V083092a

VCF0620 PEOPLE ARE HELPFUL OR: PEOPLE LOOK OUT FOR THEMSELVES

Question	Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?
Valid	1. Just look out for themselves 2. Try to be helpful 9. DK; other; depends; both; can't say; refused to say
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	1968 NOTE: See intro VCF0619. 2002 NOTE: Respondents were randomly assigned to either VCF0620 or VCF0621.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640399 1966: V660137 1968: V680501 1972: V720582 1974: V742401 1976: V763746 1992: V926140 2000: V001477 2002: V025103 2004: V045188

VCF0621 PEOPLE WILL TAKE ADVANTAGE OF OTHERS OR: PEOPLE WILL TRY TO BE FAIR

Question	Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got a chance, or would they try to be fair?
Valid	1. Would take advantage 2. Would try to be fair 9. DK; other; depends; both; can't say; refused to say
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	1968 NOTE: See intro VCF0619. 1996 NOTE: This question was asked in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are used here. 2002 NOTE: Respondents were randomly assigned to either VCF0620 or VCF0621.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640400 1968: V680502 1972: V720583 1974: V742402

1976: V763747
 1996: V960569
 1998: V980531
 2000: V001476
 2002: V025102
 2004: V045187

VCF0622 HOW MUCH GOVERNMENT PAYS ATTENTION TO WHAT PEOPLE THINK

Question	Over the years, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what the people think when it decides what to do – a good deal, some, or not much?
Valid	1. Not much 2. Some 3. A good deal 9. DK; other; depends; can't say
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.
Weight	VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z
Sources	1964: V640390 1968: V680490 1970: V700155(type 1) 1972: V720575 1974: V742234 1976: V763741 1978: V780416 1980: V800888 1982: V820527 1984: V840310 1988: V880960 1992: V926125 1996: V961256 1998: V980521 2000: V001539

VCF0623 HOW MUCH PARTIES MAKE GOVERNMENT PAY ATTENTION TO PEOPLE

Question	How much do you feel that political parties help to make the government pay attention to what the people think, a good deal, some or not much?
Valid	1. Not much 2. Some 3. A good deal 9. DK; other; depends; can't say

Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV 1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.
Weight	VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z
Sources	1964: V640391 1968: V680491 1970: V700156(type 1) 1972: V720576 1974: V742235 1976: V763742 1978: V780417 1980: V800889

VCF0624 HOW MUCH ELECTIONS MAKE GOVERNMENT PAY ATTENTION TO PEOPLE

Question	(And) How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think, a good deal, some or not much?
Valid	1. Not much 2. Some 3. A good deal 9. DK; other; depends; can't say
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 2008 NOTE: A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order.
Weight	VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z
Sources	1964: V640392 1966: V660177 1968: V680492 1970: V700157(type 1) 1972: V720577 1974: V742236 1976: V763743 1978: V780418 1980: V800890 1984: V840309 1988: V880959 1992: V926124 1996: V961255 1998: V980522 2000: V001538 2002: V025178 2004: V045204 2008: V085154 2012: respons_elections

2016: V161220
2020: V201238

VCF0625 HOW MUCH ATTENTION CONGRESSMEN PAY TO CONSTITUENTS

Question	How much attention do you think most Congressmen pay to the people who elect them when they decide what to do in Congress, a good deal, some, or not much?
Valid	1. Not much 2. Some 3. A good deal 9. DK; other; depends; can't say
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form 2 whites (1970); form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.
Weight	VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z
Sources	1964: V640393 1968: V680493 1970: V700158(type 1) 1972: V720578 1974: V742237 1976: V763744 1978: V780419 1980: V800891

VCF0626 RESPONDENT USUALLY ABLE TO CARRY OUT PLANS AS EXPECTED

Question	1958,1960,1964: Now here is something a little different. It helps use know what kinds of people we have talked to if we can find out how they feel about things besides politics. For example.. 1968-1972: Now here is something different. We have questions on other things besides politics. 1976: Now we have a few questions on other things besides politics. ALL YEARS: When you do make plans ahead, do you usually get to carry out things the way you expected, or do things usually come up to make you change your plans?
Valid	1. Have to change plans 2. Things work out as expected 9. DK; pro-con; it depends; both other; refused to choose
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1958: V580164 1960: V600240 1964: V640362 1968: V680496 1970: V700160(type 0) 1972: V720279 1974: V742397 1976: V763737

VCF0627 BETTER TO PLAN LIFE A GOOD WAY AHEAD

Question	Do you think it's better to plan your life a good way ahead, or would you say life is too much a matter of luck to plan ahead very far?
Valid	1. Too much luck to plan 2. Plan ahead 9. DK; pro-con; it depends; both; other; refused to choose
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See introductory text VCF0626.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680495 1970: V700159(type 0) 1972: V720278 1974: V742396 1976: V763736

VCF0628 SURE LIFE WOULD WORK OUT AS EXPECTED

Question	Have you usually felt pretty sure your life would work out the way you want it to, or have there been times when you haven't been (1958: very) sure about it?
Valid	1. Haven't been sure 2. Pretty sure 9. DK; pro-con; it depends; both; other; refused to choose
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See introductory text VCF0626.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1958: V580160 1960: V600238 1964: V640360 1968: V680497 1970: V700161(type 0) 1972: V720280 1974: V742398 1976: V763738

VCF0629 CAN RUN LIFE AS WANTED

Question	Some people feel they can run their lives pretty much the way they want to; others feel the problems of life are sometimes too big for them. Which one are you most like?
Valid	1. Problems of life too big 2. Can run own life 9. DK; pro-con; it depends; both; other; refused to choose
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See introductory text VCF0626.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680498 1970: V700162(type 0) 1972: V720281 1974: V742399 1976: V763739

VCF0630 GOVERNMENT LEVEL RESPONDENT HAS MOST FAITH IN

Question	We find that people differ in how much faith and confidence they have in various levels of government in this country. In your case, do you have more faith and confidence in the national government, the government of this state, or in the local government around here?
Valid	1. National government 2. State government 3. Local government 9. DK; none; all; other; depends; refuses to choose; combination of two
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680508 1972: V720453 1974: V742244 1976: V763593 1996: V961203

VCF0631 GOVERNMENT LEVEL RESPONDENT HAS LEAST FAITH IN

Question	Which level (1996: of government) do you have the least faith and confidence in – the national government, the government of this state, or the local government around here?
Valid	1. National government 2. State government 3. Local government 9. DK; none; all; other; depends; refuses to choose; combination of two

Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680510 1972: V720454 1974: V742247 1976: V763596 1996: V961204

VCF0632 BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT RESPONDENT TRUSTS MOST

Question	Which part of the government on the list do you most often trust to do what's right: Congress, the Supreme Court, the President, or political parties? (LIST SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Congress 2. Supreme Court 3. President 4. Political parties 9. DK; all; none
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720450 1974: V742241 1976: V763590

VCF0633 BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT RESPONDENT TRUSTS 2ND MOST

Question	Which of the others do you next often trust to do what's right {Congress, Supreme Court, President or political parties}?
Valid	1. Congress 2. Supreme Court 3. President 4. Political parties 9. DK; all; none
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972); answered all, none, DK or NA in VCF0632 INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0632.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720451 1974: V742242 1976: V763591

VCF0634 BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT RESPONDENT TRUSTS LEAST

Question	Which (part of the government) do you least often trust to do what's right (Congress, Supreme Court, President or political parties)?
Valid	1. Congress 2. Supreme Court 3. President 4. Political parties 9. DK; all; none
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972); answered all, none, DK or NA in VCF0632 INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0632.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720452 1974: V742243 1976: V763592

VCF0640 GOVERNMENT HANDLING OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM MENTIONED BY RESPONDENT

Question	(IF R HAS MENTIONED A 'MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM' IN THE NATION:) How good (of) a job is the government doing in dealing with this problem: a good job, only fair, or a poor job?
Valid	1. Good job 3. Only fair 5. Poor job 8. Don't know
Missing	9. NA; R not selected (1996,2000); no Post IW; abbrev. Post IW (1984); short form questionnaire (1992) 0. R has not identified any problem INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This represents the follow-up question to VCF0875/VCF0875a/VCF0875b. 1996 NOTE: A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected to be administered questions about the 'most important problem'. 2000 NOTE: same as 1996. 2004 NOTE: The CSES version of this question, included in the 2004 study, is not comparable.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763691 1978: V780316 1980: V800984 1984: V840994 1986: V860307 1988: V880818 1992: V925727 1996: V961142 1998: V980347 2000: V000437

VCF0648 EXTERNAL EFFICACY INDEX

Question	External efficacy index, 100-pt scale
Valid	0. Least efficacious . 100. Most efficacious
Missing	999. Not scored in both VCF0609 and VCF0613 INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0609 AND VCF0613. Component vars are first recoded as follows: VCF0609,VCF0613: 1=0,2=100,3=50. The recoded values are then totaled and divided by the number of valid responses. The result is then rounded.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0649 GOVERNMENT RESPONSIVENESS INDEX

Question	Government responsiveness index, 100-pt scale
Valid	0. Least responsive . 100. Most responsive
Missing	999. Not scored in both VCF0622 and VCF0624 INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0622 AND VCF0624. Component vars are first recoded as follows: VCF0622,VCF0624: 1=0,2=50,3=100. The recoded values are then totaled and divided by the number of valid responses. The result is then rounded. Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable; form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.
Weight	VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

VCF0650 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE RATING

Question	Now, I'd like to ask you how good a job you feel some of the parts of our government are doing. As I read, please give me the number that best describes how good a job you feel that part of the government is doing for the country as a whole. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON
Valid	0. Very poor job 1. 2. Poor job 3. 4. Fair job 5. 6. Good job

	7. 8. Very good job
Missing	9. DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1974: V742251 1976: V763548 1980: V800762

VCF0651 STATE GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE RATING

Question	State governments, in general
Valid	0. Very poor job 1. 2. Poor job 3. 4. Fair job 5. 6. Good job 7. 8. Very good job
Missing	9. DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0650.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1974: V742252 1976: V763549 1980: V800763

VCF0652 LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE RATING

Question	Local governments, in general
Valid	0. Very poor job 1. 2. Poor job 3. 4. Fair job 5. 6. Good job 7. 8. Very good job
Missing	9. DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0650.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	

1974: V742253
 1976: V763550
 1980: V800764

VCF0653 PRESIDENCY PERFORMANCE RATING

Question	The presidency
Valid	0. Very poor job 1. 2. Poor job 3. 4. Fair job 5. 6. Good job 7. 8. Very good job
Missing	9. DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0650.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1974: V742254 1976: V763551 1980: V800765

VCF0654 CONGRESS PERFORMANCE RATING

Question	Congress, that is the U.S. Senate and the House of Representatives
Valid	0. Very poor job 1. 2. Poor job 3. 4. Fair job 5. 6. Good job 7. 8. Very good job
Missing	9. DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0650.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1974: V742255 1976: V763552 1980: V800766

VCF0655 SUPREME COURT PERFORMANCE RATING

Question	The U.S. Supreme Court
Valid	0. Very poor job 1. 2. Poor job 3. 4. Fair job 5. 6. Good job 7. 8. Very good job
Missing	9. DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0650.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1974: V742256 1976: V763553 1980: V800767

VCF0656 TRUST IN GOVERNMENT INDEX

Question	Trust in government index, 100 pt scale
Valid	0. Least trusting . 100. Most trusting
Missing	999. Not scored in all of: VCF0604, VCF0605, VCF0606, VCF0608. INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0604, VCF0605, VCF0606, VCF0608. Component vars are first recoded as follows: VCF0604: 1=0,2=33,3=67,4=100; VCF0605: 1=0, 2=100; VCF0606,VCF0608: 1=0,2=50,3=100. The recoded values are then totaled and divided by the number of valid responses. The result is then rounded.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0675 HOW MUCH OF THE TIME DOES RESPONDENT TRUST THE MEDIA TO REPORT FAIRLY

Question	How much of the time do you think you can trust the media to report the news fairly? Just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or almost never?
Valid	1. Just about always 2. Most of the time 3. Only some of the time 4. Almost never

	5. None of the time [VOL] 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	2008 NOTE: A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1996: V961339 1998: V980474 2000: V001429 2004: V045007 2008: V085024

VCF0700 WHO WILL BE ELECTED PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER

Question	Who do you think will be elected President in November?
Valid	1. Democratic candidate 2. Republican candidate 7. Other candidate 8. DK; depends
Missing	9. NA; refused; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520013 1956: V560012 1960: V600017 1964: V640018 1968: V680025 1972: V720025 1976: V763026 1980: V800054 1984: V840076 1988: V880098 1992: V923102 1996: V960381 2000: V000485 2004: V043093 2008: V083073 2016: V161146 2020: V201217

VCF0701 REGISTERED TO VOTE PRE-ELECTION

Question	Now how about the election this November. (1952-1964: Do you know if you are; 1968-1980: Are you) registered/eligible so that you could vote in the November election if you wanted to?
Valid	1. No 2. Yes
Missing	

0. DK; NA; no Pre IW; form II (1972)
INAP. question not used

Notes	<p>This is a pre-election var. See VCF0737 for post-election registration question. GENERAL NOTE: Code 2 includes cases coded 'yes, qualified, I think so' from 1956, 1960 and 1964. From 1980, code 0 includes 1 response that voters were not required to register in respondent's state (this case was coded 1 in VCF0703). Beginning in 2012, respondents were asked where they were registered in both the Pre and Post. Summary registration status for these years can be found in VCF0703.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1952: V520077 1956: V560098 1960: V600099 1964: V640158 1968: V680131 1972: V720164 1976: V763032 1980: V800134</p>

VCF0702 DID RESPONDENT VOTE IN THE NATIONAL ELECTIONS

Question	<p>1948: In the election, about half the people voted and about half of them didn't. Did you vote? 1962: One of the things we need to know is whether or not people really did get to vote this fall. In talking to people about the election we find that a lot of people weren't able to vote because they weren't registered or they were sick or something else came up at the last minute. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in the November election? 1952-1960, 1964-1998, 2002 VERSION 1, and 2004 VERSION 1: In talking to people about the election we (1972 AND LATER: often) find that a lot of people weren't able to vote because they weren't registered or they were sick or they just didn't have time. (1956-1960: How about you, did you vote this time?) (1964-1970: How about you, did you vote this time, or did something keep you from voting) (1972-1976: How about you, did you vote in the elections this fall?) (1978 and later: How about you, did you vote in the elections this November?) 2000, 2002 VERSION2, and 2004 VERSION 1: In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. Which of the following statements best describes you: One, I did not vote (in the election this November); Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't; Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or Four, I am sure I voted?</p>
Valid	<p>1. No, did not vote 2. Yes, voted</p>
Missing	<p>0. DK; NA; no Post IW; refused to say if voted; Washington D.C. (presidential years only) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Code 2 includes 8 respondents from 1958, 8 respondents from 1962 and 14 respondents from 1968, who replied 'yes, I think so.' 1956 NOTE: Code 2 includes 3 cases from: 'Nonvoter, NA preference; voted, but not for president'.</p>

1982 NOTE:

For congressional districts GA04 and GA05, an additional question appeared: How about the special US Congressional elections held November 30th. Did you vote in that election? From 1982, code 2 includes all GA04, GA05 respondents who voted in either the November 2 general election or the November 30 special election, or both.

2002 NOTE:

Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1948: V480018 1952: V520178 1956: V560203 1958: V580077 1960: V600201 1962: V620041 1964: V640286 1966: V660102 1968: V680310 1970: V700209(type 0) 1972: V720477 1974: V742319 1976: V763655 1978: V780470 1980: V800988 1982: V820501 1984: V840783 1986: V860261 1988: V880756 1990: V900279 1992: V925601 1994: V940601 1996: V961074 1998: V980303 2000: V001241 2002: V025016 2004: V045018X 2008: V085036x 2012: rvote2012_x 2016: V162065x 2020: V202109x

VCF0703 REGISTER AND TURNOUT SUMMARY

Question	Summary: did R register and vote
Valid	1. Not registered, and did not vote 2. Registered, but did not vote 3. Voted (registered)
Missing	0. DK/NA if voted; DK/NA whether registered (includes 1960 nonvoter cases without pre IWs and 1972 form II nonvoters); no Post IW; refused to say if voted; Washington D.C. (presidential years only) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Derived from: vote variable VCF0702 and registration variable VCF0701/VCF0737 (VCF0701 pre-election data for 1952-1976,1980; VCF0737 post-election data for 1978, 1982-later; for 1952 cases without pre-election interviews, post-election data from VCF0737).</p> <p>All respondents who reported voting have been coded 3, regardless of whether they actually reported being registered.</p> <p>No registration variable at all was present in 1958,1962,1966,1970 or 1974.</p> <p>In some years a few respondents have declared that registration was not required in their state; these cases have been included with</p>

'registered'.
2004 NOTE:
This is a summary variable of vote and registration status.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520077,V520178 1956: V560098,V560203 1960: V600099,V600201 1964: V640158,V640286 1968: V680131,V680310 1972: V720164,V720477 1976: V763032,V763655 1978: V780484,V780470 1980: V800134,V800988 1982: V820519,V820501 1984: V840783,V840802 1986: V860261,V860276 1988: V880756,V880757 1990: V900279,V900280 1992: V925601,V925602 1994: V940601,V940602 1996: V961074,V961075 1998: V980303,V980304 2000: V001241,V001242 2002: V025016,V025017 2004: V045018x 2008: V085039a 2012: rvote2012_x,prevote_regist_addr,prevote_regist_noaddr,postvote_regist_addr,postvote_regist_noaddr 2016: V162031x,V162032x 2020: V202068x

VCF0704 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT- MAJOR CANDIDATES

Question	1948: (IF R VOTED:) Whom did you vote for? 1952-1964: (IF R VOTED:) Who did you vote for President? 1968-1976: (IF R VOTED:) Who did you vote for in the election for President? 1980-LATER: (IF R VOTED:) How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for President? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for?
Valid	1. Democrat 2. Republican 3. Major third party candidate (Wallace 1968/Anderson 1980/Perot 1992,1996)
Missing	0. Did not vote; DK/NA if voted; refused to say if voted; voted, but not for president (1980-1992); DK/NA if voted for president; DK/NA who voted for (1980-1992); refused to say who voted for; 'other' vote; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1948: V480018 1952: V520178 1956: V560203 1960: V600201 1964: V640286 1968: V680316 1972: V720478 1976: V763665 1980: V800994 1984: V840788 1988: V880763

1992: V925609
 1996: V961082
 2000: V001249
 2004: V045026
 2008: V085044a
 2012: presvote2012_x
 2020: V202110x

VCF0704a VOTE FOR PRESIDENT- MAJOR PARTIES

Question	Major party presidential vote (2 party)
Valid	1. Democrat 2. Republican
Missing	0. Did not vote; DK/NA if voted; refused to say if voted; voted, but not for president (1980-1992); DK/NA if voted for president; DK/NA who voted for (1980-1992); refused to say who voted for; 'other' vote; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0704.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1948: V480018 1952: V520178 1956: V560203 1960: V600201 1964: V640286 1968: V680316 1972: V720478 1976: V763665 1980: V800994 1984: V840788 1988: V880763 1992: V925609 1996: V961082 2000: V001249 2004: V045026 2008: V085044a 2012: presvote2012_x 2016: V162062x 2020: V202110x

VCF0705 VOTE FOR PRESIDENT- MAJOR PARTIES AND OTHER

Question	Presidential vote (major parties and all 'other')
Valid	1. Democrat 2. Republican 3. Other (incl. 3d/minor party candidates and write-ins)
Missing	0. Did not vote; DK/NA if voted; refused to say if voted; voted, but not for president (1980-1992); DK/NA if voted for president (1980-1992); DK/NA who voted for; refused to say who voted for; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	

GENERAL NOTE:
See VCF0704.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1948: V480018 1952: V520178 1956: V560203 1960: V600201 1964: V640286 1968: V680316 1972: V720478 1976: V763665 1980: V800994 1984: V840788 1988: V880763 1992: V925609 1996: V961082 2000: V001249 2004: V045026 2008: V085044a 2012: presvote2012_x 2016: V162062x 2020: V202110x

VCF0706 VOTE AND NONVOTE- PRESIDENT

Question	Presidential vote and nonvote
Valid	1. Democrat 2. Republican 3. Major third party candidate (Wallace 1968/Anderson 1980/Perot 1992,1996) 4. Other (incl. 3d/minor party candidates and write-ins) 7. Did not vote or voted but not for president (exc.1972)
Missing	0. DK/NA if voted; refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted for president (1980 and later); voted but not for president (1972 Only); DK/NA who voted for; refused to say who voted for; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0704. 1972 NOTE: Rs who voted but not for president were combined with NA.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1948: V480018 1952: V520178 1956: V560203 1960: V600201 1964: V640286 1968: V680316 1972: V720478 1976: V763665 1980: V800994 1984: V840788 1988: V880763 1992: V925609 1996: V961082 2000: V001249 2004: V045026 2008: V085044a 2012: presvote2012_x,rvote2012_x,prevote_presvt,postvote_presvt 2016: V162062x,V162031x,V162034 2020: V202110x

VCF0707 VOTE FOR CONGRESSMAN

Question	<p>1952-1970: COUNTY OF REGISTRATION NOT DETERMINED [NO BALLOT CARD]: How about the vote for Congressman. Did you vote for a candidate for Congress? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? Which party was that? 1972: COUNTY OF REGISTRATION NOT DETERMINED [NO BALLOT CARD]: How about the election for Congressman– that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington? Which party’s candidate did you vote for for Congressman? 1974,1976: COUNTY OF REGISTRATION NOT DETERMINED: [NO BALLOT CARD]: How about the election for Congressman– that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for Congress? Whom did you vote for? Which party was that? 1978: ALL CASES [BALLOT CARD]: Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? I. 1980-LATER - REGISTERED IN IW COUNTY: 1980-1982,1984 PERSONAL,1986-1996,1998 PERSONAL,2000 PERSONAL [BALLOT CARD]: Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? 1984 TELEPHONE [NO BALLOT CARD]: I am going to read a list of candidates for the major races in your district. In the election for the House of Representatives, the ballot listed: [Names and party affiliations of all House candidates on the Ballot Card]. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? 1998 TELEPHONE [BALLOT CARD]: Please take out the (color) sheet of paper that was folded inside your booklet. There you see a list of candidates for the major race(s) in this district. How about the election for the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? 2000 TELEPHONE,2002 [NO BALLOT CARD]: How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? Did you vote for (the Democrat, [NAME], or) (the Republican, [NAME]) (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the [PARTY] candidate, [NAME])? II. 1980-LATER - REGISTERED OUTSIDE IW COUNTY: 1980-1996,1998 PERSONAL,2000,2002 [NO BALLOT CARD]: How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? (2000: Which party was that?) 1998 TELEPHONE [NO BALLOT CARD]: [TELL RESPONDENT, IF NECESSARY, ‘We won’t need to use the ballot card in your booklet since you are in a different city/town/county’.] How about the election for the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for?</p>
Valid	<p>1. Democrat 2. Republican</p>
Missing	<p>0. DK; NA; R did not vote; R refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; ‘other’ (third party, independent vote) name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1988); Washington D.C.; no Post IW; voted but not for House of Representatives; DK/NA if voted for House of Representatives; forms III and IV (1972); special districts with no House race in 1978 (LA03,LA07,FL08,FL10); special districts with no House race in 1980 (LA03, LA07); special districts, with no House race in 1982 (LA05, LA07); special district with no House</p>

race in 1986 (LA04)
INAP. question not used

Notes	<p>See also VCF0735,VCF0736,VCF1011-VCF1013</p> <p>GENERAL NOTE: The Ballot Card was introduced in 1978. Note that VCF0707 and VCF0736 differ slightly from VCF1011-VCF1013 for years prior to 1978: see VCF1011.</p> <p>1952-1968: When an 'incorrect' name--i.e., not on the list of candidates which the interviewer had for R's congressional district--was given with a party association, the party given was coded and is represented here. When a 'correct' name was given with an incorrect party, however, the actual party associated with the named candidate was coded in Study data: 'Smith, a Democrat' was coded as a Republican if, in fact Smith was a Republican. [In 1952-1968, party was asked for only if R did not know the candidate name or gave an 'incorrect' name. If R correctly named a candidate for his/her district without volunteering party association, party was not asked for, and factual party of candidate was coded.] In 1958 ONLY, if the respondent gave the correct name of a candidate from another race in R's district, then R was informed of the named candidate's actual race and the question for R's House vote was repeated.</p> <p>In 1952, 1956, 1958, 1960, and 1964 this question was coded as a multiple response allowing, when applicable, for candidate-at-large mentions (2nd response) as well as district choice of candidates (1st response). Second responses were excluded in coding VCF0707.</p> <p>1970-1976: R's perception of candidate's party was coded. If not volunteered, party of candidate was always asked for. If party associated by R was not the actual party of a correctly named candidate, party as mentioned was still coded. Even if an 'incorrect' name (i.e., name not on the interviewer's list of candidate names--see VCF1006 for description of lists) was given, party associated by R was coded.</p> <p>Ballot card 1978-1982, 1984 personal, 1986 and later: when R gave a name not on the ballot card, the party of actual vote remained undeterminable (0 in VCF0707), unless R voted outside district of interview and R's major party vote from 'outside' district could be established (if a recognizable name was given).</p> <p>No ballot card 1980-later: Respondents who had voted outside the Congressional District of their sample selection location could not use the Ballot Card, and question wording was altered somewhat. 1980 was the first year that cases of 'outside' Congressional District voting were identified.</p> <p>1984 NOTE: In Post telephone interviews, If the interviewer had the correct Ballot Card at hand, then he or she attempted to read the names and party affiliations of the House candidates [see question wording above].</p> <p>1992 NOTE: In telephone interviews, names were not read by the interviewer, but attempt was made, in many cases, to leave ballot cards with respondents if a telephone appointment was made.</p> <p>1994 NOTE: A 'ballot card' experiment was conducted; see VCF0012.</p> <p>1996 NOTE: same as 1992</p> <p>2000 NOTE: For respondents registered in county of interview, the order of candidate names was randomized on the Ballot Card/as read by interviewers.</p> <p>2002 NOTE: For respondents registered in county of interview, the order of candidate names was randomized as read by interviewers.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1952: V520187</p> <p>1956: V560212</p> <p>1958: V580081</p> <p>1960: V600211</p> <p>1962: V620042</p> <p>1964: V640290</p> <p>1966: V660112</p> <p>1968: V680323</p> <p>1970: V700215(type 0)</p>

1972: V720485
 1974: V742322
 1976: V763673
 1978: V780474
 1980: V800998
 1982: V820507
 1984: V840792
 1986: V860266
 1988: V880768
 1990: V900289
 1992: V925623
 1994: V940614
 1996: V961089
 1998: V980313
 2000: V001262
 2002: V025028b
 2004: V045031x,V045032x
 2008: V085049x
 2012: prevote_votes postvote_votehs prevote_hsvtbc postvote_hsvtbc
 2016: V162067x
 2020: V202111x

VCF0708 VOTE FOR SENATOR

Question

1952-1976:
How about the vote for United States Senator? Did you vote for a candidate for Senator? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
1978-1998,2000 PERSONAL,2000 TELEPHONE IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW, 2002 VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW:
(IF BALLOT CARD [1978-1998,2000 PERSONAL]: Still looking at the list of candidates:) How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S./United States Senate? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for?
2000 TELEPHONE IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW [NO BALLOT CARD], 2002 VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW [NO BALLOT CARD]:
How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S./United States Senate? (IF YES:)Did you vote for (the Democrat, [NAME], or) (the Republican, [NAME]) (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the [PARTY] candidate, [NAME])?
1992 CALIFORNIA:
We had two Senate races in California. Which candidate did you vote for?
1996 KANSAS:
How about the two elections for the United States Senate? Did you vote for candidates for the U.S. Senate?

Valid

1. Democrat
 2. Republican

Missing

0. DK; NA; R did not vote; refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; 'other' (minor/3rd party) vote; name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1988); no race in state; no Post IW; voted but not for Senate; forms III and IV (1972); Washington D.C.; did not vote in regular Senate election (California, 1992 ONLY)
 INAP. question not used

Notes

See VCF0707 (Senate data coded in same manner as House data).
 GENERAL NOTE:
 1980-later cases administered without Ballot Card presentation include respondents who had voted outside the state of their sample selection location. 1980 was the first year that 'outside' voting was identified. In California in 1992, in Tennessee in 1994, and in Kansas in 1996 the regular Senate election and a second 'special' Senate election were held. This variable codes data for the respondent's vote in the regular Senate election only. [NOTE special question wording was not used for Tennessee in 1994].

2002 NOTE:

For respondents registered in county of interview, the order of candidate names was randomized in text read by telephone interviewers.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
---------------	----------------------------

Sources	1952: V520186 1956: V560211 1958: V580086 1960: V600210 1964: V640289 1966: V660110 1968: V680320 1970: V700211(type 0) 1972: V720482 1974: V742325 1976: V763670 1978: V780478 1980: V801001,V801002 1982: V820509,V820510,V820511 1984: V840796,V840797,V840798 1986: V860269,V860270,V860271 1988: V880773 1990: V900294 1992: V925628 1994: V940618 1996: V961093 1998: V980317 2000: V001275 2002: V025034x 2004: V045038x 2008: V085056a 2012: senvote2012_x 2016: V162086x 2020: V202112x
----------------	--

VCF0709 VOTE TICKET-SPLITTING- PRESIDENT/HOUSE

Question	Ticket splitting Presidential vs. Congressional vote
-----------------	---

Valid	1. Dem. Pres. - Dem. Congressional 2. Dem. Pres. - Rep. Congressional 3. Rep. Pres. - Dem. Congressional 4. Rep. Pres. - Rep. Congressional
--------------	--

Missing	0. DK/NA who voted for in presidential or congressional race; did not vote; refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; 'other' (independent/3rd party) vote; name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1988); Washington D.C.; no Post IW; voted, but not for president (1980 and later); voted, but not for House of Representatives (1978 and later); forms III and IV (1972); special districts with no House race in 1978 (LA03, LA07,FL08, FL10); special districts with no House race in 1980 (LA03, LA07); special districts with no House race in 1982 (LA05, LA07) INAP. question not used
----------------	--

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Derived from VCF0704 and VCF0707.
--------------	--

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
---------------	----------------------------

Sources	2016: V162062x,V162067x 2020: VCF0704, VCF0707
----------------	---

VCF0710 VOTE TICKET-SPLITTING- PRESIDENT/SENATE

Question	Ticket splitting Presidential vs. Senatorial vote
Valid	1. Dem. Pres. - Dem. Senate 2. Dem. Pres. - Rep. Senate 3. Rep. Pres. - Dem. Senate 4. Rep. Pres. - Rep. Senate
Missing	0. DK/NA who voted for in presidential or congressional race; did not vote; refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; 'other' (independent/3rd party) vote; name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1988); Washington D.C.; no Post IW; voted, but not for president (1980-1992); voted, but not for Senate (1978-1992); did not vote in regular Senate election (California, 1992 ONLY); forms III,IV (1972); no Senate race in state INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Derived from VCF0704a and VCF0708.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0711 DOES RESPONDENT ALWAYS VOTE FOR THE SAME PARTY

Question	Have you always voted for the same party or have you voted for different parties for President?
Valid	1. Different party 2. Same party; mostly same party (1952-1970)
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Pre IW; R has never voted INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Prior to 1972, this question was open-ended: 'mostly the same party' (code 2) was either volunteered by respondent or deduced by interviewer. Starting in 1972, boxes labeled 'same' or 'different' were available for the IWR to check.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520072 1956: V560094 1958: V580073 1960: V600096 1962: V620037 1964: V640155 1966: V660084 1968: V680128 1970: V700197(type 0) 1972: V720157 1974: V742212 1976: V763180 1980: V800351

VCF0712 TIMING OF RESPONDENT'S PRESIDENTIAL VOTE DECISION

Question	How long before the election did you decide that you were going to vote the way you did?
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Knew all along (incl.: always vote for same party; 1948 ONLY: all pre-convention cases) 2. When candidate announced (exc. 1948) 3. During conventions 4. Post-convention period 5. Last two weeks of campaign 6. On election day
Missing	0. DK; NA; unspecified 'other'; R did not vote; DK/NA if voted; refused to say if voted; voted, but not for president; no Post IW; form III, IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984: see VCF0015); voted but DK/NA/refused to say for whom (1964-1988 only) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix NOTE 'PRESIDENTIAL VOTE DECISION'.</p> <p>1948 NOTE: No code corresponding to code 2 was used; code 1 includes all pre-convention mentions (no cases coded 2).</p> <p>2008 NOTE: Data will be available in a future release.</p> <p>2012 NOTE: Data will be available in a future release.</p> <p>2016 NOTE: Responses were coded using a new coding scheme devised in 2008 (2008 and 2012 responses not yet coded accordingly). The collapse from the new categories is different than for preceding (1956-2004) studies. Recoding from 2016 categories to VCF0712 codes is as follows: 1 to code 6; 2,3,4,5 to code 5; 6,7,8 to code 4; 9 to code 3; 10,11 to code 1; all else to code 0.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1948: V480019 1952: V520183 1956: V560206 1960: V600204 1964: V640288 1968: V680318 1972: V720479 1976: V763666 1980: V800996 1984: V840790 1988: V880765 1992: V925611 1996: V961084 2000: V001251 2016: V161029b,V162036a 2020: V201031y, V202075y</p>

VCF0713 RESPONDENT PRE-ELECTION INTENT FOR VOTE FOR PRESIDENT

Question	<p>ALL YEARS EXC. 1948,1992,2012: So far as you know now, do you expect to vote in the national elections this coming November or not? (IF YES:) Who do you think you will vote for in the election for President?</p> <p>1948: On the coming Presidential election, do you plan to vote? (IF RESPONSE NOT 'NO':) Do you plan to vote Republican, Democrat or something else?</p> <p>1992 and 1996: We all know the election is some time away and people are not certain at this point who they will vote for. Still, who do you think you will vote for in the election for President?</p>
-----------------	--

2012 PRE-ELECTION: (IF R IS NOT REGISTERED BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE YET:) How about the election for President? Do you intend to vote for a candidate for PRESIDENT? (IF YES:) Who do you think you will vote for? [DEM PRES CAND NAME], [REP PRES CAND NAME], or someone else?

Valid	<p>1. Democratic candidate (with or without qualifications, 1952-1976)</p> <p>2. Republican candidate (with or without qualifications, 1952-1976)</p> <p>3. Undecided; DK (except 1964)</p> <p>4. R does not intend to vote (incl. 'no, qualified' if will vote, 1952-1976) or does not intend to vote for President</p> <p>9. Other candidate</p>
Missing	<p>0. DK (1964 only); NA; no Pre IW; DK/NA/RF (1952-1976: or pro-con) if will vote; refused to say who will vote for; R already voted (early) in pre-election reporting (2012,2016)</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE:</p> <p>This is a pre var.</p> <p>For 1952-1976, codes 1 and 3 also include presidential candidate vote intentions 'with qualifications.' Codes for candidate vote intention 'with qualifications' did not appear after 1976.</p> <p>In 1952-1976, if R's response to the question 'As far as you know, do you expect to vote in the elections this November?' was 'yes, qualified' (think so/ guess so/ probably, etc.), R was asked this question; if R's response was 'no, qualified' (probably not, etc.) R has been included in code 4; if R's response was 'pro-con,' then this has been coded 0.</p> <p>After 1976, no codes appeared for 'yes, qualified,' 'no, qualified,' or 'pro-con'.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1948: V480038,V480039</p> <p>1952: V520081</p> <p>1956: V560100</p> <p>1960: V600101</p> <p>1964: V640160</p> <p>1968: V680133</p> <p>1972: V720170</p> <p>1976: V763044</p> <p>1980: V800137</p> <p>1984: V840425</p> <p>1988: V880397</p> <p>1992: V923805</p> <p>1996: V960548</p> <p>2000: V000792,V000793</p> <p>2004: V043202,V043203</p> <p>2008: V083169,V083169a</p> <p>2012: prevote_intpres,prevote_intpreswho</p> <p>2016: V161030,V161031</p> <p>2020: V201032, V201033</p>

VCF0714 WILL THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION BE CLOSE

Question	<p>(IF R PREDICTS A WINNER IN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:) Do you think it [the presidential race] will be a close race or will [R's predicted winning candidate] win by quite a bit?</p> <p>1980 AND LATER: (IF R REPLIES 'DK' WHO WILL WIN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:) Do you think the presidential race will be close or will one candidate win by quite a bit?</p>
Valid	<p>1. Will win by quite a bit</p> <p>2. Close race</p> <p>9. DK; pro-con; depends; etc.</p>

Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW; R replies DK who will win (1952-1976); R's prediction NA INAP. question not used
Notes	1956 NOTE: Cases 'closer than last time,' 'same as last time' or 'not so close as last time' are coded 0. 1964 NOTE: If R predicted a winner who was not from the major parties, this question was not asked.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520014 1956: V560013 1960: V600018 1964: V640019 1968: V680026 1972: V720026 1976: V763027 1980: V800055 1984: V840077 1988: V880099 1992: V923103 1996: V960382 2000: V000486,V000487 2004: V043094 2008: V083074 2012: preswin_close 2016: V161147 2020: V201218

VCF0715 STATE/LOCAL VOTE STRAIGHT TICKER OR SPLIT 5-CATEGORY

Question	Howabout the elections for other state and local offices, did you vote a straight ticket or did you vote for candidates from different parties. (IF STRAIGHT TICKET:) Which party?/ (IF SPLIT TICKET:) How did you split it?
Valid	1. Straight ticket - Democratic 2. Split ticket - mostly Democratic 3. Split ticket - about half and half, NA how split 4. Split ticket - mostly Republican 5. Straight ticket - Republican
Missing	0. DK; NA; R did not vote; DK, NA if voted; refused to say if voted; refused to say who voted for; form III or IV (1972); no Post IW; didn't vote (or DK/NA if voted) in state and local elections; no partisan state or local elections; voted straight ticket, NA which party; voted straight ticket, 'other' party; voted split ticket, mostly 'other' party; Washington D.C. (non-presidential years) INAP. question not used
Notes	1962 NOTE: 'Split ticket, mostly 'other' party' was combined in study data with 'split ticket, NA how split.' Because of the very small number of cases voting mostly or entirely for a 3rd/minor party, this combination category was recoded to 3. 1958 NOTE: If R voted Democratic or Republican with only 1 exception, then R was coded as 'straight ticket.'
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520189 1956: V560214

1958: V580088
 1960: V600213
 1962: V620048
 1964: V640292
 1966: V660113
 1968: V680328
 1970: V700220,V700221(type 0)
 1972: V720492
 1974: V742332
 1980: V801008,V801009,V801010
 1982: V820516,V820517,V820518
 1984: V840799,V840800,V840801

VCF0716 STATE/LOCAL VOTE STRAIGHT TICKER OR SPLIT 2-CATEGORY

Question **1948:**
Did you vote a straight ticket or did you vote for some candidates from other parties?
ALL YEARS EXC. 1948:
How about the elections for other state and local offices, did you vote a straight ticket or did you vote for candidates from different parties?

Valid 1. Split-ticket
 2. Straight ticket

Missing 0. DK; NA; R did not vote; DK, NA if voted; refused to say if voted; refused to say who voted for; form III,IV (1972); no Post IW; didn't vote (or DK/NA if voted) in state and local elections; no partisan state or local elections; Washington D.C. (non- presidential years)
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See VCF0715.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1948: V480023
 1952: V520189
 1956: V560214
 1958: V580088
 1960: V600213
 1962: V620048
 1964: V640292
 1966: V660113
 1968: V680328
 1970: V700220(type 0)
 1972: V720492
 1974: V742332
 1980: V801008
 1982: V820516
 1984: V840799

VCF0717 RESPONDENT TRY TO INFLUENCE THE VOTE OF OTHERS DURING THE CAMPAIGN

Question **1952,1956,1960-1964:**
I have a list of some of the things that people do that help a party or a candidate win an election. I wonder if you could tell me whether you

did any of these things.

1968,1972 AND LATER:

Now I'd like to find out (1990 AND LATER: We'd/we would like to find out) about some of the things that people do to help a party or candidate win an election.

ALL YEARS:

During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for (1984 AND LATER: or against) one of the parties or candidates?

Valid	1. No 2. Yes
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The order of items corresponding to VCF0717-VCF0722 (participation vars) may have varied by study.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520203 1956: V560215 1958: V580076 1960: V600215 1962: V620049 1964: V640313 1966: V660070 1968: V680388 1970: V7000167(type 0) 1972: V720468 1974: V742195 1976: V763529 1978: V780457 1980: V800793 1982: V820473 1984: V840818 1986: V860314 1988: V880825 1990: V900366 1992: V925807 1994: V940808 1996: V961165 1998: V980361 2000: V001225 2002: V025007 2004: V045010 2008: V085029 2012: mobilpo_rmob 2016: V162010 2020: V202009, V202010, V202011, V20201

VCF0718 RESPONDENT ATTEND POLITICAL MEETINGS/RALLIES DURING THE CAMPAIGN

Question	Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, (1984 AND LATER: speeches,) (1978,1980,1982: fund raising) dinners, or things like that (1984 AND LATER: in support of a particular candidate)?
Valid	1. No 2. Yes
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	

GENERAL NOTE:
See VCF0717.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520205 1956: V560217 1960: V600217 1962: V620051 1964: V640314 1968: V680397 1970: V700169(type 0) 1972: V720469 1974: V742196 1976: V763532 1978: V780458 1980: V800795 1982: V820474 1984: V840821 1986: V860317 1988: V880827 1990: V900368 1992: V925810 1994: V940810 1996: V961167 1998: V980363 2000: V001227 2002: V025009 2004: V045011 2008: V085030 2012: mobilpo_rally 2016: V162011 2020: V202014

VCF0719 RESPONDENT WORK FOR PARTY OR CANDIDATE DURING THE CAMPAIGN

Question	Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?
Valid	1. No 2. Yes
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0717.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520206 1956: V560218 1960: V600218 1962: V620052 1964: V640315 1968: V680398 1970: V700171(type 0) 1972: V720470 1974: V742197 1976: V763533 1978: V780459 1980: V800796 1982: V820475 1984: V840823 1986: V860318 1988: V880828 1990: V900369

1992: V925812
 1994: V940811
 1996: V961168
 1998: V980364
 2000: V001228
 2002: V025010
 2004: V045013
 2008: V085032
 2012: mobilpo_otherwork
 2016: V162013
 2020: V202016

VCF0720 RESPONDENT DISPLAY CANDIDATE BUTTON/STICKER DURING THE CAMPAIGN

Question 1956,1960,1962-1982: Did you wear a campaign button or put a campaign sticker on your car?
 1984 AND LATER: Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

Valid 1. No
 2. Yes

Missing 0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984)
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See VCF0717.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1956: V560220
 1960: V600220
 1962: V620054
 1964: V640317
 1968: V680399
 1970: V700173(type 0)
 1972: V720471
 1974: V742198
 1976: V763534
 1978: V780460
 1980: V800797
 1982: V820476
 1984: V840819
 1986: V860315
 1988: V880826
 1990: V900367
 1992: V925809
 1994: V940809
 1996: V961166
 1998: V980362
 2000: V001226
 2002: V025008
 2004: V045012
 2008: V085031
 2012: mobilpo_sign
 2016: V162012
 2020: V202015

VCF0721 RESPONDENT DONATE MONEY TO PARTY OR CANDIDATE DURING THE CAMPAIGN

Question	<p>1952,1956,1960,1962: Did you give any money or buy any tickets or anything to help the campaign for one of the parties or candidates?</p> <p>1964: Did you give any money or buy any tickets or anything to help a party or candidate pay campaign expenses this year?</p> <p>1966,1968: During this last year were you or any member of your household asked to give money or buy tickets to help pay the campaign expenses of a political party or candidate? (IF YES) Did you give any money or buy any tickets?</p> <p>1972,1974: Did you give any money to a political party this year?</p> <p>1976: Did you give any money to a political party or make any other contribution this year? (responses : 1. yes, 5. no, 7. tax check-off).</p> <p>1978: Did you give any money to a political party or candidate this year?</p> <p>1980,1982: Now a few questions about giving money during this last election campaign: What about other political contributions [other than tax check-offs]. Did you give any money this year to a candidate running for public office?; Apart from contributions from specific candidates, how about contributions to any of the political parties. Did you give money to a political party during this election year?</p> <p>1984: As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year, did you give any money to an individual candidate, to a political party organization, people supporting a ballot proposition, or to a particular issue or interest group? (IF YES: Apart from contributions from specific candidates, how about contributions to any political party organization. Did you give money to a political party during this election year? Now, apart from contributions to a political party, did you give any money to an individual candidate running for public office?)</p> <p>1986: As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year, did you give any money to an individual candidate, or to a political party organization?</p> <p>1988 AND LATER: During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to a political party during this election year? Did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?</p>
Valid	<p>1. No (includes 'not asked for money' in 1966,1968)</p> <p>2. Yes (includes 'tax check-off' in 1976)</p>
Missing	<p>0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); DK/NA if asked for money (1966,1968 only)</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0717. See also VCF0739-VCF0741. For 1980, 1982, 1984, 1988 and later, a 'yes' response for either or both questions has been coded 2. A 'no' response combined with 'no' or 'DK' has been coded 1. Two 'DK' responses have been coded 0. (A 'no' response with 'NA' is coded 0.)</p> <p>1984 NOTE: In 1984, if R's response was 'No,' DK, NA to the filter question, then the subsequent two contribution questions were not asked. If R's response to the filter was 'no,' VCF0721 has been coded 1; if R's response to the filter was DK or NA, then VCF0721 has been coded 0. For 1984 Rs who responded 'yes' to the filter question: if R responded</p>

'yes' to either or both of the following contribution questions, then VCF0721 has been coded 2. If R responded 'yes' to the filter but then responded 'no' to both follow-up contribution questions or if R responded 'no' to one contribution question and replied 'DK' to the other, then VCF0721 has been coded 1. Rs who responded 'yes' to the filter but 'DK' to both follow-ups have been coded 0.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520204 1956: V560216 1960: V600216 1962: V620050 1964: V640335 1966: V660077 1968: V680423,V680421 1972: V720472 1974: V742199 1976: V763535 1978: V780461 1980: V800802,V800811 1982: V820481,V820490 1984: V840826,V840828 1986: V860320 1988: V880830,V880832 1990: V900371,V900373 1992: V925815,V925817 1994: V940812,V940814 1996: V961169,V961171 1998: V980365,V980367 2000: V001229,V001331 2002: V025011,V025012 2004: V045014,V045015 2008: V085033,V085034 2012: mobilpo_ctbcand,mobilpo_ctbpty 2016: V162014,V162016 2020: V202017, V202019

VCF0722 HAS RESPONDENT EVER WRITTEN A LETTER TO A PUBLIC OFFICIAL

Question	1972, 1976: Aside from this particular election campaign, here are some other ways people can be involved in politics. ALL YEARS: Have you ever written a letter to any public officials giving them your opinion about something that should be done?
Valid	1. No 2. Yes
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0717.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640318 1968: V680425 1972: V720474 1976: V763537

VCF0723 COUNT OF CAMPAIGN PARTICIPATION ACTIVITIES 6-CATEGORY

Question	Campaign participation count [1]
Valid	1. Lowest level of participation (none) 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. Highest level of participation in campaign activities
Missing	0. DK/NA in all questions; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	See also VCF0723A. GENERAL NOTE: Derived from VCF0717-VCF0721. This count is available for all years except 1952, 1954, 1958, 1966 and 1970. This is the sum of the number of 'yes' responses in vars VCF0717- VCF0721, to which 1 is added.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0723a COUNT OF CAMPAIGN PARTICIPATION ACTIVITIES 4-CATEGORY

Question	Campaign participation count [2]
Valid	1. Lowest level of participation (none) 2. 3. 4. Highest level of participation in campaign activities
Missing	0. DK/NA in all questions; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	See also VCF0723. GENERAL NOTE: Derived from VCF0717-VCF0719. This count is available for all years except 1954, 1958, and 1966. This is the sum of the number of 'yes' responses in vars VCF0717-VCF0719, to which 1 is added.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0724 WATCH TV PROGRAMS ABOUT THE ELECTION CAMPAIGNS

Question	1952,1956: How about television - did you watch any programs about the campaign on television. 1960-1972,1976: Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television? (1960-1972,1976: (IF YES:) How many television programs about the campaign would you say you watched - a good many,several, or just one or two?
-----------------	---

.....
1960-1972,1976:
Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television? (1960-1972,1976: (IF YES:) How many television programs about the campaign would you say you watched – a good many,several, or just one or two?

1974:
A lot of people didn't pay much attention to the election campaigns this year. How about you--did you watch any programs about the campaign on television? (IF YES:) How many television programs about the campaign would you say you watched--a good many, several, or just one or two?

1978,1980,1982,1984,1986,1990,1992-2004:
(1978,1980,1982,1984: Some people don't pay much attention to campaigns. How about you?) (1986: We're interested in this interview in finding out whether people paid much attention to the election campaign this year.)
Did you watch any programs about the campaign(s) on television? (1978,1980,1984,1990,1996,2004,2008 VERSION 'OLD,'2012: IF YES: Would you say you watched-- a good many, several, or just one or two?)

Valid	1. No, didn't watch TV programs about the campaign 2. Yes, watched TV program(s) about the campaign [a good many, several, just one or two, DK/NA frequency]
Missing	0. DK; NA; split versions: not asked (2008); no Post IW; form II,III, IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); R does not own a television INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The order of media exposure questions (VCF0724-VCF0727) may have varied by study. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version (version 'NEW') which was specific to the Presidential campaign only.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520175 1956: V560199 1960: V600193 1964: V640284 1968: V680303 1972: V720463 1974: V742036 1976: V763604 1978: V780056 1980: V800749 1982: V820061 1984: V840729,V840730 1986: V860064 1990: V900065,V900066 1992: V925103 1996: V961002 2000: V001202 2002: V025002 2004: V045002,V045002a 2008: V085002 2012: mediapo_tv

VCF0725 HEAR PROGRAMS ABOUT CAMPAIGNS ON THE RADIO 2-CATEGORY

Question

1952, 1956:

How about radio—did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio? (1952 only: IF YES:) Would you say you listened quite a lot or not very much.)

1960-1988, 1992, 1996:

(1960-1988: How about radio.) Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio?

1960-1980, 1984-1988, 1992 AND LATER:

Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio? (IF YES:) How many programs about the campaign did you listen to on the radio [1996 and later: Would you say you listened to] –a good many, several, or just one or two?

Valid	1. No, didn't listen to radio programs about campaign 2. Yes, listened to radio program(s) [quite a lot (1952), not very much (1952), a good many, several, just one or two, DK/NA frequency]
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0724. 2008 NOTE: In 2008, wording was specifically for the 'campaign for President' and 2008 data are therefore not included. 2012 NOTE: Same as for 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520174 1956: V560198 1960: V600192 1964: V640281 1968: V680296 1972: V720459 1976: V863600 1978: V780044 1980: V800745 1982: V820059 1984: V840723 1986: V860060 1988: V880138 1992: V923208 1996: V961335 2000: V001646 2004: V045005,V045005a

VCF0726 ARTICLES ABOUT ELECTION CAMPAIGNS IN MAGAZINES

Question	How about magazines— did you read about the campaign in any magazines. 1952 IF YES: Would you say you read quite a lot or not very much? 1960-1980, 1984 IF YES: How many magazine articles about the campaign would you say you read – a good many, several, or just one or two?
Valid	1. No, didn't read magazines about the campaign 2. Yes, read magazine(s) [quite a lot (1952), not very much (1952), a good many, several, just one or two, DK/NA frequency]
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0724. 2008 NOTE: In 2008, wording was specifically for the 'campaign for President' and 2008 data are therefore not included. 2012 NOTE: Same as for 2008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520176 1956: V560200 1960: V600194 1964: V640282 1968: V680299 1972: V720461 1976: V763602 1978: V780046 1980: V800747 1982: V820060 1984: V840725 1988: V880136 1992: V923206 1996: V961333 2004: V045004

VCF0727 NUMBER OF ARTICLES ABOUT ELECTION CAMPAIGNS IN MAGAZINES

Question	1952,1956,1960,1964,1968,1972,1976: We're (1952: mainly) interested in this interview in finding out whether people paid much attention to the election campaign this year. Take newspapers for instance—did you read (1972 ONLY: much) about the campaign in any newspaper? 1974: (IF R READS A DAILY NEWSPAPER:) Did you read anything about the campaign this year in any newspaper? 1978-1986,1990: Did you read about the campaign in any newspapers? 1988,1992 AND LATER: (IF R HAS READ A DAILY NEWSPAPER IN THE PAST WEEK:) Did you read about the campaign in any newspaper?
Valid	1. No, read no newspapers about the campaign 2. Yes, read newspaper(s) about the campaign
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008); form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); DK/NA if R reads a daily newspaper (1974); R did not read daily paper in past week (1988, 1992, 1996); DK/NA if R read a daily paper in past week (1988, 1992, 1996); short form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	See VCF0724. GENERAL NOTE: In 1974, 1988 and 1992, this question was preceded by a filter. The 1974 filter was: Do you read a daily newspaper? In 1988 and 1992 the filter was: How many days in the past week did you read a daily paper? Rs who replied 'no' [1974] or 'none' [1988] to the filter question were not asked this question. However, 1974 Rs who replied 'no' have been coded 1 while 1988 and 1992 Rs who replied 'none' have been coded 0. In most years, this question was accompanied by a follow-up question which asked how much/how often R read campaign articles, how many campaign articles R read, or how much attention R paid to campaign articles. If the respondent had read articles at all, regardless how

little, then R has been coded 2. Several 1986, 1990, 1992, and 1996 respondents who replied 'yes' to the main question, but who replied in the followup question that they paid 'no attention' to articles, have still been coded 2. From the 1980 and 1984 studies, however, several respondents who answered 'yes' to the main question but who then volunteered in the followup that they read 'no' articles have been coded 1 rather than 2. The 1974 and 1978 follow-up questions have been disregarded.

1972 NOTE:

Addition of 'much' to wording affected distributions significantly.

2008 NOTE:

This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using version 'OLD'; the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version (version 'NEW') which was specific to the Presidential campaign only.

2012 NOTE:

Wording in 2012 was not comparable ('campaign for President')

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520173 1956: V560196 1960: V600190 1964: V640279 1968: V680292 1972: V720456 1974: V742046,V742063 1976: V763645 1978: V780072 1980: V800760,V800761 1982: V820062 1984: V840727,V840728 1986: V860062 1988: V880130,V880134 1990: V900063 1992: V923204 1996: V960247 2000: V000336 2004: V043021 2008: V085008

VCF0728 COUNT OF MEDIA EXPOSURE TYPES

Question	Campaign media exposure count
Valid	1. No media 2. 3. 4. 5. All four media
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This count is available for 1952, 1956, 1960, 1964, 1968, 1972, 1976, 1978, 1980, 1982, 1984 and 1992. It is constructed from VCF0724-VCF0727 by summing the number of 'yes' responses, plus 1. All variables must be asked in a given year for this count to be calculated; however, if all vars are asked but all are coded DK/NA (0 in VCF0724-VCF0727), then VCF0728 is coded 0. If at least 1 var is coded with valid data during a year when all 4 questions are used by NES, then this value is computed.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0729 PARTY WITH HOUSE MAJORITY BEFORE THE ELECTION

Question	1958-1968: Do you happen to know which party had the most Congressmen in Washington before the election this/last month? (IF NECESSARY:) Which one? 1970 AND LATER: Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the House of Representatives in Washington before the elections (this/ last) month? (IF NECESSARY:) Which one? (2000, 2004: DON'T PROBE DK)
Valid	1. Incorrect party mentioned; DK; No 2. Correct party mentioned
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: For 1968,1970 and 1972, 1 response of 'about even' has been coded 1. 1982 NOTE: 1 response 'other' has been coded 1.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1958: V580019 1960: V600226 1964: V640305 1966: V660100 1968: V680386 1970: V700207(type 0) 1972: V720950 1976: V763683 1978: V780500 1980: V801028 1982: V820523 1984: V841006 1986: V860349 1988: V880878 1990: V900402 1992: V925951 1994: V941012 1996: V961072 1998: V980479 2000: V001356 2002: V025083 2004: V045089 2008: V085066 2012: knowl_housemaj 2016: V161515 2020: V201646

VCF0730 PARTY WITH HOUSE MAJORITY AFTER THE ELECTION

Question	1958-1968: Do you happen to know which party elected the most Congressmen in the elections this/last month? (IF YES:) Which one? 1970-1984: Do you happen to know which party elected the most members to the House of representatives in the elections this/last month?
Valid	1. Incorrect party mentioned; DK; No 2. Correct party mentioned
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	

GENERAL NOTE:
For 1968 and 1970, 5 responses of 'half and half' in each year have been coded 1.
1982 NOTE:
2 responses 'other' were coded 1.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1958: V580020 1960: V600227 1964: V640306 1966: V660101 1968: V680387 1970: V700208(type 0) 1972: V720951 1976: V763684 1978: V780501 1980: V801029 1982: V820524 1984: V841007

VCF0731 RESPONDENT DISCUSS POLITICS WITH FAMILY AND FRIENDS

Question	Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840105 1986: V860066 1990: V900068 1992: V925105 1994: V940128 1996: V961004 1998: V980211 2000: V001204 2002: V025003 2004: V045153 2008: V085108 2012: discuss_disc 2016: V162174 2020: V202022

VCF0732 HOW OFTEN RESPONDENT DISCUSSES POLITICS WITH FAMILY AND FRIENDS

Question	Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends (RESPONDENT BOOKLET) How often do you discuss politics with your family or friends – every day, 3 or 4 times a week, once or twice a week, or less often than that?
-----------------	---

Valid	1. Every day 2. 3 or 4 times a week 3. Once or twice a week 4. Less often 5. Never/No (to lead-in)
Missing	9. DK/NA how often; DK/NA if ever discuss; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Code 5 includes several cases where R answered 'yes' to lead-in (see VCF0731) but when asked how often replied 'never'.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840106 1986: V860067 1990: V900069 1992: V925106 1994: V940129 1998: V980212

VCF0733 HOW OFTEN IN THE LAST WEEK RESPONDENT DISCUSSED POLITICS

Question	How many days in the past week did you talk about politics with your family or friend
Valid	0. None or never discuss politics ('no' to lead-in) 1. One day 2. Two days 3. Three days 4. Four days 5. Five days 6. Six days 7. Every day
Missing	9. DK/NA how often; DK/NA if ever discuss; NA how often discuss in general; DK how often discuss in general (1992,1994); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Code 0 includes cases where R answered 'yes' to lead-in (see VCF0731) but when asked how many days in past week replied 'never'; it also includes cases where R answered 'no' to lead-in. Respondents with NA response for how often R discusses politics with family and friends (VCF0732) were INAP for this question. Beginning 1992, respondents with DK response for how often R discusses politics with family and friends (VCF0732) were also INAP for this question. 1984 NOTE: If R replied 'every day' for how often R discusses politics with family and friends (VCF0732) then this question was not asked; for such respondents 7 (every day) has been coded here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840107 1986: V860068 1990: V900070 1992: V925107 1994: V940130 1996: V961005 1998: V980213 2000: V001205 2002: V025004 2004: V045153a

2008: V085108a
 2012: discuss_disc,discuss_discpstwk
 2016: V162174a
 2020: V202023

VCF0734 INTENDED PRESIDENTIAL VOTE VERSUS ACTUAL PRESIDENTIAL VOTE

Question	Reported Pre vote intention/reported Post vote - President
Valid	1. INTENDED Democratic: voted Democratic 2. INTENDED undecided: voted Democratic; INTENDED 'other' party: VOTED Democratic 3. INTENDED Republican: voted Democratic 4. INTENDED Democratic: did not vote/voted 'other' party 5. INTENDED undecided: did not vote/voted 'other' party; INTENDED 'other' party: did not vote/voted 'other' party 6. INTENDED Republican: did not vote/voted 'other' party 7. INTENDED Democratic: voted Republican 8. INTENDED undecided: voted Republican; INTENDED 'other' party: voted Republican 9. INTENDED Republican: voted Republican
Missing	0. NA who will vote for; no Pre IW; no Post IW; DK/NA if will vote; refused to say who will vote for; will vote, but not for president; R does not intend to vote; DK/NA who voted for; R refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; voted, but not for president INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Derived from VCF0706 and VCF0713.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0735 VOTE FOR U.S. HOUSE- CANDIDATE CODE

Question	Candidate code of House vote
Valid	IFR VOTED IN DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW: DISTRICTS W/NO INCUMBENT RUNNING: 31. Democratic candidate 32. Republican candidate DISTRICTS W/ RUNNING INCUMBENT: 33. Democratic incumbent 34. Republican incumbent 35. Democratic candidate 36. Republican candidate 39. Independent/minor party incumbent DISTRICT W/ 2 RUNNING INCUMBENTS (REDISTRICTING): 71. Democratic incumbent in district with 2 incumbents (2012) 72. Republican incumbent in district with 2 incumbents (2012) IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW: DISTRICTS W/NO INCUMBENT RUNNING: 81. Democratic candidate 82. Republican candidate IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW: DISTRICTS W/ RUNNING INCUMBENT: 83. Democratic incumbent 84. Republican incumbent 85. Democratic challenger

86. Republican challenger
 IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW:
 ALL 'OUTSIDE' DISTRICTS:
 91. DEMOCRAT – no name given
 92. REPUBLICAN–no name given
 ALL DISTRICTS:
 97. Non-incumbent minor party candidate; non-incumbent independent candidate; name given not on candidate list for race

Missing

98. DK; refused to name candidate
 99. NA; District of Columbia (1982,1986,1996); write-in candidate (1982); Louisiana (1978,1980, 1982,1986); Florida (1978); no Post IW
 00. R did not vote; NA/DK if voted; refused to say if voted; voted but not for House of Representatives; DK/NA if voted for House of Representatives
 INAP. question not used

Notes

See 1978-later question wording VCF0707.
 See also VCF1011-VCF1013
 GENERAL NOTE:
 1980 was the first year that 'outside' Congressional District voting was identified.
 Codes 81-92 first appeared in 1980 study data (not in 1978 data).
 1992 and later: Code 97 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she voted 'a straight ticket' but no candidate for R's party ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R's district. For Rs who voted outside district of interview location, code 97 also includes candidates named by R but whose CD and party are not known. It also includes cases where R names a candidate but the name is not among names of candidates within a known 'outside' CD.
 2012 NOTE:
 Candidate codes were not assigned 2012 and later.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1978: V780474
 1980: V800998
 1982: V820507
 1984: V840792
 1986: V860266
 1988: V880767
 1990: V900288
 1992: V925622
 1994: V940613
 1996: V961088
 1998: V980312
 2000: V001262
 2002: V025028b
 2004: V045033x
 2008: V085050x
 2012: typerace2012_hse,prevote_hsvtbc,prevote_hsvtnobc,postvote_hsvtbc,postvote_hsvtnobc

VCF0736 VOTE FOR U.S. HOUSE- PARTY

Question

Congressional vote: including 'other' (see also: VCF0707)

Valid

1. Democrat
 5. Republican
 7. Other

Missing

0. DK; NA; R did not vote; R refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1988); Washington D.C.; no Post IW; voted, but not for House of Representatives; DK/NA if voted for House of Representatives; forms III,IV (1972); special districts with no House race in 1978 (LA03,

LA07, FL08, FL10); special districts with no House race in 1980 (LA03, LA07); special districts with no House race in 1982 (LA05, LA07); special district with no House race in 1986 (LA04)
INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0707.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520187 1956: V560212 1958: V580081 1960: V600211 1962: V620042 1964: V640290 1966: V660112 1968: V680323 1970: V700215(type 0) 1972: V720485 1974: V742322 1976: V763673 1978: V780474 1980: V800998 1982: V820507 1984: V840792 1986: V860266 1988: V880768 1990: V900289 1992: V925623 1994: V940614 1996: V961089 1998: V980313 2000: V001263 2002: V025028b 2004: V045032x 2008: V085049x 2012: typerace2012_hse,prevote_hsvtbc,prevote_hsvtnobc,postvote_hsvtbc,postvote_hsvtnobc 2016: V162067x 2020: V202111x

VCF0737 REGISTERED TO VOTE POST-ELECTION

Question	1948: Were you registered (eligible) to vote? All years exc. 1948: Were you registered to vote in this election?
Valid	1. No 2. Yes (includes Rs who reported voting)
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington, D.C.; NA if R voted; DK if R voted (1982 only); abbrev. Telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is a post var. For the pre version, see VCF0701. This question was asked of non-voters and, except for 1982, was also asked of Rs who did not know whether they voted. A few Rs declared they were not required to register and are coded 9. Beginning in 2012, respondents were asked where they were registered in both the Pre and Post. Summary registration status for these years can be found in VCF0703.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	

1948: V480026
 1952: V520181
 1978: V780484
 1980: V801011
 1982: V820519
 1984: V840802
 1986: V860276
 1988: V880757
 1990: V900280
 1992: V925602
 1994: V940602
 1996: V961075
 1998: V980304
 2000: V001241,V001242
 2002: V025016,V025017
 2004: V045018x
 2008: V085039a

VCF0738 PARTY OF REGISTRATION POST-ELECTION

Question Were you registered in this election as being a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or what?

Valid

1. Democratic party
5. Republican party
6. Voters: not required to declare party (VOLUNTEERED); voters: not required to register (VOLUNTEERED); non-voters: registered, not required to declare party (VOLUNTEERED)
7. Independent
8. Other party

Missing

9. DK; absentee voter; NA; nonvoter who declares that voters are not required to register; NA if voted; refused to say if voted; DK if registered; NA if registered; R voted but stated s/he was not registered (Volunteered: 3 cases in 1978, 1 case in 1980, 5 cases in 1982, 1 case in 1984, 2 cases in 1986); no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984)

0. R was not registered
 INAP. question not used

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:
 This is a post var, asked of voters and of registered non-voters (and also asked of Rs who did not know if they voted but who stated that they were registered).
 For voter or registered nonvoter who stated that registration did not require declaration of party in R's area or if R was a (reported) voter who stated that voters were not required to register: 6 has been coded. Nonvoter Rs who replied that voters were not required to register in their area have been coded 9. Nonvoter Rs who replied 'DK' or NA when asked if they were registered have also been coded 9. Nonvoter Rs who replied that they were registered but, when asked for party of registration, replied that voters were not required to register in their areas have been coded 9.
 If R was a non-voter who first declared registration status but, when asked for party registered, replied that R was not registered, 0 has been coded.
 Beginning in 2012, respondents were asked party of registration in both the Pre and Post. A future release of the CDF will provide a summary variable for party of registration that is not wave specific.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1978: V780471,V780485
 1980: V800989,V801012
 1982: V820502,V820520
 1984: V840784,V840803
 1986: V860262,V860277

VCF0738a PARTY OF REGISTRATION PRE-ELECTION

Question	(IF R IS REGISTERED) Are you registered as being a Republican, a Democrat or anything else?
Valid	1. Democratic party 5. Republican party 6. No party/none (1976 also includes: none required) 8. Other
Missing	9. DK; NA; DK/NA if R registered; form II (1972) 0. R was not registered INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is a pre-election variable. Beginning in 2012, respondents were asked party of registration in both the Pre and Post. A future release of the CDF will provide a summary variable for party of registration that is not wave specific.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720164,V720165 1976: V763032,V763033

VCF0739 RESPONDENT MADE \$1 TAX CHECKOFF FOR POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION

Question	1980-1984: Now for a few questions about giving money during this last election campaign. All YEARS: Did you use the one-dollar check-off option on your Federal income tax return to make a political contribution this year?
Valid	1. Yes 2. No
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Code 2 includes volunteered responses that R does not file a federal income tax form.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800799 1982: V820478 1984: V840824 1986: V860319 1988: V880829 1990: V900370 1992: V925814

VCF0740 CONTRIBUTE TO POLITICAL PARTY DURING THE CAMPAIGNS

Question

1980-1982:

Apart from contributions to specific candidates, how about contributions to any of the political parties? Did you give money to a political party during this election year?

1984:

As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the last year did you give any money to an individual candidate, a political party organization, people supporting a ballot proposition, or to a particular issue or interest group? (IF YES:) Apart from contributions to specific candidates, how about contributions to a political party organization? Did you give money to a political party during this election year?

1986:

As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year did you give any money to an individual candidate, or to a political party organization? (IF YES:) Apart from contributions to specific candidates, how about contributions to a political party organization? Did you give money to a political party during this election year?

1988 AND LATER:

Did you give money to a political party during this election year?

Valid	1. Yes 2. No
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	1984 NOTE: If R responded 'no' to the filter question, this has been coded 2. 1986 NOTE: same as 1984.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800811 1982: V820490 1984: V840825,V840826 1986: V860320,V860323 1988: V880832 1990: V900373 1992: V925817 1994: V940814 1996: V961171 1998: V980367 2000: V001231 2002: V025012 2004: V045015 2008: V085034 2012: mobilpo_ctbpty 2016: V162016

VCF0741 CONTRIBUTE TO PAC DURING THE CAMPAIGNS

Question	<p>1984:</p> <p>As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the last year did you give any money to an individual candidate, a political party organization, people supporting a ballot proposition, or to a particular issue or interest group? (IF YES:) Did you give any money to any other group that supported or opposed candidates?</p> <p>1986:</p> <p>As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year did you give any money to an individual candidate, or to a political party organization? (IF YES:) Did you give any money to any other group that supported or opposed candidates?</p>
-----------------	---

ALL OTHER YEARS: Did you give any money to any other group that supported or opposed candidates?	
Valid	1. Yes 2. No
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	1984 NOTE: If R responded 'no' to the filter question, this has been coded 2. 1986 NOTE: same as 1984.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840825,V840831 1986: V860320,V860325 1988: V880834 1990: V900375 1992: V925819 1994: V940816 1996: V961173 1998: V980369 2000: V001233 2002: V025013 2004: V045016 2008: V085035 2012: mobilpo_ctboth 2016: V162017

VCF0742 GIVE MONEY TO INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE DURING THE CAMPAIGNS

Question	1980,1982: What about other political contributions [other than tax check-offs]. Did you give any money this year to a candidate running for public office? 1984: As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year, did you give any money to an individual candidate, to a political party organization, people supporting a ballot proposition, or to a particular issue or interest group? (IF YES:) Now, apart from contributions to a political party, did you give any money to an individual candidate running for public office? 1986: As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year, did you give any money to an individual candidate, or to a political party organization? (IF YES:) Now, apart from any contributions to a political party, did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office? 1988 AND LATER: During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?
Valid	1. Yes 2. No
Missing	0. DK; NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	1984 NOTE: If R responded 'no' to the filter question, this has been coded 2.

1986 NOTE:
same as 1984.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1980: V800802
1982: V820481
1984: V840825,V840828
1986: V860320,V860321
1988: V880830
1990: V900371
1992: V925815
1994: V940812
1996: V961169
1998: V980365
2000: V001229
2002: V025011
2004: V045014
2008: V085033
2012: mobilpo_ctbcand
2016: V162014

VCF0743 DOES R BELONG TO POLITICAL ORGANIZATION OR CLUB

Question Do you belong to any political club or organization?

Valid 1. Yes
5. No

Missing 9. DK; NA; no Post IW
INAP. question not used

Notes 1962 NOTE:
The following optional text was also included: 'to help one of the parties or candidates'.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1952: V520207
1956: V560219
1960: V600219
1962: V620053
1964: V640316
1968: V680400
1970: V700172(type 0)
1978: V780463
1980: V800798
1982: V820477

VCF0744 HAVE ACCESS TO INTERNET

Question Do you have access to the Internet or the World Wide Web [exc. 2008: ('the Web')]?]

Valid 1. Yes
5. No

Missing 9. DK; NA; no Post IW
INAP. question not used

Notes	2012 NOTE: In 2012, the question was asked about anyone in the household rather than asked about respondent's access to the internet.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1996: V961160 1998: V980209 2000: V001433 2004: V045155 2008: V083018

VCF0745 SAW ELECTION CAMPAIGN INFORMATION ON THE INTERNET

Question	1996-2004: (IF R HAS ACCESS TO THE INTERNET/WEB:) Have you seen any information about this election campaign on (the Internet/the Web)?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No; R does not have access
Missing	9. DK; NA; DK/NA if has access; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: For years 1996-2004, this question is a follow-up to VCF0744.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1996: V961161 1998: V980210 2000: V001434 2004: V045155,V045155a

VCF0746 DID RELIGIOUS/MORAL GROUP TRY TO INFLUENCE RESPONDENT VOTE

Question	Were there any groups concerned with moral or religious issues that tried to encourage you to vote in a particular way?
Valid	1. Yes, there were groups 5. No, no groups tried to encourage
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1996: V961175 1998: V980355 2000: V001235

VCF0747 INFORMATION ABOUT CANDIDATES/PARTIES/ISSUES AT

CHURCH

Question	Was information about candidates, parties, or political issues made available in your place of worship before the election?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No, no information available 7. No, don't attend church [VOL]
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1996: V961176 1998: V980356 2000: V001236

VCF0748 VOTED ON ELECTION DAY OR BEFORE

Question	Did you vote on election day – that is [date of election] or did you vote at some time before this?
Valid	1. On election day 5. Some time before
Missing	9. DK; NA; R did not vote; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1994: V940607 1996: V961078 1998: V980308 2000: V001245 2002: V025021 2004: V045023 2008: V085042 2020: V202116

VCF0749 HOW LONG BEFORE ELECTION DID RESPONDENT VOTE

Question	(IF R VOTED BEFORE ELECTION DAY:) How long before [date of election] did you vote?
Valid	01. Less than one week, 1-6 days 02. One week; 7 days 03. 1-2 weeks; 8-14 days 04. 2-3 weeks; 15-21 days 05. 3-4 weeks; 22-28 days 06. One month; 29-31 days 07. More than one month; 32-60 days 11. A few days; a couple of days; several days – NFS 12. A few weeks; a couple of weeks; several weeks – NFS 91. More than a few days – NFS 92. More than a few weeks – NFS 97. Other
Missing	99. DK; NA; R did not vote; R did not vote before election day or DK/NA if voted before election day; no Post IW

	INAP. question not used
Notes	2012 NOTE: Not yet coded for the 2012 Time Series.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1994: V940608 1996: V961079 1998: V980309 2000: V001246 2002: V025022 2004: V045023a 2008: V085042a

VCF0750 VOTE IN PERSON OR WITH ABSENTEE BALLOT

Question	(IF R VOTED BEFORE ELECTION DAY:) Did you vote in person or by absentee ballot?
Valid	1. In person 5. Absentee ballot 7. R volunteers: by mail [OREGON ONLY]
Missing	9. DK; NA; R did not vote; R did not vote prior to election day; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1990: V900284 1992: V925606 1994: V940609 1996: V961080 1998: V980310 2000: V001247 2002: V025023 2004: V045024 2008: 08503

VCF0801 THERMOMETER INDEX - RATING OF LIBERALS AND CONSERVATIVES

Question	Liberal/conservative index
Valid	00. Most liberal . 49. Neutral . 97. Most conservative
Missing	98. DK in VCF0211 or VCF0212; don't recognize OR can't rate (1980 and later) in VCF0211 or VCF0212, or both 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This index is constructed from the thermometer score for liberals (VCF0211) and the thermometer score for conservatives (VCF0212). The calculation used is the following: First, the value of VCF0211 is

subtracted from 97, and that difference is added to the value of VCF0212. This sum is then divided by 2, and .5 is added to the result. Finally, the solution is truncated to obtain an integer value. If either VCF0211 or VCF0212 is 98, then VCF0801 is coded 98; 99 is coded in VCF0801 if it is the only missing data value coded in VCF0211,VCF0212.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0803 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE

Question **ALL YEARS EXC. 2000 TELEPHONE,2002:**
We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is (1972,1974: I'm going to show you) a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
2000 TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
2002:
We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

Valid

1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate, middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative
9. DK; haven't thought much about it

Missing 0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); R not administered 7pt scale series (2000)
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 For years 1984 and later, a follow-up 'choice' question was asked of respondents who replied 'don't know' or 'haven't thought much' to this question; except for years 1984, 1986 and 1990 this follow-up 'choice' question was also asked of respondents who answered 'moderate.' For these years, a final 3-category summary was constructed: the 'choice' question is found in VCF0824; the final 3-category summary is VCF0849.
 2000 NOTE:
 This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted using both modes (telephone and personal).
 In addition to the traditional 7-point scale version of this question, approximately half of the 2000 Study respondents (both modes) were instead randomly selected for administration of a branching series.
 2012 NOTE:
 Due to an error in the technical specifications, option 'Haven't thought much about it' was not included in the web instrument; code 9 represents FTF cases only.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1972: V720652
 1974: V742305
 1976: V763286
 1978: V780399

1980: V800267
 1982: V820393
 1984: V840369
 1986: V860385
 1988: V880228
 1990: V900406
 1992: V923509
 1994: V940839
 1996: V960365
 1998: V980399
 2000: V000440
 2002: V023022
 2004: V045117
 2008: V083069
 2012: libcpre_self
 2016: V162171
 2020: V201200

VCF0804 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE 1972- COLLAPSED

Question **ALL YEARS EXC. 2000 TELEPHONE, 2002:**
 We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is (1972, 1974: I'm going to show you) a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.
 Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
2000 TELEPHONE:
 When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
2002:
 We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

Valid 1. Liberal
 2. Moderate, middle of the road
 3. Conservative
 9. DK; haven't thought much about it

Missing 0. NA; no Post IW; form III, IV (1972)
 INAP. question not used

Notes See also: VCF0801, VCF0824 AND VCF0849.
 GENERAL NOTE:
 This collapses VCF0803: 1-3=1, 4=2, 5-7=3.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0805 GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE WITH MEDICAL CARE

Question **1956, 1960:**
 Around election time people talk about different things that our government in Washington is doing or should be doing. Now I would like to talk to you about some of the things that our government might do.

Of course, different things are important to different people, so we don't expect everyone to have an opinion about all of these. I would like you to look at this card as I read each question and tell me how you feel about the question. If you don't have an opinion, just tell me that; if you do have an opinion, choose one of the other answers.] (CARD WITH RESPONSE CHOICES SHOWN TO R: AGREE STRONGLY/ AGREE BUT NOT VERY STRONGLY/ NOT SURE, IT DEPENDS/DISAGREE BUT NOT VERY STRONGLY/ DISAGREE VERY STRONGLY).

'The government ought to help people get doctors and hospital care at low cost.'

1962:

Now on a different problem. 'The government ought to help people get doctors and hospital care at low cost.' Do you have an opinion on this or not? (IF YES:) Do you agree that the government should do this or do you think the government should not do it.

1964,1968:

Some say the government in Washington ought to help people get doctors and hospital care at low cost; others say the government should not get into this. Have you been interested enough in this to favor one side over the other? (IF YES) What is your position?

Valid	1. Opinion: help people get doctors and hospital care at low cost 2. Opinion: stay out of this 9. No opinion; DK; not sure; depends; no interest; both; other
Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
Notes	See also: VCF0806. GENERAL NOTE: For 1956 and 1960, 'agree strongly' and 'agree but not very strongly' were coded 1. 'Disagree strongly' and 'disagree but not very strongly' were coded 2. 1962 NOTE: 'Yes,' 'yes, qualified,' 'yes, for the aged' and 'yes, for those who need it, for those financially unable' were coded 1. 'No,' 'no, qualified,' and 'no, except for the aged' were coded 2.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560038 1960: V600066 1962: V620057,V620058 1964: V640074 1968: V680064

VCF0806 GOVERNMENT HEALTH INSURANCE SCALE

Question	There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some (1988,1994-LATER: people) feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses (1984 AND LATER: for everyone). (1996,2004: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1). Others feel that (1988,1994-1996: all) medical expenses should be paid by individuals, and through private insurance (1984 AND LATER: plans) like Blue Cross (1984-1994: or [1996:some] other company paid plans). (1996,2004: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And of course, some people have opinions somewhere in between at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.) Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Government insurance plan 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.

	7. Private insurance plan 9. DK; haven't thought much about it
Missing	0. NA; split versions: not asked (2008); Form II (1972); no Post IW; telephone IW (1984: see VCF0015, 2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0805. 2000 NOTE 1: This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face. Respondents interviewed by telephone were asked a branching series and are not included. 2000 NOTE 2: Approximately half of the respondents were randomly selected to be administered this question with the introduction read with the endpoint options in reverse order [private option first] and the endpoint labels in the respondent booklet reversed. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version (version 'NEW').
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700134(type 0) 1972: V720208 1976: V763273 1978: V780381 1984: V841058 1988: V880318 1992: V923716 1994: V940950 1996: V960479 2000: V000609 2004: V043150 2008: V083119 2012: inspre_self 2016: V161184 2020: V201252

VCF0808 GUARANTEED JOBS AND INCOME

Question	1956-1960: (Same introduction as in VCF0805 [CARD WITH RESPONSES SHOWN]). 'The government in Washington ought to see to it that everybody who wants to work can find a job.' 1964,1968: In general, some people feel that the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his own. Have you been interested enough in this to favor one side over the other. (IF YES:) Do you think that the government – 2002: Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has A JOB AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING. Others think the government should just LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN. Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Valid	1. Yes, government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living 2. Yes, should let each person get ahead on his own 9. Depends; other; both; no opinion; DK; no interest; haven't thought much about this (2002)
Missing	0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW INAP. question not used

Notes	See also: VCF0809. GENERAL NOTE: For 1956, 1958 and 1960, 'agree strongly' and 'agree but not very strongly' were coded 1. 'Disagree strongly' and 'disagree but not very strongly' were coded 2.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560032 1958: V580023 1960: V600054 1964: V640078 1968: V680066 2002: V025122

VCF0809 GUARANTEED JOBS AND INCOME SCALE

Question	Some people feel that the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. (1972-1978,1996-LATER: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1). Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his/their own. (1972-1978,1996: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at pints 2,3,4,5 or 6.) Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Government see to job and good standard of living 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government let each person get ahead on his own 9. DK; haven't thought much about it
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008); form A (1986); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See also: VCF0808. 1972 NOTE: This question was asked in the pre for form I and in the post for form II (and IV). 2000 NOTE: This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face. Respondents interviewed by telephone were asked a branching series and are not included here. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version (version 'NEW').
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V721067 1974: V742265 1976: V763241 1978: V780357 1980: V801110 1982: V820425 1984: V840414 1986: V860486 1988: V880323 1990: V900446 1992: V923718

1994: V940930
 1996: V960483
 1998: V980457
 2000: V000615
 2004: V043152
 2008: V083128
 2012: guarpr_self
 2016: V161189
 2020: V201255

VCF0811 URBAN UNREST SCALE

Question **There is much discussion about the best way to deal with the problem of urban unrest and rioting. Some say it is more important to use all available force to maintain law and order – no matter what results. Others say it is more important to correct the problems of poverty and unemployment that give rise to the disturbances. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)**

Valid 1. Solve problems of poverty and unemployment
 2.
 3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Use all available force

Missing 9. DK; haven't thought much about it
 0. NA; no Post IW; form I,III (1972); short form or Spanish language (1992)
 INAP. question not used

Notes 1968 NOTE:
 In contrast to other years, R self-placement followed rather than preceded placements of parties/political figures.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1968: V680464
 1970: V700085(type 0)
 1972: V720670
 1974: V742273
 1976: V763767
 1992: V923746

VCF0813 HOW MUCH HAS THE POSITION OF BLACKS CHANGED

Question **1964-1976:**
In the past few years we have heard a lot about civil rights groups working to improve the position of the Negro in this country. How much real change do you think there has been in the position of the Negro in the past few years: a lot, some, or not much at all?
1984 AND LATER:
In the past few years, we have heard a lot about improving the position of black people in this country. How much real change do you think there has been in the position of black people in the past few years: a lot, some, or not much at all?

Valid	1. Not much at all 2. Some 3. A lot 9. DK; depends
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984); form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	1964: V640104 1966: V660042 1968: V680080 1970: V700070(type 2) 1972: V720111 1976: V763212 1984: V841075 1986: V860562 1988: V880846 1990: V900519 1992: V925930 1994: V941005

VCF0814 CIVIL RIGHTS PUSHES TOO FAST OR NOT FAST ENOUGH

Question	Some say that the civil rights people have been trying to push too fast. Others feel they haven't pushed fast enough. How about you: Do you think that civil rights leaders are trying to push too fast, are going too slowly, or are they moving about the right speed?
Valid	1. Too slowly 2. About right (1968 only: pro-con) 3. Too fast 9. DK; depends; other
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984); form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1966 NOTE: 2 additional code categories were present besides those appearing here. For 1966, 'mostly about right but some going too fast' (8 cases) was recoded to 3 here, and 'mostly about right but some going too slowly' (1 case) was recoded to 1. 1968 NOTE: The category 'about right' was a combination category which also included 'pro-con.'
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	1964: V640105 1966: V660043 1968: V680081 1970: V700071(type 2) 1972: V720112

1974: V742264
 1976: V763213
 1980: V801132
 1984: V841073
 1986: V860559
 1988: V880845
 1990: V900518
 1992: V925929

VCF0815 SEGREGATION OR DESEGREGATION

Question (1964,1968-1972, 1976: What about you?)
Are you in favor of desegregation, strict segregation, or something in between?

Valid 1. Desegregation
 2. In between
 3. Strict segregation
 9. Don't know

Missing 0. NA
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011.
 Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.
 In years except 1978, this question followed questions asking R to evaluate whether blacks/whites in R's area favor segregation.

Weight VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

Sources 1964: V640127
 1968: V680088
 1970: V700077(type 2)
 1972: V720118
 1976: V763217
 1978: V780444

VCF0816 SHOULD GOVERNMENT ENSURE SCHOOL INTEGRATION

Question 1962:
How about this statement, 'The government in Washington should see to it that white and colored children are allowed to go to the same schools.' Do you have an opinion on this or not? (IF YES:) Do you agree that the government should do this or do you think that the government should not do it?
 1964-1986,1990 AND LATER:
Some people say that the government in Washington should see to it that white and black (1962-1966: colored; 1968,1970: Negro) children go (1964-1970: are allowed to go) to the same schools. Others claim this is not the government's business. Have you been concerned (1986,1990 AND LATER: interested) enough about [in] this question to favor one side over the other? (IF YES)
Do you think the government in Washington should —

Valid

1. Yes, R has an opinion: see to it that white and black children go (1962-1970: are allowed to go) to the same schools
2. Yes, R has an opinion: stay out of this area (except 1962: as it is none of government's business)
9. No, no opinion; DK; depends; no interest/concern; other; both; pro-con

Missing	0. NA; Form A (1986); form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1962 NOTE: Additional code categories were present besides those appearing here. 1962 categories 'yes, qualified,' 'yes, but there should be no force. Moderate. Gradual,' and 'no, qualified' were recoded to 9 here. 1976 NOTE: 'Anti-busing statement qualified with statement that R is not against integration or opportunity' has been included in code 9.
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	1962: V620062 1964: V640100 1966: V660025 1968: V680075 1970: V700062(type 2) 1972: V720106 1976: V763211 1978: V780443 1986: V860485 1990: V900470 1992: V925931,V925932 1994: V941003,V941004 2000: V000746,V000747

VCF0817 SCHOOL BUSING SCALE

Question	There is much discussion about the best way to deal with racial problems. Some people think achieving racial integration of schools is so important that it justifies busing children to schools out of their own neighborhoods. Others think letting children go to their neighborhood schools is so important that they oppose busing. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Bus to achieve integration 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Keep children in neighborhood schools 9. DK; haven't thought much about it
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984: See VCF0015) INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720202 1974: V742288 1976: V763257

1980: V801133
1984: V841074

VCF0818 SHOULD GOVERNMENT ENSURE FAIR JOBS/HOUSING FOR BLACKS

Question	<p>1956,1960: If Negroes are not getting fair treatment in jobs and housing, the government should see to it that they do'</p> <p>1958: If Negroes are not getting fair treatment in jobs and housing, the government should see to it that they do. Do you have an opinion on this or not? (IF YES:) Do you think the government should do this.</p>
Valid	<p>1. Agree strongly 2. Agree but not very strongly 3. Not sure; depends; DK; no opinion 4. Disagree but not very strongly 5. Disagree strongly</p>
Missing	<p>9. NA INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF9037 (jobs only). Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.</p>
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	<p>1956: V560044 1958: V580031 1960: V600062</p>

VCF0819 OPEN HOUSING

Question	<p>1964,1968-1972,1976: Which of these statements would you agree with: (STATEMENTS CONTAINED IN CODE CATEGORIES READ TO R)</p> <p>1966: Some people say that Negroes should be allowed to live in any part of town they want to. How do you feel? Should Negroes be allowed to live in any part of town they want to, or not?</p>
Valid	<p>1. White people have a right to keep Negroes out of their neighborhoods if they want to 2. Negroes have a right to live wherever they can afford to, just like anybody else 9. DK; depends; can't decide; both</p>
Missing	<p>0. NA INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011.</p>

Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

1966 NOTE:

code categories were: 'should be allowed' (recoded to 2 HERE), 'should be allowed, qualified' (recoded to 2), 'should not be allowed' (recoded to 1) and 'should not be allowed, qualified' (recoded to 1).

Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	1964: V640116 1966: V660152 1968: V680084 1970: V700068(type 2) 1972: V720115 1976: V763214

VCF0820 DO WHITES IN THE AREA FAVOR SEGREGATION

Question	How about white people in this area? How many would you say are in favor of strict segregation of the races - all of them, most of them, about half, less than half of them, or none of them?
Valid	1. None of them 2. Less than half 3. About half 4. Most of them 5. All of them 9. DK
Missing	0. NA; no whites in R's area; Rs erroneously not asked this question (1964) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. In all years, this question followed VCF0821. 'No whites in area' is represented in code 0. 1964 NOTE 1: 219 were not asked this question by error. 1964 NOTE 2: This question was preceded by 7 questions about the racial composition of R's neighborhood, nearest grade school, nearest junior high school, nearest high school, co-workers, friends and of the people where R shops and trades. If the responses to these 7 questions did not reveal the presence of any white people, then this question was not asked, and VCF0820 has been coded 0.
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	1964: V640126 1968: V680087 1970: V700076(type 2) 1972: V720117 1976: V763216

VCF0821 DO BLACKS IN THE AREA FAVOR DESEGREGATION

Question	In general, how many of the black people (1964,1968,1970: Negroes) in this area would you say are in favor of desegregation – all of them, most of them, about half, less than half of them, or none of them?
Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None of them 2. Less than half 3. About half 4. Most of them 5. All of them 9. DK
Missing	0. NA; no Blacks in R's area INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. In all years, this question followed VCF0821. 'No blacks in area' is represented in code 0.</p> <p>1964 NOTE 1: 219 were not asked this question by error.</p> <p>1964 NOTE 2: This question was preceded by 7 questions about the racial composition of R's neighborhood, nearest grade school, nearest junior high school, nearest high school, co-workers, friends and of the people where R shops and trades. If the responses to these 7 questions did not reveal the presence of any white people, then this question was not asked, and VCF0820 has been coded 0.</p>
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1964: V640125 1968: V680086 1970: V700074(type 2) 1972: V720116 1976: V763215

VCF0822 RATING OF GOVERNMENT ECONOMIC POLICY

Question	As to the economic policy of the government – I mean steps taken to fight inflation or unemployment – would you say the government is doing a good job, only fair, or a poor job?
Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Poor job 2. Only fair 3. Good job
Missing	9. DK 0. NA; Form II,III,IV (1972); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1972: V720512 1974: V742317 1976: V763748

VCF0823 BETTER OFF IF U.S. UNCONCERNED WITH REST OF WORLD

Question	<p>1956-1960: (Same introduction as in VCF0805 [CARD WITH RESPONSES SHOWN]). 1968,1980: Now I'd like to read some of the things people tell us when we interview them (1968: and ask you; 1980: As I read, please tell me) whether you agree or disagree with them. 1972: I'd like you to tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of these next six statements. 1976: I am going to read you two statements about US foreign policy and I would like you to tell me whether you agree or disagree with each statement 1984-1988,1992: I am going to read a statement about US foreign policy, and I would like you to tell me whether you agree or disagree. 1990,1994-LATER: Do you agree or disagree with this statement. ALL YEARS: 'This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.'</p>
Valid	<p>1. Agree (1956-1960: incl. 'agree strongly' and 'agree but not strongly') 2. Disagree (1956-1960: incl. 'disagree strongly' and 'disagree but not strongly') 9. DK; depends; not sure; no opinion, can't say; refused to say</p>
Missing	<p>0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984: see v.15); form B (1986); form A (1990); noncomparable question format (2000) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>2000 NOTE: Respondents were randomly selected for administration of the standard version of this question or an alternative version offering opposing positions on isolationism. Only data from the traditional format are represented here.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1956: V560035 1958: V580025 1960: V600056 1968: V680522 1972: V720291 1976: V763926 1980: V801036 1984: V841057 1986: V860535 1988: V880254 1990: V900498 1992: V923604 1994: V941019 1996: V960410 1998: V980488 2000: V000513a 2002: V023033 2004: V043113 2008: V083096 2012: usworld_stay 2016: V161153 2020: V201347</p>

VCF0824 IF COMPELLED TO CHOOSE LIBERAL OR CONSERVATIVE

Question	<p>We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is (1972,1974: I'm going to show you) a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place yourself on</p>
-----------------	---

**this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R).
(IF R RESPONDS 'DON'T KNOW' OR 'HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS' IN 1984 AND LATER; IF R RESPONDS 'MODERATE' IN 1988,1992,1996-LATER):
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?**

Valid	1. Liberal 3. Moderate ('middle of the road') [VOL] 5. Conservative 7. R refuses to choose 8. DK
Missing	9. NA in either question; technical error (2020) 0. R has made choice on 7pt scale VCF0803 1984,1986,1990; R has made choice on VCF0803 7pt scale other than 'moderate' (1988,1992 and later); R not administered 7pt scale series (2000); no post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	See also VCF0803 and VCF0849. GENERAL NOTE: This is the follow-up 'choice' question asked 1984 and later of Rs who replied to the full 7-point scale question (VCF0803) with 'Don't know' or 'haven't thought much'; except for years 1984, 1986 and 1990 this question was also asked of Respondents who answered 'moderate.' Using the initial 7-point scale (VCF0803) and this follow-up, a final 3-category summary was also constructed in these years: the final 3-category summary is VCF0849. If R's response to the 7-point scale question (VCF0803) was NA then this follow-up question was not asked. 2000 NOTE: This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted using both modes; approximately half of the 2000 Study respondents (both modes) were randomly selected for administration of a branching series. The latter respondents are excluded here. In 2020, one case was coded -4 'technical error'; this has been coded '0' in the Cumulative Data File.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840370 1986: V860386 1988: V880229 1990: V900407 1992: V923511,V923512 1994: V940839,V940840 1996: V960366 1998: V980401 2000: V000441 2002: V023023 2004: V045117,V045117a 2008: V083069a 2012: libcpre_choose 2016: V161127 2020: V201201

VCF0825 HOW LIKELY FOR U.S. TO BE AT WAR/IN BIGGER WAR

Question	How about the chances of our country getting into a bigger war? Compared to a few years ago, do you think we are more likely, less likely, or have about the same chances to get into a bigger war?
Valid	1. Less likely 2. About the same chances 3. More likely 9. DK; depends
Missing	

0. NA; Form II (1972)
INAP. question not used

Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.</p>
Weight	VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z
Sources	<p>1966: V660039 1968: V680116 1970: V700045(type 1) 1972: V720051</p>

VCF0826 DID U.S. DO RIGHT THING GETTING INVOLVED IN WAR

Question	<p>1952: Do you think we did the right thing in getting into the fighting in Korea two years ago or should we have stayed out?</p> <p>1964,1966: Have you been paying attention to what is going on in Vietnam? (IF YES:) Do you think we did the right thing in getting into the fighting in Vietnam or should we have stayed out?</p> <p>1968-1972: Do you think we did the right thing in getting into the fighting in Vietnam or should we have stayed out?</p> <p>2008: Do you think the United States should or should not have sent troops to fight the war in Iraq in 2003?</p>
Valid	<p>1. No, should have stayed out 2. Yes, did right thing 8. DK</p>
Missing	<p>9. Depends (1964-1972); both (1964-1972); other (1964, 1972); pro-con (1952); have not paid attention (1964,1966) 0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; refused to answer (1966) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>1966 NOTE: Rs were not asked the filter question ('have you been paying attention...') if they had named Vietnam as a problem in the 'Most Important Problem' question (see VCF0875).</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1952: V520054 1964: V640350 1966: V660035 1968: V680103 1970: V700041(type 0) 1972: V720050 2008: V085298</p>

VCF0827 HOW SHOULD U.S. PROCEED IN CURRENT WAR

Question

	<p>1952: Which of the following things do you think it would be best for us to do now in Korea? (OPTIONS CORRESPONDING TO CODE CATEGORIES READ TO R)</p> <p>1964-1970: Which of the following do you think we should do now in Vietnam? (OPTIONS CORRESPONDING TO CODE CATEGORIES READ OR SHOWN)</p>
Valid	<p>1. Pull out entirely</p> <p>2. Keep trying to get a peaceful settlement (1952); keep our soldiers in Vietnam but try to end the fighting (1964-1970)</p> <p>3. Take a stronger stand (1952: and bomb Manchuria and China; 1964: even if it means invading North Vietnam)</p> <p>9. DK; depends; pro-con; no opinion; other; have not paid attention (1964, 1966 only)</p>
Missing	<p>0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>1964 NOTE:</p> <p>This question was not asked if R responded 'no' to the VCF0826 filter question ('have you been paying attention...') or, in 1964 only, if R's response to VCF0826 was NA.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1952: V520055</p> <p>1964: V640351</p> <p>1966: V660036</p> <p>1968: V680104</p> <p>1970: V700042(type 0)</p>

VCF0827a U.S. STAND IN VIETNAM SCALE

Question	<p>(1968,1970: There is much talk about 'hawks' and 'doves' in connection with Vietnam, and considerable disagreement as to what action the United States should take in Vietnam.) (1972: With regard to Vietnam, Some people think we should do everything necessary to win a complete military victory, no matter what results. Some people think we should withdraw completely from Vietnam right now, no matter what results. And, of course, other people have opinions somewhere between these two extreme positions. Suppose the people who support an immediate withdrawal are at one end of this scale (show card to R) at point number 1. And suppose the people who support a complete military victory are at the other end of the scale at point number 7. At what point on the scale would you place yourself on this scale (1972 only: or haven't you thought much about this)? [RESPONDENT BOOKLET]</p>
Valid	<p>1. Immediate withdrawal</p> <p>2.</p> <p>3.</p> <p>4.</p> <p>5.</p> <p>6.</p> <p>7. Complete military victory</p> <p>8. DK</p>
Missing	<p>9. NA; no Post IW; assigned to Post administration and no Post IW (1972)</p> <p>0. Haven't thought much about it (1972 only)</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>1972 NOTE:</p> <p>Respondents were assigned to pre or post administration by form.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1968: V680470</p> <p>1970: V700092(type 0)</p> <p>1972: V721069</p>

VCF0828 SHOULD GOVERNMENT CUT MILITARY SPENDING

Question	Some people believe that our armed forces are already powerful enough and that we should spend less money for defense. Others feel that military spending should at least continue at the present level. How do you feel - should military spending be cut, or should it continue at least at the present level?
Valid	1. Cut military spending 2. Continue spending at least at present level 9. DK; depends; pro-con; other
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form II,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0843.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720588 1976: V763357

VCF0829 IS THE GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON TOO STRONG

Question	Some people are afraid the government in Washington is getting too powerful for the good of the country and the individual person. Others feel that the government in Washington is not getting too strong (1964,1966,1970: has not gotten too strong for the good of the country). 1964-1972: Have you been interested enough in this to favor one side over the other? 1976-1992: Do you have an opinion on this or not? ALL YEARS: (IF YES:) What is your feeling? Do you think the government is too powerful or do you think the government is not getting too strong?
Valid	1. Opinion: the government has not gotten too strong 2. Opinion: the government is getting too powerful 9. DK; depends; other; pro-con; no interest; no opinion
Missing	0. NA; Form I (1972); no Post IW; telephone IW (1984: see v.15) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: In 1964-1968, these questions were combined into a single variable. Beginning in 1970, the filter question ('have you been interested enough..') was represented in a separate variable. Beginning in 1970, in cases where R's response to the filter question was 'no' or 'DK,' then 9 has been coded. 1992 NOTE: 46 cases were not asked this question due to an error in skip instructions.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640071 1966: V660021 1968: V680062 1970: V700058,V700059(type 0) 1972: V720056,V720057 1976: V763224,V763225 1978: V780453,V780454 1980: V801128,V801129 1984: V841059,V841060

1988: V880847,V880848
 1992: V926016
 2000: V001512,V001513

VCF0830 AID TO BLACKS SCALE

Question **1970-1984,1986 FORM B, 1988 FORM B:**
 Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks (1970: Negroes) and other minority groups (1980: even if it means giving them preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves (1970: but they should be expected to help themselves).
1986 FORM A, 1988 FORM A, 1990 AND LATER:
 Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every (prior to 1996 only: possible) effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (1996-LATER: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (1996-LATER: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6).
ALL YEARS: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about it? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid 1. Government should help minority groups/blacks
 2.
 3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Minority groups/ blacks should help themselves
 9. DK; haven't thought much about it

Missing 0. NA; telephone IW (2000); no Post IW
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 Note that form B in 1986, form B in 1988, and all previous years specified 'blacks and other minorities' in this question, while form A in 1986, form A in 1988, and all cases in 1990 and after specified only 'blacks.' To filter for form A/B responses, use variable VCF0012.
 2000 NOTE:
 This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face; telephone respondents were administered asked a branching series and are not represented here.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1970: V700106(type 0)
 1972: V720629
 1974: V722296
 1976: V763264
 1978: V780373
 1980: V801062
 1982: V820415
 1984: V840382
 1986: V860426(form B),V860427(form A)
 1988: V880332(form A),V880340(form B)
 1990: V900447
 1992: V923724
 1994: V940936
 1996: V960487
 1998: V980462
 2000: V000641
 2004: V043158

2008: V083137
 2016: V161198
 2020: V201258

VCF0832 RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED SCALE

Question Some people are primarily concerned with doing everything possible to protect the legal rights of those accused of committing crimes. Others feel that it is more important to stop criminal activity even at the risk of reducing the rights of the accused. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid 1. Protect rights of accused
 2.
 3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Stop crime regardless of rights of accused
 9. DK; haven't thought much about it

Missing 0. NA; no Post IW
 INAP. question not used

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1970: V700120(type 0)
 1972: V720621
 1974: V742281
 1976: V763248
 1978: V780365

VCF0833 FAVOR OR OPPOSE EQUAL RIGHTS AMENDMENT

Question 1976: An effort is being made to pass an amendment to the U.S. Constitution which would guarantee equal rights for all citizens regardless of sex. ALL YEARS: Do you approve or disapprove of the Equal Rights Amendment to the Constitution (1978,1980: sometimes called the ERA amendment)?

Valid 1. Approve
 5. Disapprove
 8. DK

Missing 9. NA; no Post IW
 INAP. question not used

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1976: V763799
 1978: V780452
 1980: V801126

VCF0834 WOMEN EQUAL ROLE SCALE

Question	<p>Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government. (2004: (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1) Others feel that a women's place is in the home. (2004: (Suppose these people are at the other end; at point 7.) And of course, some people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.)</p> <p>ALL YEARS EXC. 2000 VERSION 2:</p> <p>Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p> <p>2000 VERSION 2:</p> <p>Where would you place yourself on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<p>1. Women and men should have an equal role</p> <p>2.</p> <p>3.</p> <p>4.</p> <p>5.</p> <p>6.</p> <p>7. Women's place is in the home</p> <p>9. DK; haven't thought much about it</p>
Missing	<p>0. NA; telephone IW (2000); split versions: not asked (2008)</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>2000 NOTE 1:</p> <p>This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face; telephone respondents were administered a branching series and are not represented here.</p> <p>2000 NOTE 2:</p> <p>Respondents were randomly selected to be administered this question with or without the option 'or haven't you thought much about this?' read as part of the question text. Data for face-to-face respondents administered either version are represented in this variable.</p> <p>2008 NOTE:</p> <p>This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using version 'OLD'; the remaining respondents (version 'NEW') were not administered an alternative version.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1972: V720232</p> <p>1974: V742302</p> <p>1976: V763787</p> <p>1978: V780389</p> <p>1980: V801094</p> <p>1982: V820435</p> <p>1984: V840250</p> <p>1988: V880387</p> <p>1990: V900438</p> <p>1992: V923801</p> <p>1994: V940928</p> <p>1996: V960543</p> <p>1998: V980448</p> <p>2000: V000755</p> <p>2004: V043196</p> <p>2008: V083166</p>

VCF0836 SHOULD WOMEN STAY OUT OF POLITICS

Question	<p>1952 INTRO:</p> <p>Now I'd like to read some of the kinds of things people tell me when I</p>
-----------------	--

interview them, and ask you whether you agree or disagree with them. I'll read them one at a time, and you just tell me whether you agree or disagree with them, and whether you agree or disagree a little or quite a bit.

1972 INTRO:

Do you agree or disagree with each of these two statements:

ALL YEARS:

'Women should stay out of politics'

Valid	1. Agree (1952: incl. 'agree quite a bit' and 'agree a little') 2. Disagree (1952: incl. 'disagree quite a bit' and 'disagree a little') 9. DK
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW or Post is not form B (1952: see v.15) INAP. question not used
Notes	1952 NOTE: Response categories were 'agree quite a bit' (recoded to 1) 'agree a little' (recoded to 1) 'disagree a little' (recoded to 2) and 'disagree quite a bit' (recoded to 2).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520223 1972: V720251

VCF0837 WHEN SHOULD ABORTION BE ALLOWED

Question	(1972,1976: Still on the subject of women's rights.) There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page (1972: card) best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.
Valid	1. Abortion should never be permitted. 2. Abortion should be permitted only if the life and health of the woman is in danger. 3. Abortion should be permitted if, due to personal reasons, the woman would have difficulty in caring for the child. 4. Abortion should never be forbidden, since one should not require a woman to have a child she doesn't want. 9. DK; other
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0838. 1980 NOTE: Asked post-election. Alternate version (ssee VCF0838) asked pre-election.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720238 1976: V763796 1978: V780450 1980: V801136

VCF0838 BY LAW, WHEN SHOULD ABORTION BE ALLOWED

Question

ALL YEARS EXCEPT 2000 TELEPHONE:

There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET) Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

2000 TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view. You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

Valid	<p>1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.</p> <p>2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.</p> <p>3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the need for the abortion has been clearly established.</p> <p>4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.</p> <p>9. DK; other</p>
Missing	<p>0. NA; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008); INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0837.</p> <p>1980 NOTE: Asked pre-election. Alternate version (ssee VCF0837) asked post-election.</p> <p>2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents (version 'NEW') were administered a branching series of questions on abortion.</p> <p>2016 NOTE: For Web cases, the Other option was not available.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1980: V800311</p> <p>1982: V820463</p> <p>1984: V840423</p> <p>1986: V860488</p> <p>1988: V880395</p> <p>1990: V900479</p> <p>1992: V923732</p> <p>1994: V941014</p> <p>1996: V960503</p> <p>1998: V980505</p> <p>2000: V000694</p> <p>2004: V045132</p> <p>2008: V085086</p> <p>2012: abortpre_4point</p> <p>2016: V161232</p> <p>2020: V201336</p>

VCF0839 GOVERNMENT SERVICES-SPENDING SCALE

Question	<p>Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education, in order to reduce spending. (2004: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Other people feel that it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. (2004: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.) Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	

1. Government should provide many fewer services: reduce spending a lot
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Government should provide many more services: increase spending a lot
9. DK; haven't thought much about it

Missing	0.NA; telephone IW (2000); split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used
Notes	1980 NOTE: The 1980 version of this question was not comparable. 2000 NOTE: This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face. Respondents interviewed by telephone were asked a branching series and are not represented here. 2004 NOTE: This question was asked in the pre-election survey and the post-election survey. The pre-election data are reported here. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using version 'OLD'; the remaining respondents (version 'NEW') were administered a branching series of questions.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1982: V820443 1984: V840375 1986: V860448 1988: V880302 1990: V900452 1992: V923701 1994: V940940 1996: V960450 1998: V980463 2000: V000545 2004: V043136 2008: V083105 2012: spsrvr_ssself 2016: V161178 2020: V201246

VCF0840 FAVOR OR OPPOSE TERM LIMITS

Question	A law has been proposed that would limit members of Congress to no more than 12 consecutive years of service in that office. Do you favor or oppose such a law?
Valid	1. Favor 5. Oppose 8. DK; other (1994,1998)
Missing	9. NA; short version or Spanish language questionnaire (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: 'Other' code was used only in 1994 and 1998.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V923747 1994: V940651 1998: V980335

VCF0841 COOPERATION WITH U.S.S.R. SCALE

Question	<p>1980: Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia.</p> <p>1984-1988: Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia.</p> <p>ALL YEARS: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cooperate more/try to get along with Russia 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Get much tougher/big mistake to try to get along with Russia 9. DK; haven't thought much about it
Missing	<p>0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1980: V801078 1984: V840408 1986: V860487 1988: V880368</p>

VCF0842 ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION SCALE

Question	<p>Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Other think that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business. (Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.</p> <p>Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Much tougher regulations to protet the environment 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Regulations already too much of a burden on business 9. DK; haven't thought much about it
Missing	<p>0. NA; telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>2000 NOTE: This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face. Respondents interviewed by telephone were asked a branching series and are not represented here.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	

1996: V960537
 1998: V980497
 2000: V000771
 2020: V201262

VCF0843 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE

Question	<p>Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. (1996,2004: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. (1996,2004: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) (2004: And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6). Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<p>1. Greatly decrease defense spending 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Greatly increase defense spending. 9. DK; haven't thought much about it</p>
Missing	<p>0. NA; telephone IW (2000); split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0828. 2000 NOTE: This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face. Respondents interviewed by telephone were asked a branching series and are not represented here. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using version 'OLD'; the remaining respondents (version 'NEW') were administered a branching series of questions. 2012 NOTE: The 7-point scale used in 2012 was not comparable.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1980: V800281 1982: V820407 1984: V840395 1986: V860405 1988: V880310 1990: V900439 1992: V923707 1994: V940929 1996: V960463 2000: V000581 2004: V043142 2008: V083112 2016: V161181 2020: V201249</p>

VCF0844 HOW WILLING SHOULD U.S. BE TO USE MILITARY FORCE

Question	In the future, how willing should the United States be to use military force to solve international problems – extremely willing, very willing, somewhat willing, not very willing, or never willing? (1992,1998: RESPONDENT BOOKLET)
Valid	1. Extremely willing 2. Very willing 3. Somewhat willing 4. Not very willing 5. Never willing 8. DK
Missing	9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	1996 NOTE: Omission of response categories from Respondent Booklet was in error.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V923605 1996: V960411 1998: V980485

VCF0845 AUTHORITY OF THE BIBLE 1964-1990

Question	Here are four statements about the Bible and I'd like you to tell me which is closest to your own view. (STATEMENTS SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. The Bible is God's word and all it says is true 2. The Bible was written by men inspired by God but it contains some human errors. 3. The Bible is a good book because it was written by wise men, but God had nothing to do with it. 4. The Bible was written by men who lived so long ago that it is worth very little today. 9. DK; other
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984, see VCF0015); form A (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0850. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 2016 NOTE: For Web cases, the Other option was not available.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640414 1968: V680215 1980: V801176 1984: V841081 1986: V860507 1988: V880934 1990: V900516

VCF0846 IS RELIGION IMPORTANT TO RESPONDENT

Question	(2002: Now on another topic. . .) Do you consider religion to be an important part of your life, or not?
Valid	1. Yes, important 2. Little to no importance
Missing	8. DK 0. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984, see VCF0015); form B (1986); short form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. In 2020, the question text and response options changed slightly: "Now on another topic: How important is religion in your life? Extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not important at all?". For the purpose of the Cumulative Data File, this variable was recoded in the following manner: 1 (extremely important) = 1; 2 (very important) = 1; 3 (moderately important) = 1; 4 (a little important) = 2; 5 (not important at all) = 2.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V801173 1984: V841078 1986: V860546 1988: V880932 1990: V900511 1992: V923820 1994: V941043 1996: V960571 1998: V980492 2000: V000872 2002: V023082 2004: V043219 2008: V083181 2012: relig_import 2016: V161241 2020: V201433

VCF0847 HOW MUCH GUIDANCE FROM RELIGION

Question	(IF RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:) Would you say that (1996-LATER: Would you say your) religion provides some guidance in your day-to-day living, quite a bit of guidance, or a great deal of guidance in your day-to-day living (1996-LATER: 'life')?
Valid	1. Some 2. Quite a bit 3. A great deal 5. Religion not important
Missing	8. DK 0. NA; DK/NA if religion important in R's life; form B (1986); no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984, see VCF0015); short form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This var is a follow-up to VCF0846. See VCF0846.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V801174 1984: V841079 1986: V860547 1988: V880933 1990: V900512 1992: V923821 1994: V941044

1996: V960572
 1998: V980493
 2000: V000873
 2002: V023083
 2004: V043220
 2008: V083181,V083182
 2012: relig_guide
 2016: V161242,V161241

VCF0848 CONCERN ABOUT CONVENTIONAL WAR

Question

1956:

Now I'd like to ask you some questions about the chances of our country getting into war. Would you say that at the present time you are pretty worried about this country getting into another war, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

1960,1964:

How about the chances of our country getting into war. Would you say that at the present time you are pretty worried about this country getting into another war, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

1982-1992, 2000-2002:

How worried are you about our country (1982: How about the chances of) getting into a conventional war (2000 and 2002: at this time), one in which nuclear weapons are not used (1982: neither side uses nuclear weapons)? Are you very (1982: pretty) worried (1982: about this country getting into such a war at the present time), somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Valid

1. Not worried
2. Somewhat worried
3. Very worried (1956-1982: pretty worried)
9. DK

Missing

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; form B (1986); form A (1990); telephone IW (2000)
 INAP. question not used

Notes

2000 NOTE:

This variable was administered in face-to-face interviews only due to an error in the survey application program.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1956: V560084
 1960: V600085
 1964: V640139
 1982: V820307
 1984: V840247
 1986: V860534
 1988: V880858
 1990: V900497
 1992: V923607
 2000: V001488
 2002: V025145

VCF0848a CONCERN ABOUT NUCLEAR WAR

Question

How worried are you about our country getting into a nuclear war at this time? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Valid	1. Not worried 3. Somewhat worried 5. Very worried 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; Form A (1990); no Post IW; personal IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	2000 NOTE: This variable was administered in telephone interviews only due to an error in the survey application program.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840248 1988: V880859 1990: V900499 1992: V923606 2000: V001487 2002: V025144

VCF0849 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE POSITION 1984- COLLAPSED

Question	We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. I'm going to show you [1996-LATER: Here is] a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R). (IF R RESPONDS 'DON'T KNOW' OR 'HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS' IN 1984 AND LATER; IF R RESPONDS 'MODERATE' IN 1988, 1992, 1996-LATER:) If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Valid	1. Liberal 3. Moderate ('middle of the road') 5. Conservative 6. Refuses to choose (in follow-up [exc. 1988 moderates]), don't know
Missing	9. NA to entire question 0. NA to follow-up; R not administered 7pt scale series (2000); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	See also VCF0803 and VCF0824. GENERAL NOTE: This is the final 3-category summary for years 1984 and later, constructed using the initial 7-point scale question (VCF0803) and the follow-up 'choice' question (VCF0824), which was asked of respondents who had replied 'don't know' or 'haven't thought much' to the 7-point scale question and, except for years 1984, 1986, AND 1990, also asked of respondents who had answered 'moderate'. If R's response to the 7-point scale question was NA, then the follow-up question ('if you had to choose...') was not asked and VCF0849 has been coded 9. If DK/HTM in first question and the response to the follow-up was 'don't know,' VCF0849 has been coded 8. If R refused to choose in the follow-up, VCF0848 has been coded 7. Code 1 is comprised of 'extremely liberal,' 'liberal,' and 'slightly liberal' responses to the 7-point scale question (codes 1-3 in VCF0803) and of 'liberal' responses to the follow-up. Code 3 for 1984,1986,1990 is comprised of Rs who replied 'moderate' to the 7-point scale question (code 4 in VCF0803, with the follow-up not asked) and also of Rs who replied DK or 'haven't thought much' to the 7-point scale question and who then replied 'moderate' to the follow-up. For 1988, 1992, 1996 and later, code 3 is comprised of: 1) cases where R replied 'moderate' to the 7-point scale question and then refused to choose another category or replied DK/NA to the follow-up; and 2) Rs

who replied DK or 'haven't thought much' to the 7-point scale question and then replied 'moderate' in the follow-up.

Code 5 is comprised of 'extremely conservative,' 'conservative,' and 'slightly conservative' responses to the 7-point scale question (codes 5-7 in VCF0803) and of 'conservative' responses in the follow-up.

2000 NOTE:

This variable represents 7-point scale follow-up data from interviews conducted using both modes; approximately half of the 2000 Study respondents (both modes) were randomly selected for administration of a branching series and are excluded here.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840369,V840370 1986: V860385,V860386 1988: V880230 1990: V900406,V900407 1992: V923513 1994: V940839,V940840 1996: V960365,V960366,V960367,V960368 1998: V980399,V980400,V980401,V980402 2000: V000441a 2002: V023024 2004: V045118 2008: V083069,V083069a 2012: libcpre_self,libcpre_choose 2016: V161126,V161127 2020: V201200, V201201

VCF0850 AUTHORITY OF THE BIBLE 1984-

Question	2000 TELEPHONE: I am going to read you a short list of statements. Please tell me) Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of the statement you choose. 1996,1998,2000 PERSONAL,2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of your choice. (STATEMENTS SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. The Bible is the actual Word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word 2. The Bible is the Word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word 3. The Bible is a book written by men and is not the Word of God 9. Other; DK
Missing	0. NA; form B (1990); short form or Spanish language questionnaire (1992) INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1990: V900517 1992: V923824 1994: V941047 1996: V960575 1998: V980496 2000: V000876 2004: V043222 2008: V083184 2012: relig_wordgod 2016: V161243 2020: V201434

VCF0851 NEWER LIFESTYLES CONTRIBUTE TO SOCIETY BREAKDOWN

Question	<p>1986,1990,1994,1996: Now,I am going to read several statements. After each I would like you to tell me whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat or disagree strongly with this statement. (1986,1990:) You can just give me the number of your choice from the booklet. The first statement is....</p> <p>1988,1992: Here are several more statements. As before, you can just give me the number of your choice from the booklet. The first statement is....</p> <p>1998: (Still on page [page]..) 2000 FACE-TO-FACE: (Still looking at page [page] in the booklet:)</p> <p>ALL YEARS: 'The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.' (2000,2004: do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK
Missing	<p>9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Order of questions VCF0851-854 may have been in varying order by study.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1986: V860525 1988: V880954 1990: V900500 1992: V926118 1994: V941029 1996: V961247 1998: V980516 2000: V001530 2004: V045190 2008: V085140 2012: trad_lifestyle 2016: V162208</p>

VCF0852 SHOULD ADJUST VIEW OF MORAL BEHAVIOR TO CHANGES

Question	<p>ALL YEARS: 'The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes.' (2000,2004: do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK

Missing	9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0851.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860526 1988: V880951 1990: V900501 1992: V926115 1994: V941030 1996: V961248 1998: V980515 2000: V001531 2004: V045189 2008: V085139 2012: trad_adjust 2016: V162207 2020: V202264

VCF0853 SHOULD BE MORE EMPHASIS ON TRADITIONAL VALUES

Question	ALL YEARS: 'This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties.' (2000,2004: do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)
Valid	1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0851.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860527 1988: V880953 1990: V900502 1992: V926117 1994: V941031 1996: V961249 1998: V980517 2000: V001532 2004: V045192 2008: V085142 2012: trad_famval 2016: V162210 2020: V202265

VCF0854 TOLERANCE OF DIFFERENT MORAL STANDARDS

Question	ALL YEARS: 'We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own.' (2000: do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)
Valid	1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0851.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860530 1988: V880952 1990: V900503 1992: V926116 1994: V941032 1996: V961250 1998: V980518 2000: V001533 2004: V045191 2008: V085141 2012: trad_tolerant 2016: V162209

VCF0860 RACIAL COMPOSITION OF NEIGHBORHOOD-RESPONDENT DESCRIPTION

Question	1964, 1968, 1970: Is this neighborhood you now live in: [CHOICES] 1972, 1976: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Is this neighborhood you now live in all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white, or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Is this neighborhood you live in all white, mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?
Valid	1. All white 2. Mostly white 3. About half and half 4. Mostly Negro/black 5. All Negro/black
Missing	8. DK 0. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3)
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

Sources	1964: V640118 1968: V680089 1970: V700078(type 2) 1972: V720131 1976: V763219
----------------	---

VCF0861 RACIAL COMPOSITION OF GRADE SCHOOL-RESPONDENT DESCRIPTION

Question	1964,1968,1970: Is the grade school nearest you: [CHOICES] 1972,1976: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Is the grade school nearest you all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white, or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Is the grade school nearest you all white, mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?
Valid	1. All white 2. Mostly white 3. About half and half 4. Mostly Negro/black 5. All Negro/black
Missing	8. DK 0. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3)
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	1964: V640119 1968: V680090 1970: V700079(type 2) 1972: V720133 1976: V763221

VCF0862 RACIAL COMPOSITION OF JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Question	Is the junior high school nearest you: [CHOICES]
Valid	1. All white 2. Mostly white 3. About half and half 4. Mostly Negro/black 5. All Negro/black
Missing	8. DK 0. NA; no junior high in area (1964,1968) INAP. question not used

Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.</p> <p>1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3)</p>
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	1964: V640120 1968: V680091 1970: V700080(type 2)

VCF0863 RACIAL COMPOSITION OF HIGH SCHOOL- RESPONDENT DESCRIPTION

Question	<p>1964,1968,1970: Is the high school nearest you: [CHOICES]</p> <p>1972: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Is the high school nearest you all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white, or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Is the high school nearest you all white, mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All white 2. Mostly white 3. About half and half 4. Mostly Negro/black 5. All Negro/black
Missing	8. DK 0. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.</p> <p>1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3)</p>
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	1964: V640121 1968: V680092 1970: V700081(type 2) 1972: V720134

VCF0864 RACIAL COMPOSITION OF WORK PLACE- RESPONDENT DESCRIPTION

Question	1964,1968,1970: Are the people where you work: [CHOICES] 1972: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Are the people who work where you work all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white, or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Are the people who work where you work all white, mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?
Valid	1. All white 2. Mostly white 3. About half and half 4. Mostly Negro/black 5. All Negro/black
Missing	8. DK 0. NA; R doesn't work; R works alone (1968,1972) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3) 1986 NOTE: The 1986 version of this question is not coded comparably.
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	1964: V640122 1968: V680093 1970: V700082(type 2) 1972: V720136

VCF0865 RACIAL COMPOSITION OF WHERE R SHOPS- RESPONDENT DESCRIPTION

Question	1964,1968,1970: Are the people where you shop and trade: [CHOICES] 1972: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Are the people who shop and trade where you do all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Are the people who shop and trade where you do all white, mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?
Valid	1. All white 2. Mostly white 3. About half and half 4. Mostly Negro/black 5. All Negro/black
Missing	8. DK 0. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE:

If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3)

Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	1964: V640123 1968: V680094 1970: V700083(type 2) 1972: V720137

VCF0866 RACIAL COMPOSITION OF FRIENDS

Question	1964, 1968, 1970: Are your friends: [CHOICES] 1972, 1976: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Are your friends all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white, or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Are your friends all white, mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?
Valid	1. All white 2. Mostly white 3. About half and half 4. Mostly Negro/black 5. All Negro/black
Missing	8. DK 0. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3)
Weight	VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z
Sources	1964: V640124 1968: V680095 1970: V700084(type 2) 1972: V720138 1976: V763222

VCF0867 AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN HIRING/PROMOTION [1 OF 2]

Question	Some people say that because of past discrimination blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned. What about your opinion-- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?
Valid	1. For 5. Against

	8. DK; 1990-1994: refused; 1996 and later: other
Missing	9. NA; Form A (1986); form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0867a. 1986 NOTE: Respondents were administered 2 versions of the preference question in two forms; data for the alternative version (worded 'preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it discriminates against whites') are not included in this variable. 1988 NOTE: Wording matched 1986 alternative wording for all cases; 1988 data are not included here. 1996 NOTE: Code 'other' first appeared in 1996.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860475 1990: V900463 1992: V925935 1994: V941001 1996: V961208 1998: V980470 2000: V000803 2004: V045207 2008: V085157 2012: aapost_hire 2016: V162238 2020: V202249

VCF0867a AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN HIRING/PROMOTION [2 OF 2]

Question	Some people say that because of past discrimination blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned. What about your opinion-- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks? (IF FAVOR:) Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly? (IF OPPOSE:) Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?
Valid	1. Favor strongly 2. Favor not strongly 4. Oppose not strongly 5. Oppose strongly 7. DK if favor/oppose; 1990-1994: refused; 1996 and later: other (in VCF0867)
Missing	8. Favor/oppose but DK if strongly or not strongly 9. NA if strongly or not strongly; NA whether favor or oppose (VCF0867); Form A (1986); form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0867. 1986 NOTE: Respondents were administered 2 versions of the preference question in two forms; data for the alternative version (worded 'preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it discriminates against whites') are not included in this variable. 1988 NOTE: Wording matched 1986 alternative wording for all cases; 1988 data are not included here.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860476 1990: V900464 1992: V925936 1994: V941002 1996: V961209 1998: V980471 2000: V000806 2004: V045207,V045207a 2008: V085157,V085157a,V085157b 2012: aapost_hire_x,aapost_hire 2016: V162238x,V162238,V162238a 2020: V202249, V202250, V202251, V202252x

VCF0870 BETTER OR WORSE ECONOMY IN PAST YEAR

Question	How about (1996-LATER: Now thinking about) the economy (1990,1994-later: in the country as a whole)? ALL YEARS EXC. 2000 VERSION 2: Would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed (all yrs. exc 1984: about) the same or gotten worse? 2000 VERSION 2: Would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?
Valid	1. Better 3. Stayed same 5. Worse
Missing	8. DK 0. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	2000 NOTE: Respondents were randomly selected to be administered this question using either the standard format or and alternative version with the order of options read aloud reversed.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800149 1982: V820327 1984: V840227 1986: V860372 1988: V880243 1990: V900422 1992: V923531 1994: V940908 1996: V960385 1998: V980418 2000: V000488a,V000488b 2002: V023027 2004: V043097 2008: V083083 2012: econ_ecpast 2016: V161140 2020: V201325

VCF0871 HOW MUCH BETTER OR WORSE ECONOMY IN PAST YEAR

Question	How about (1996-LATER: Now thinking about) the economy (1990,1994-later: in the country as a whole)? Would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed (all yrs. exc 1984: about) the same or gotten worse? (IF BETTER:) Would you say much better or somewhat better? (IF WORSE:) Would you say much worse or somewhat worse?
Valid	1. Much better 2. Somewhat better 3. Stayed same 4. Somewhat worse 5. Much worse
Missing	8. DK much or somewhat better/worse 9. NA much or somewhat better/worse 0. DK/NA if better or worse INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800150 1982: V820328 1984: V840228 1986: V860373 1988: V880244 1990: V900423 1992: V923532 1994: V940909 1996: V960386 1998: V980419 2000: V000491 2002: V023028 2004: V043098 2008: V083083, V083083x 2012: econ_ecpast_x, econ_ecpast 2016: V161140x, V161140, V161140a 2020: V201325, V201326, V201327x

VCF0872 BETTER OR WORSE ECONOMY IN NEXT YEAR

Question	What about the next 12 months (1980,1982: or so)? ALL YEARS EXC. 2000: Do you expect the (1986,1988,1992: national) economy to get better, get worse, or stay about the same? 2000 VERSION 1: Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse? 2000 VERSION 2: Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?
Valid	1. Get better 3. Stay about the same 5. Get worse
Missing	8. DK; RF; depends (1990) 9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	1992 NOTE: This question was used in both the pre and post; the pre data are incorporated here. 2000 NOTE: Respondents were randomly selected to be administered this question

using either the standard format or and alternative version with the order of options read aloud reversed.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800151 1982: V820329 1984: V840243 1986: V860381 1988: V880247 1990: V900424 1992: V923537 1994: V940910 1996: V960387 1998: V980420 2000: V000496a,V000496b 2004: V043099 2008: V083084 2012: econ_ecnext 2016: V161141 2020: V201328

VCF0873 DID R DELAY MEDICAL/DENTAL TREATMENT DUE TO THE EXPENSE

Question	In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; short form or spanish-language questionnaire (1992); R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	2000 NOTE: Respondents were randomly selected to be administered this question in the Pre or the Post.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840157 1992: V923434 1994: V941027 2000: V001413a 2004: V043066

VCF0875 MENTION 1: WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM

Question	1960: What would you personally feel are the most important problems the government should try to take care of when the new President and Congress take office in January? 1964: As you well know, there are many serious problems in this country and in other parts of the world. The question is, what should be done about them and who should do it. We want to ask you about problems you think
-----------------	--

the government in Washington should do something about and any problems it should stay out of. First, what would you personally feel are the most important problems the government should try to take care of when the new President and Congress take office in January?

1966:

What do you personally feel are the most important problems which the government in Washington should try to take care of?

1968,1980,1982:

As you well know, the government faces many serious problems in this country and in other parts of the world. What do you personally feel are the most important problems which the government in Washington should try to take care of?

1970:

As you well know, there are many serious problems in this country and in other parts of the world. We'd like to start out by talking with you about some of them. What do you personally feel are the most important problems which the government in Washington should try to take care of?

1972-1978,1984 AND LATER:

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country? (IF MORE THAN ONE PROBLEM:) Of all you've told me (1996-LATER: Of those you've mentioned), what would you say is the single most important problem the country faces?

Valid

01. AGRICULTURAL

02. ECONOMICS; BUSINESS; CONSUMER ISSUES

(includes foreign investment, tariffs/protection of U.S. industries, international trade deficit/balance of payments, immigration, interstate commerce/transportation; does not include unemployment [09], defense spending [03], foreign [03] or government spending on domestic social welfare [09])

03. FOREIGN AFFAIRS AND NATIONAL DEFENSE

(includes: foreign aid, defense spending, the space program; does not include: international trade deficit [02])

04. GOVERNMENT FUNCTIONING

(not 'the economy' [02])

05. LABOR ISSUES

(not unemployment [09])

06. NATURAL RESOURCES

07. PUBLIC ORDER

(includes: crime, drugs, civil liberties and non racial civil rights, women's rights, abortion rights, gun control, family/social/religious/moral 'decay,' church and state, etc.)

08. RACIAL PROBLEMS

(note: this primarily includes civil rights issues and racial equality; monetary assistance to minorities is primarily found in code 9, however there is a slight overlap: see Note 7 for specific codes; note especially 1988 code 300 and 1966-1972 codes 61-63)

09. SOCIAL WELFARE

(includes: population, child care, aid to education, the elderly, health care, housing, poverty, unemployment, 'welfare' etc.)

97. Other problems (incl. specific campaign issues)

Missing

98. DK (exc. 1960,1964,1966)

99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Form I, III or IV (1972); no Pre IW; short form IW 1992); question not administered in assigned half sample (1996, 2000)

00. None; 'there were no issues;' 'there was no campaign in my district' (non-presidential years); DK (1960,1964,1966 only)

INAP. question not used

Notes

See Appendix NOTE 'MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM'.

GENERAL NOTE:

Because of changes in the full sets of codes which have been used over time, this variable is limited to preserving the major groupings which have been utilized with essential consistency through all years. The appendix NOTE gives exact study-by-study versions of the texts of individual codes which have been collapsed to form the VCF0875 group categories.

In the 1960, 1966, and 1970 study datasets, one multiple- response variable was coded which included up to 3 mentions (1970: 4). In 1960 and 1970, variables were coded in order of importance in cases where R mentioned more than one problem. Within the study documentation for these two years, it was also stated that, in cases of more than one mentioned problem, if order of importance was 'not clear,' responses

were then coded in order of mention. From the 1966 documentation, it is not apparent if multiple responses were coded in order of importance or if they were coded in order of mention. The first CODED response (variable) in studies 1960, 1966, and 1970 has been used here.

In the 1964 and 1968 study datasets, one single-response variable was coded. This variable was described as including, in cases of more than one given response, the mention considered most important by R. Documentation in both years added that if order of importance was 'not clear,' responses were coded in order of mention: in such event, the single variable appearing in the study dataset represented the first mention.

Note that, for cases where R mentioned two or three problems but missing data (DK or NA) appeared in the 'choice' variable (i.e., R did not choose a single most important problem), the first mention has not been included here, but MD has been coded. EXCEPTION: in 1986, in 142 cases the interviewer mistakenly did not ask R to rank problems by importance when R gave more than one mention. In these 142 cases, the first mention of R has been incorporated.

In 1960, 1964 and 1966, the DK category was combined with 'no issues' and is included in code 00.

1996 NOTE:

A half-sample of respondents were selected to be administered this question.

2000 NOTE:

same as 1996.

2012 NOTE:

Not yet coded for the 2012 Time Series.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1960: V600050 1964: V640036 1966: V660019 1968: V680048 1970: V700039(type 0) 1972: V720546,V720548 1974: V742079 1976: V763689 1978: V780311,V780315 1980: V800979 1982: V820299 1984: V840993 1986: V860306 1988: V880817 1990: V900326 1992: V925726 1994: V940706 1996: V961141 1998: V980346 2000: V000436

VCF0875a MENTION 2: WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM

Question	Most important national problem (2)
Valid	Codes1-990 and:
Missing	991. 1968: No problem mentioned; no second or third problem; 1970: No problem mentioned; no second, third or fourth problem 996. No mentions, DK (1960,1964) 997. No problem mentioned, DK (1966); no second or third problem 998. DK (1968,1970,1972) 999. NA (1960,1964,1966,1968,1970,1972) 000. no Post IW; Form I,III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

Notes	See question wording and notes for 1974-later in VCF0875. See Appendix NOTE 'MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM 1960-1972'. GENERAL NOTE: The is is the full 3-digit coding for the summary variable in years 1960-1972.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1960: V600050b 1964: V640036 1966: V660019 1968: V680048 1970: V700039(type 0) 1972: V720546,V720548

VCF0875b MENTION 3: WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM

Question	Most important national problem (3)
Valid	Codes 100-959 and: 990. Other specific mentions of important problems
Missing	995. 'There were no issues'; 'there were no issues, just party politics' 996. 'There was no campaign in my district' 998. DK 999. NA 000. no Post IW; R not selected for half-sample administration (1996,2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	See question wording and notes for 1974-later in VCF0875. See Appendix NOTE 'MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM 1974 AND LATER'. GENERAL NOTE: The is is the full 3-digit coding for the summary variable in years 1974 and later. 2012 NOTE: Not yet coded for the 2012 Time Series.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1974: V742079 1976: V763689 1978: V780311,V780315 1980: V800979 1982: V820299 1984: V840993 1986: V860306 1988: V880817 1990: V900326 1992: V925726 1994: V940706 1996: V961141 1998: V980346 2000: V000436

VCF0876 LAW TO PROTECT HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST DISCRIMINATION

Question

Do you favor or oppose laws to protect [homosexuals/gays and lesbians] against job discrimination?

Valid	1. Favor 5. Oppose 8. DK; depends (1988)
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	1988 NOTE: 'Depends' was a coding option in 1988 only. 2012 NOTE: This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880852 1992: V925923 1996: V961193 2000: V001478 2004: V045156 2008: V083211 2012: gayrt_discstd 2016: V161229 2020: V201412

VCF0876a **STRENGTH OF POSITION ON LAW TO PROTECT HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST DISCRIMINATION**

Question	Do you favor or oppose laws to protect [homosexuals/gays and lesbians] against job discrimination? Do you favor/oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?
Valid	1. Favor strongly 2. Favor not strongly 4. Oppose not strongly 5. Oppose strongly 7. DK if favor or oppose; depends (1988); DK if favor/oppose strongly or not strongly
Missing	9. NA if favor or oppose; NA if favor/oppose strongly or not strongly; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0876.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880853 1992: V923426 1996: V961194 2000: V001481 2004: V045156,V045156a 2008: V083211x 2012: gayrt_discstd_x,gayrt_discstd 2016: V161229x,V161229y,V161229a 2020: V201413

VCF0877 FAVOR OR OPPOSE GAYS IN THE MILITARY

Question	Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?
Valid	1. Yes, think so 5. Don't think so 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	2012 NOTE: This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V925925 1996: V961194 2000: V000724 2004: V045157 2008: V083212 2012: gayrt_milstd

VCF0877a STRENGTH OF POSITION ON GAYS IN THE MILITARY

Question	Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed forces? Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve in the United States Armed forces?
Valid	1. Feel strongly should be allowed 2. Feel not strongly should be allowed 4. Feel not strongly should not be allowed 5. Feel strongly should not be allowed 7. DK if favor or oppose; depends (1988); DK if favor/oppose strongly or not strongly
Missing	9. NA if favor or oppose; NA if favor/oppose strongly or not strongly; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V925926 1996: V961195 2000: V000727 2004: V045157,V045157a 2008: V083212x 2012: gayrt_milstd_x,gayrt_discstd

VCF0878 SHOULD GAYS/LESBIANS BE ABLE TO ADOPT CHILDREN

Question	Do you think gay or lesbian couples, in other words, homosexual couples, should be legally permitted to adopt children?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No

8. DK

Missing9. NA; no Post IW
INAP. question not used**Weight**

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources1992: V925927
2000: V000748
2004: V045158
2008: V083213
2012: gayrt_adopt
2020: V201415

VCF0879 INCREASE OR DECREASE NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS TO U.S. 6-CATEGORY

Question

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be
(1992,1994: increased a little, increased a lot, decreased a little, decreased a lot, or left the same as it is now?)
(1996,1998: increased a lot, increased a little, decreased a little, decreased a lot, or left the same as it is now?)
(2004,2008: increased a lot, increased a little, left the same as it is now, decreased a little, or decreased a lot?)

Valid

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased a little
3. Same as now
4. Decreased a little
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK

Missing9. NA; no Post IW
INAP. question not used**Weight**

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources1992: V926235
1994: V941016
1996: V961325
1998: V980489
2004: V045115
2008: V085082
2012: immigpo_level
2016: V162157
2020: V202232

VCF0879a INCREASE OR DECREASE NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS TO U.S. 4-CATEGORY

Question

1992,1994:
Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased a little, increased a lot, decreased a little, decreased a lot, or left the same as it is now?
1996,1998:
Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased a

lot, increased a little, decreased a little, decreased a lot, or left the same as it is now?

2000:

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?

2004:

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased a lot, increased a little, left the same as it is now, decreased a little, or decreased a lot?

Valid	1. Increased 3. Same as now 5. Decreased 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V926235 1994: V941016 1996: V961325 1998: V980489 2000: V000508 2004: V045115 2008: V085082 2012: immigpo_level 2016: V162157 2020: V202232

VCF0880 BETTER OR WORSE OFF IN PAST YEAR

Question	1962-1998,2004: We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that (1962,1966-1974: you [and your family]; 1976 and later : you [and your family living here]) are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago. 2000-2002: Would you say that you (and your family) (2000 FACE-TO-FACE ONLY: living here) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?
Valid	1. Better Now 2. Same (2004: Volunteered) 3. Worse Now
Missing	9. DK; uncertain; depends 0. NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); R assigned to Post administration and no Post IW (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 2000 NOTE: Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this question in either the Pre or Post.
Weight	VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z
Sources	

1962: V620014
 1966: V660044
 1968: V680275
 1970: V700048(type 1)
 1972: V720501
 1974: V742313
 1976: V763137
 1978: V780321
 1980: V800147
 1982: V820325
 1984: V840139
 1986: V860355
 1988: V880206
 1990: V900416
 1992: V923425
 1994: V940902
 1996: V960337
 1998: V980414
 2000: V000398,V001410
 2002: V023025
 2004: V043061
 2008: V083057
 2012: finance_finpast
 2016: V161110
 2020: V201502

VCF0880a HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE OFF IN PAST YEAR

Question

1984-1998,2004:

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you and your family living here are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago.

2000-2002:

Would you say that you (and your family) (2000 FACE-TO-FACE ONLY: living here) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Is that much better/worse off or somewhat better/worse off?

2012:

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that [you /you and your family living here] are much better off financially, somewhat better off, about the same, somewhat worse off, or much worse off than you were a year ago?

Valid

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

Missing

8. DK
9. NA; DK/NA if better or worse in past year; R assigned to Post administration and no Post IW (2000)
INAP. question not used

Notes

2012 NOTE:
 Asked as a 5-point scale
 2000 NOTE:
 Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this question in either the Pre or Post.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

- 1984: V840140
 1986: V860356
 1988: V880207
 1990: V900417
 1992: V923426

1994: V940903
 1996: V960338
 1998: V980415
 2000: V000398,V001410,V001412a
 2002: V023026
 2004: V043061,V043062
 2008: V083057x
 2012: finance_finpast_x,finance_finpast
 2016: V161110
 2020: V201502

VCF0880b FINANCIAL SITUATION BETTER/WORSE IN PAST FEW YEARS

Question	During the last few years, has your financial situation been getting better, getting worse, or has it stayed the same?
Valid	1. Getting better 3. Stayed the same 5. Getting worse
Missing	8. DK 9. NA INAP: question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560078 1958: V580049 1960: V600075 1964: V640129

VCF0881 BETTER OR WORSE OFF IN NEXT YEAR

Question	1956-1960,1964: Now looking ahead and thinking about the next few years, do you expect your financial situation will stay about the way it is now, get better, or get worse. 1962,1966 AND LATER: Now looking ahead—do you think that a year from now (1962,1966-1970: you people; 1972,1974: you [and your family]; 1976 AND LATER: you [and your family; 2000 TELEPHONE,2004: living here]) will be better off financially or worse off, or just about the same as now?
Valid	1. Better off (1956-1960,1964: get better) 2. Same (1956-1960,1964: stay the way it is) 3. Worse off (1956-1960,1964: get worse)
Missing	9. DK; both; uncertain 0. NA; Form B (1986); no Pre IW; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); R assigned to Post administration and no Post IW (2000) INAP: question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

1992 NOTE:
This question was asked in both the pre and post; the pre data have been incorporated here.

Weight VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

Sources 1956: V560080
1958: V580051
1960: V600077
1962: V620017
1964: V640131
1966: V660047
1968: V680278
1970: V700050(type 1)
1972: V720504
1974: V742314
1976: V763138
1978: V780322
1980: V800148
1982: V820326
1984: V840151
1986: V860358
1988: V880208
1990: V900420
1992: V923817
1994: V940904
1996: V960565
1998: V980416
2000: V000680
2002: V025115x
2004: V043063
2008: V083058x
2012: finance_finnext
2016: V161111
2020: V201503

Question **FEDERAL SPENDING - GENERAL TEXT**

**If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, for which (1986 AND LATER: of the following) programs would you like to see spending increased and for which would you like to see spending decreased:
Should federal spending on [ITEM] be increased, decreased or kept about the same?**

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
Order of spending items (see also VCF9046-9050) varied among study years. In some years, the series was administered with a break mid-sequence.

VCF0886 FEDERAL SPENDING- POOR/POOR PEOPLE

Question **1992,1996: poor people
2000,2012-later: aid to the poor
2002,2004: aid to poor people**

Valid 1. Increased
2. Same
3. Decreased (before 2012: or cut out entirely)
8. DK

Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes preceding this variable.</p> <p>2002 NOTE 1: There were two series of Federal spending questions. Respondents were randomly assigned to one series in the Pre and the alternate in the Post. Within each series, respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings.</p> <p>2002 NOTE 2: Respondents were randomly assigned one of two wordings: 'aid to poor people' or 'aid to the working poor.' Data from 'aid to poor people' are represented here.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1992: V923817 1996: V960565 2000: V000680 2002: V025115x 2004: V043172 2008: V083148 2012: fedspend_poor 2016: V161211 2020: V201318</p>

VCF0887 FEDERAL SPENDING- CHILD CARE

Question	Child care
Valid	<p>1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased (before 2012: or cut out entirely) 8. DK</p>
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1988: V880382 1990: V900385 1992: V923813 1994: V940824 1996: V960564 2000: V000685 2002: V025110x 2004: V043170 2008: V083146 2012: fedspend_child 2016: V161210</p>

VCF0888 FEDERAL SPENDING- DEALING WITH CRIME

Question	Dealing with crime
----------	--------------------

Valid	1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased (before 2012: or cut out entirely) 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840997 1992: V923814 1994: V940825 1996: V960563 2000: V000684 2002: V025109x 2004: V043168 2008: V083148 2012: fedspend_crime 2016: V161208 2020: V201309

VCF0889 FEDERAL SPENDING- AIDS RESEARCH/FIGHT AIDS

Question	1988,1990: fighting the disease AIDS 1992-1996,2000,2002: AIDS research
Valid	1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased or cut out entirely 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880352 1990: V900379 1992: V923727 1994: V940821 1996: V960498 2000: V000677 2002: V025106x

VCF0890 FEDERAL SPENDING- PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Question	Public schools
Valid	1. Increased 2. Same

	3. Decreased (before 2012: or cut out entirely) 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886. 2002 NOTE: Respondents were randomly assigned one of two wordings: 'public school' or 'big-city schools'. Data for 'public schools' are represented here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840998 1988: V880349 1990: V900383 1992: V923818 1994: V940823 1996: V960562 2000: V000683 2002: V025108x 2004: V043166 2008: V083142 2012: fedspend_schools 2016: V161206 2020: V201303

VCF0891 FEDERAL SPENDING- FIN AID FOR COLLEGE STUDENTS

Question	Financial aid for college students
Valid	1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased or cut out entirely 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860328 1988: V880378 1992: V923728 1996: V960500

VCF0892 FEDERAL SPENDING- FOREIGN AID

Question	Foreign aid
Valid	1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased or cut out entirely 8. DK

Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1990: V900378 1996: V960499 2000: V000678 2002: V025116x 2004: V043171 2008: V083147

VCF0893 FEDERAL SPENDING- THE HOMELESS

Question	1988: the homeless 1990: government assistance for the homeless 1992,1996: solving the problem of the homeless
Valid	1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased or cut out entirely 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880385 1990: V900384 1992: V923730 1996: V960501

VCF0894 FEDERAL SPENDING- WELFARE PROGRAMS

Question	Welfare programs
Valid	1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased (before 2012: or cut out entirely) 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V923726 1994: V940820

1996: V960497
 2000: V000676
 2002: V025107x
 2004: V043169
 2008: V083145
 2012: fedspend_welfare
 2016: V161209
 2020: V201312

VCF0900 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF RESIDENCE

Question	Congressional district of interview
Valid	Codes1-53 Plus:
Missing	99. District of Columbia 00. NA; wrong district identified INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Congressional district corresponds to the districting in effect during the year of the interview, with the exception of California only for 1974: the redistricting occurring in California during 1974 was not completed in time to be represented in the 1974 study. District numbers associated with 1974 California respondents are the district numbers which were in effect in 1973.</p> <p>Note that in some years several cases of corrections have been made to states and/or Congressional districts due to inconsistencies or errors in the STUDY data.</p> <p>1992 NOTE 1: For panel cases, this provides the congressional district of R's original address (1990) rather than that of the address at which R was residing in 1992. Additionally, even if R did not move since 1990, the redistricting which took place in many areas in 1992 may have changed R'S district number in 1992.</p> <p>1992 NOTE 2: Late in 1994, it was discovered that in 50 cases an incorrect CD number had been assigned. These errors affect all questions related to House race which are administered according to assigned-CD candidate names. In the introduction to this codebook (p. iv) is a listing of 1992 (pre) case IDs with correct congressional districts, however no data have been changed in this file as a result. Users of CDF data can delete these cases from affected vars if desired.</p> <p>1994 NOTE: For panel cases who moved since their original, VCF0900 records the congressional district where R was interviewed for the current Study. The candidate list information also corresponds to the district where R was residing during the current Study interview.</p> <p>1996 NOTE: same as 1994.</p> <p>2000 NOTE: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 00.</p> <p>2002 NOTE: Preload district assignment was incorrect in 1 case.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560008 1958: V580010 1960: V600011 1964: V640013 1966: V660011 1968: V680015 1970: V700025(type 0) 1972: V720006 1974: V742007

1976: V763007
 1978: V780011
 1980: V800007
 1982: V820019
 1984: V840013
 1986: V860049
 1988: V880013
 1990: V900013
 1992: V923019
 1994: V940015
 1996: V960107
 1998: V980090
 2000: V000083
 2002: V021202
 2004: V041202,V041204
 2012: sample_district
 2016: V161010f
 2020: V203002

VCF0900b STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT- FIPS

Question	FIPS state and Congressional district of interview
Missing	9999, 00,98,99 in VCF0900 or 00,99 in VCF0901a INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0901a and VCF0900. 2004 NOTE: The 2004 study included a variable comparable to this, thus 2004 data is not built from VCF0901a and VCF0900.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V041202,V041204b

VCF0900c STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT- POSTAL ABBREV AND CD

Question	Postal code and Congressional district of interview
Missing	9999, 00,98,99 in VCF0900 or blank in VCF0901b INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0901b (postal abbreviation) and VCF0900 (district). 2004 NOTE: The 2004 study included a variable comparable to this, thus 2004 data is not built from VCF0901b and VCF0900.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V041202,V041204b

VCF0901a STATE CODE- FIPS

Question	State of interview - FIPS code
Missing	99. NA 00. Wrong district identified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'STATE AND COUNTRY CODES'. See notes VCF0901.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520006 1956: V560007 1958: V580009 1960: V600010 1962: V620003 1964: V640012 1966: V660010 1968: V680014 1970: V700018(type 0) 1972: V720004 1974: V742007 1976: V763007 1978: V780012 1980: V800008 1982: V820015 1984: V840013 1986: V860049 1988: V880012 1990: V900012 1992: V923017 1994: V940014 1996: V960109 1998: V980086 2000: V000079 2002: V021201 2004: V041202 2012: sample_state 2016: V161010e 2020: V203000

VCF0901b STATE POSTAL ABBREV

Question	State of interview - state postal abbreviation
Missing	99. NA; wrong district identified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0901a. NA, INAP in VCF0901a are represented here as 99. Washington D.C. is represented as 'DC'.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2020: V203001

VCF0902 TYPE OF U.S. HOUSE RACE

Question	Type of House race for district of interviews
Valid	12. Democratic incumbent running–Republican challenger 13. Democratic incumbent–other challenger 14. Democratic incumbent running–unopposed 19. Democratic incumbent running–Republican challenger and others 21. Republican incumbent running–Democratic challenger 23. Republican incumbent–other challenger 24. Republican incumbent running–unopposed 29. Republican incumbent running–Democratic challenger and others 31. Other incumbent running–Democratic challenger 32. Other incumbent running–Republican challenger 34. Other incumbent running–unopposed 35. Other incumbent running–Democratic and Republican challengers 36. Other incumbent running–Republican and other challengers 37. Other incumbent running–Democratic and other challengers 39. Other incumbent running – Democratic, Republican, other challengers 40. Democratic and Republican incumbents running–no other candidate (see note) 41. Two Democratic incumbents running (see note) 45. Two Republican incumbents running (see note) 49. Democratic and Republican incumbents running–other candidate (see note) 51. No incumbent Repr. running–Democratic candidate unopposed 52. No incumbent Repr. running–Republican candidate unopposed 53. No incumbent Repr. running–other candidate unopposed 55. No incumbent Repr. running–Democratic and Republican candidates 56. No incumbent Repr. running–Republican and other candidates 57. No incumbent Repr. running–Democratic and other candidates 59. No incumbent Repr. running–Democratic and Republican and other candidates 83. No identifiable incumbent, Democratic candidate in open race due to redistricting 84. No identifiable incumbent, Republican candidate in open race due to redistricting 85. No identifiable incumbent, Democratic and Republican candidates in open race due to redistricting 89. No identifiable incumbent, Democratic, Republican and other candidates in open race due to redistricting
Missing	99. NA 00. Washington D.C.; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of race could not be determined); wrong district identified; no post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE:</p> <p>This variable is available for 1970, 1974 and 1976 as well as 1978 and later. See warning NOTE below. In some years this variable is not available for no-post cases.</p> <p>ANES samples are not designed to be representative at the Congressional district level. Samples include cases from only some U.S. Congressional districts; for most congressional districts in which interviewing actually takes place, only a small number of interviews are taken.</p> <p>Codes 40,41,45 and 49 apply as a result of redistricting: for other Cumulative Data File variables referent to 'the incumbent' and 'the challenger' the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent' and the other candidate (incumbent previously not representing R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger'; if two incumbents ran against each other due to redistricting but neither had previously represented R's location, neither candidate has been treated as an 'incumbent'. SEE 2012 NOTE FOR CHANGE IN 2012.</p> <p>1970,1974,1976 WARNING NOTE:</p> <p>In 1970, 1974 and 1976, no type of race var was present in the original ANES datasets. Data for these years have been added to VCF0902 by implementing a case-by-case determination of type of race for every R's congressional district through consultation of reference materials. The resultant data in VCF0902 for these years have been checked somewhat, but perfect accuracy cannot be guaranteed due to the nature of attempting case-by-case coding. The type of race established in VCF0902 (and data in VCF0904-905 by derivation) for 1970, 1974 and 1976</p>

should be used with caution and at the user's risk.

1978 NOTE:

1978 data in this variable do not exactly match the 1978 Study data: several congressional districts in 1978 were not accurately coded for type of House race. MS03 was coded as 14 in the original 1978 data but should have been coded 12 (24 cases); NY19 was coded as 12 in the original 1978 data but should have been coded 14 (9 cases); NY38 was coded as 21 but should have been coded 24 (14 cases). In VCF0902 these cases have been corrected. However, Note that in other Cumulative Data File 900s-series congressional vars except for VCF0904, VCF0905 and VCF1004-1014, candidate-level data continue to accord with the original 1978 study designated type of race for MS03, NY19 and NY38.

1984 NOTE:

1 case in TX22 and 1 case in WA01 were mistakenly coded 65 in the Study dataset and have been corrected to 55 here. Also, 1 case in WI05 was mistakenly coded 13 and has been corrected to 14 here. 1 case in OH08 and 1 case in WI09 were mistakenly coded 12 and have been corrected to 21 here. Finally, 4 cases in CA38 were incorrectly coded (1 as 13, 3 as 21) and have been corrected to 12 here. These changes have been reflected in VCF1004 and VCF1005.

1992 NOTE 1:

For panel cases, this provides the type of race for the 1992 congressional district of R's original address (1990) rather than the address to which R may have moved by the time of the 1992 interview(s).

1992 NOTE 2:

Late in 1994, it was discovered that in 50 cases an incorrect CD number had been assigned. These errors affect all questions related to House race which were administered according to assigned-CD candidate names. In the introduction to this codebook is a listing of case IDs with correct districts, however no data have been changed in this file as a result. Users can delete these cases from affected vars if desired.

1994 NOTE:

Codes represent type of race in district of interview location for the current Study year.

1996 NOTE 1:

same as 1994.

1996 NOTE 2:

14 Pre interviews were conducted before the status of the congressional race in R's district assumed its final form. These cases are flagged in 1996 Study variable V960127, and the race type projected at the time the Pre interview was administered is documented in 1996 Study variable V960128. (In such cases Pre survey data are consistent with V960128.)

1998 NOTE:

2 districts had the incorrect type of race identified, and the 1998 instrument was administered according to the incorrect type of House race. Cumulative Data File variables utilize 1998 data which have not had corrections made: In 1998, cases in AL07 should have been type race 14 (instead of 24); cases in CA41 should have been type race 55 (instead of 12).

2000 NOTE:

3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 00.

2002 NOTE:

Code 55 includes 2 cases from LA05 where a Democratic and 2 Republican candidates were running.

2004 NOTE:

The extent of the Texas redistricting prior to the 2004 election produced House races with incumbents running in areas where he or she had provided little or no prior representation, thus no Texas candidate has been identified as a true incumbent (running or retiring) for the new Texas districts (House type race 44-49).

2012 NOTE:

The extent of redistricting in 2012 prohibited singling out, at district level, one candidate who not only ran in 2012 to represent households within the boundaries of the new district which corresponded to R's household location and who was also, prior to the redistricting, the U.S. House representative for households within the boundaries of the previous district that corresponded to R's household location (prior to redistricting). Overlap of new and old district was often very small. Incumbents in VCF0902-VCF0905, VCF0908 can only identify whether or not a candidate running for Congress in 2012 was in office in Congress prior to the 2012 elections.

Note that VCF1014 (House winner) is coded at district level according to type House race in VCF0902.

Louisiana district 3 is coded for House race type 45; a Democratic challenging candidate also ran in the district.

2016 NOTE:

Final candidates for the U.S. House election in district LA04 were not determined prior to post-election interviewing. LA04 cases are coded 99. For some 2016 cases, names of candidates were not preloaded either because date of interview preceded date when final candidates were determined or else due to preload issue. For the latter instances, any CDF questions related to candidates are NA.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780004 1980: V800740 1982: V820006 1984: V840059 1986: V860043 1988: V880050 1990: V900058 1992: V923021 1994: V940017 1996: V960097 1998: V980065 2000: V000194 2002: V024500a 2004: V044502 2012: typerace2012_hse 2016: V161010e,V161010f 2020: V203515

VCF0903 IS HOUSE INCUMBENT RUNNING

Question	Incumbent candidate - checkpoint
Valid	1. US House Representative is running for re-election in R's district 2. US House Representative is NOT running for re- election in R's district ('retiring')
Missing	9. no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); wrong district identified (2000); Washington D.C. INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: 1970, 1974 and 1976 data have been derived from VCF0902 (q.v.). VCF0903 has not been affected [i.e., no correction is required] by changes in 1978 data for type of House race (SEE VCF0902). 1984 corrections to original type of race data (SEE VCF0902) are not reflected in VCF0903-905. Code 1 includes congressional districts where 2 incumbents ran due to redistricting. Note that for districts where 2 incumbents were running due to redistricting, neither of whom had previously represented R's location, this variable has been coded 2. 2004 NOTE: Note that for districts where 2 incumbents were running due to redistricting, neither of whom had previously represented R's location, this variable has been coded 2; when unclear whether either incumbent had previously represented the district then 2 has been coded. 2012 NOTE: See VCF0902 NOTES.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	

1978: V780004
 1980: V800928
 1982: V820175
 1984: V840932
 1986: V860219
 1988: V880714
 1990: V900237
 1992: V923021
 1994: V940017
 1996: V961122
 1998: V980325
 2000: V000194
 2002: V024500a
 2004: V044502
 2012: typerace2012_hse
 2016: V161010e,V161010f
 2020: V203515

VCF0904 IS HOUSE INCUMBENT OPPOSED

Question	Incumbent opposed/ unopposed - checkpoint
Valid	1. Running incumbent is unopposed 2. Running incumbent is opposed
Missing	9. Washington D.C.; no Post IW; wrong district identified (2000) 0. No incumbent running in CD INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: 1970, 1974 and 1976 data have been derived from VCF0902 (Q.V.). Code 2 includes opposition from a non-major party candidate if his/her name was on the candidate list. Note that for districts where 2 incumbents were running due to redistricting, neither of whom had previously represented R's location, this variable has been coded 0.</p> <p>1978 NOTE: Several changes to data for type of House race from 1978 have been made since previous release of the Cumulative Data File (see NOTES VCF0902). As a result, VCF0904 and VCF0905 have been changed accordingly.</p> <p>1984 NOTE: Corrections to original type of race data (SEE VCF0902) are not reflected in VCF0903-905.</p> <p>2004 NOTE: The extent of the Texas redistricting prior to the 2004 election produced House races with incumbents running in areas where he or she had provided little or no prior representation, thus no Texas candidate has been identified as a true incumbent (running or retiring) for the new Texas districts (House type race 44-49).</p> <p>2012 NOTE: See VCF0902 NOTES.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780196 1980: V800740 1982: V820006 1984: V840059 1986: V860230 1988: V880725 1990: V900248 1992: V923021 1994: V940017 1996: V960097 1998: V980065 2000: V000194

2002: V024500a
 2004: V044502
 2012: typerace2012_hse
 2016: V161010e,V161010f
 2020: V203515

VCF0905 NUMBER OF CANDIDATES IN U.S. HOUSE RACE

Question	Number of candidates - checkpoint
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Major party candidate, unopposed 2. Two or more major party candidates
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C.; candidate(s) not in major party; wrong district identified INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: This variable is available for 1970 and 1974-1992. The 1970, 1974 and 1976 data were derived from VCF0902 (Q.V.). Note that for districts where 2 incumbents were running due to redistricting, neither of whom had previously represented R's location, this variable has been coded 0.</p> <p>1978 NOTE: Several changes to data for type of House race from 1978 have been made since previous release of the Cumulative Data File (see NOTES VCF0902). As a result, VCF0904 and VCF0905 have been changed accordingly.</p> <p>1984 NOTE: Corrections to original type of race data (SEE VCF0902) are not reflected in VCF0903-905.</p> <p>2002 NOTE: Several cases a where non-major-party incumbent was opposed by a major party challenger have been coded 2.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780004 1980: V800740 1982: V820168 1984: V840928 1986: V860212 1988: V880050 1990: V900058 1992: V923021 1994: V940017 1996: V960097 1998: V980065 2000: V000194 2002: V024500a 2004: V044502 2012: typerace2012_hse 2016: V161010e,V161010f 2020: V203515

VCF0906 THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE

Question	House Democratic candidate - feeling thermometer
----------	--

Valid	0-100. Degrees as given
Missing	990. No Democratic candidate 997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C.; short form IW (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 2016 NOTE: Correction to 2016 data are in progress and will be provided in a future release.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782005 1980: V800855 1982: V820132 1984: V840753 1986: V860136 1988: V880601 1990: V900145 1992: V925311 1994: V940238 1996: V960278 1998: V980239 2000: V001298 2002: V025044 2004: V045046,V044502 2012: ftpo_hdc 2016: V162082 2020: V202145

VCF0907 THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE

Question	House Republican candidate – feeling thermometer
Valid	0-100. Degrees as given
Missing	990. no Republican candidate 997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C.; short form IW (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 2016 NOTE: Correction to 2016 data are in progress and will be provided in a future release.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782006 1980: V800856 1982: V820133 1984: V840754 1986: V860137 1988: V880602 1990: V900146 1992: V925312 1994: V940239 1996: V960279 1998: V980240 2000: V001299

2002: V025045
 2004: V045047, 044502
 2012: ftpo_hrc
 2016: V162083
 2020: V202146

VCF0908 THERMOMETER - INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE

Question	Running House incumbent candidate – feeling thermometer
Valid	0-100. Degrees as given
Missing	990. Incumbent is not running 997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C.; short form IW (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	See question text and notes preceding VCF0201. GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0906,VCF0907. 2000 NOTE: Data for independent running incumbents (VT01 VT05) are included. 2004 NOTE: The extent of the Texas redistricting prior to the 2004 election produced House races with incumbents running in areas where he or she had provided little or no prior representation, thus no Texas candidate has been identified as a true incumbent (running or retiring) for the new Texas districts (House type race 44-49). 2012 NOTE: See notes VCF0902. This represents any district candidates running in 2012 (113th Congress) who had been in the House in any district for the 112th Congress (prior to the redistricting). 2016 NOTE: Correction to 2016 data are in progress and will be provided in a future release.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2020: V202145, V202146

VCF0909 THERMOMETER - CHALLENGER HOUSE CANDIDATE

Question	Challenger to running House incumbent – feeling thermometer
Valid	0-100. Degrees as given
Missing	990. Incumbent is unopposed or not running 997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C.; short form IW (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	See question text and notes preceding VCF0201. GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0906,VCF0907. 2012 NOTE: See notes VCF0908.

2016 NOTE:
Correction to 2016 data are in progress and will be provided in a future release.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 2020: V202145, V202146

VCF0910 CONTACT WITH RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question **There are many ways in which (U.S. Representatives/congressional candidates) can have contact with the people from their districts. On this page are some of these ways. Think of [incumbent candidate] (who has been the U.S. Representative/who ran for the U.S. House of Representatives) from this district. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways? (LIST SHOWN TO R)**

Valid 1. Yes
5. No, no contact at all

Missing 9. NA: no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbreviated IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. No incumbent candidate running
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
Districts in which the respondent's U.S. House Representative was not running for re-election have been coded 0.
1984 NOTE:
If R was in a district where no incumbent was running BUT the interview administered was a telephone IW, then VCF0910-917 have been coded 9, not 0. If R was in a district where no incumbent was running BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone IW, then VCF0918 has been coded 9, not 0.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1978: V782033
1980: V800918
1982: V820176,V820213,V820223
1984: V840936
1986: V860220
1988: V880715
1990: V900238
1992: V925502,V925513
1994: V940502,V940513

VCF0911 RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT: MET HIM

Question **Which ones?
RESPONDENT MET [incumbent] PERSONALLY**

Valid 1. Yes
5. No

Missing 9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911-917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is

an unspecified 'other'
INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782034 1980: V800919 1982: V820177,V820214,V820224 1984: V840937 1986: V860221 1988: V880716 1990: V900239 1992: V925503,V925514 1994: V940503,V940514

VCF0912 RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT: ATTENDED MEETING

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE [incumbent] SPOKE
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911-917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782035 1980: V800920 1982: V820178,V820215,V820225 1984: V840938 1986: V860222 1988: V880717 1990: V900240 1992: V925504,V925515 1994: V940504,V940515

VCF0913 RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT: TALKED TO STAFF

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT TALKED TO A MEMBER OF [incumbent's] STAFF OR SOMEONE IN [incumbent's] OFFICE
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911-VCF0917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is

an unspecified 'other'
INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782036 1980: V800921 1982: V820179,V820216,V820226 1984: V840939 1986: V860223 1988: V880718 1990: V900241 1992: V925505,V925516 1994: V940505,V940516

VCF0914 RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT: RECEIVED MAIL

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT RECEIVED SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM [incumbent]
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911-VCF0917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782037 1980: V800922 1982: V820180,V820217,V820227 1984: V840940 1986: V860224 1988: V880719 1990: V900242 1992: V925506,V925517 1994: V940506,V940517

VCF0915 RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT: READ ABOUT

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT READ ABOUT [incumbent] IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911-VCF0917; no Post IW; Washington D.C. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782038 1980: V800923 1982: V820181,V820218,V820228 1984: V840941 1986: V860225 1988: V880720 1990: V900243 1992: V925507,V925518 1994: V940507,V940518

VCF0916 RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT: HEARD ON RADIO

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT HEARD [incumbent] ON THE RADIO
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911 VCF0917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782039 1980: V800924 1982: V820182,V820219,V820229 1984: V840942 1986: V860226 1988: V880721 1990: V900244 1992: V925508,V925519 1994: V940508,V940519

VCF0917 RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT: SAW ON TV

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT SAW [incumbent] ON TV
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911 VCF0917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782040 1980: V800925 1982: V820183,V820220,V820230 1984: V840943 1986: V860227 1988: V880722 1990: V900245 1992: V925509,V925520 1994: V940509,V940520

VCF0918 KNOW ANYONE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends or people at work, who have had some contact with [incumbent]?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No incumbent candidate running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782042 1980: V800927 1982: V820185,V820222,V820232 1984: V840945 1986: V860229 1988: V880724 1990: V900247 1992: V925511,V925522 1994: V940511,V940522

VCF0919 CHALLENGER TO RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	How about [challenging candidate] who also ran for has been the U.S. House of Representative from this district. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No, no contact at all
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Districts in which the respondent's U.S. House representative was not running for re-election have been coded 0.

1984 NOTE:

if R was in a district where no incumbent was running or where the incumbent was unopposed (or opposed by a non-major party challenger) BUT the interview administered was a telephone IW, then VCF0919-926 have been coded 9, not 0. If R was in a district where no incumbent was running or where the incumbent was unopposed (or opposed by a non-major party challenger) BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone IW, then VCF0927 has been coded 9, not 0.

1986 NOTE:

in the 1986 Study data, 8 major party challengers to the running U.S. House incumbent were mistakenly excluded from challenger data (6 Republican challengers, 2 Democratic challengers). Contact data for these individuals have been coded 9 (NA) in VCF0919-927 and VCF0947.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782043 1980: V800929 1982: V820186,V820213,V820223 1984: V840946 1986: V860231 1988: V880726 1990: V900249 1992: V925502,V925513 1994: V940502,V940513

VCF0920 CHALLENGER TO U.S. HOUSE RUNNING INCUMBENT: MET HIM

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT MET [challenger] PERSONALLY
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782044 1980: V800930 1982: V820187,V820214,V820224 1984: V840947 1986: V860232 1988: V880727 1990: V900250 1992: V925503,V925514 1994: V940503,V940514

VCF0921 CHALLENGER TO U.S. HOUSE RUNNING INCUMBENT: ATTENDED MEETING

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE [challenger] SPOKE
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782045 1980: V800931 1982: V820188,V820215,V820225 1984: V840948 1986: V860233 1988: V880728 1990: V900251 1992: V925504,V925515 1994: V940504,V940515

VCF0922 CHALLENGER TO U.S. HOUSE RUNNING INCUMBENT: TALKED TO STAFF

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT TALKED TO A MEMBER OF [challenger's] STAFF OR SOMEONE IN [challenger's] OFFICE
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782046 1980: V800932 1982: V820189,V820216,V820226 1984: V840949 1986: V860234 1988: V880729 1990: V900252 1992: V925505,V925516 1994: V940505,V940516

VCF0923 CHALLENGER TO U.S. HOUSE RUNNING INCUMBENT: RECEIVED MAIL

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT RECEIVED SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM [challenger]
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782047 1980: V800933 1982: V820190,V820217,V820227 1984: V840950 1986: V860235 1988: V880730 1990: V900253 1992: V925506,V925517 1994: V940506,V940517

VCF0924 CHALLENGER TO U.S. HOUSE RUNNING INCUMBENT: READ ABOUT

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT READ ABOUT [challenger] IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other,' challenger not in major party INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782048 1980: V800934 1982: V820191,V820218,V820228 1984: V840951 1986: V860236 1988: V880731 1990: V900254 1992: V925507,V925518 1994: V940507,V940518

VCF0925 CHALLENGER TO U.S. HOUSE RUNNING INCUMBENT: HEARD ON RADIO

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT HEARD [challenger] ON THE RADIO
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782049 1980: V800935 1982: V820192,V820219,V820229 1984: V840952 1986: V860237 1988: V880732 1990: V900255 1992: V925508,V925519 1994: V940508,V940519

VCF0926 CHALLENGER TO U.S. HOUSE RUNNING INCUMBENT: SAW ON TV

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT SAW [challenger] ON TV
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0919-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782050 1980: V800936 1982: V820193,V820220,V820230 1984: V840953 1986: V860238 1988: V880733 1990: V900256 1992: V925509,V925520 1994: V940509,V940520

VCF0927 KNOW ANYONE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH CHALLENGER TO RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends or people at work, who have had some contact with [challenger]
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782052 1980: V800938 1982: V820195,V820222,V820232 1984: V840955 1986: V860240 1988: V880735 1990: V900258 1992: V925511,V925522 1994: V940511,V940522

VCF0928 CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND

Question	Think of [Democratic candidate] [who has been the U.S. Representative from this district/ who ran for the U.S. House of Representatives from this district]. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No, no contact at all
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Democratic candidate running INAP. question not used
Notes	1984 NOTE: If R was in a district where no Democratic candidate was running BUT the interview administered was a telephone IW, then VCF0928-935 have been coded 9, not 0. If R was in a district where no Democratic candidate was running BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone IW, then VCF0936 has been coded 9, not 0. 1986 NOTE: In the 1986 Study dataset, 8 major party challengers to the running U.S. House incumbent were mistakenly excluded from challenger data (SEE VCF0919). Two of the omitted challengers were Democratic: contact data for them appear in code 9 (NA) in VCF0928- VCF0936 and VCF0948.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782013 1980: V800918,V800929,V800939 1982: V820176,V820186,V820213,V820267 1984: V840936,V840946,V840968 1986: V860220,V860231,V860241 1988: V880715,V880726,V880736 1990: V900238,V900249,V900259 1992: V925502 1994: V940502

VCF0929 U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND: MET HIM

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT MET [Democratic candidate] PERSONALLY
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782014 1980: V800919,V800930,V800940 1982: V820177,V820187,V820214,V820268 1984: V840937,V840947,V840969 1986: V860221,V860232,V860242 1988: V880716,V880727,V880737 1990: V900239,V900250,V900260 1992: V925503 1994: V940503

VCF0930 U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND: ATTENDED MEETING

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE [Democratic candidate] SPOKE
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782015 1980: V800920,V800931,V800941 1982: V820178,V820188,V820215,V820269 1984: V840938,V840948,V840970 1986: V860222,V860233,V860243 1988: V880717,V880728,V880738 1990: V900240,V900251,V900261 1992: V925504 1994: V940504

VCF0931 U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND: TALKED TO STAFF

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT TALKED TO A MEMBER OF [Democratic candidate] STAFF OR SOMEONE IN [Democratic candidate's] OFFICE
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782016 1980: V800921,V800932,V800942 1982: V820179,V820189,V820216,V820270 1984: V840939,V840949,V840971 1986: V860223,V860234,V860244 1988: V880718,V880729,V880739 1990: V900241,V900252,V900262 1992: V925505 1994: V940505

VCF0932 U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND: RECEIVED MAIL

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT RECEIVED SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM [Democratic candidate]
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782017 1980: V800922,V800933,V800943 1982: V820180,V820190,V820217,V820271 1984: V840940,V840950,V840972 1986: V860224,V860235,V860245 1988: V880719,V880730,V880740 1990: V900242,V900253,V900263 1992: V925506 1994: V940506

VCF0933 U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND: READ ABOUT

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT READ ABOUT [Democratic candidate] IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782018 1980: V800923,V800934,V800944 1982: V820181,V820191,V820218,V820272 1984: V840941,V840951,V840973 1986: V860225,V860236,V860246 1988: V880720,V880731,V880741 1990: V900243,V900254,V900264 1992: V925507 1994: V940507

VCF0934 U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND: HEARD ON RADIO

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT HEARD [Democratic candidate] ON THE RADIO
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929-VCF935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782019 1980: V800924,V800935,V800945 1982: V820182,V820192,V820219,V820273 1984: V840942,V840952,V840974 1986: V860226,V860237,V860247 1988: V880721,V880732,V880742 1990: V900244,V900255,V900265 1992: V925508 1994: V940508

VCF0935 U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND: SAW ON TV

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT SAW [Democratic candidate] ON TV
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782020 1980: V800925,V800936,V800946 1982: V820183,V820193,V820220,V820274 1984: V840943,V840953,V840975 1986: V860227,V860238,V860248 1988: V880722,V880733,V880743 1990: V900245,V900256,V900266 1992: V925509 1994: V940509

VCF0936 KNOW ANYONE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND

Question	Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends or people at work, who have had some contact with [Democratic candidate]?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Democratic candidate running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782022 1980: V800927,V800938,V800948 1982: V820185,V820195,V820222,V820276 1984: V840945,V840955,V840977 1986: V860229,V860240,V860250 1988: V880724,V880735,V880745 1990: V900247,V900258,V900268 1992: V925511 1994: V940511

VCF0937 CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND

Question	Think of [Republican candidate] (who has been the U.S. Representative from this district/ who ran for the U.S. House of Representatives from this district). Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Republican candidate running INAP. question not used
Notes	1984 NOTE: If R was in a district where no Republican candidate was running BUT the interview administered was a telephone IW, then VCF0928-935 have been coded 9, not 0. If R was in a district where no Republican candidate was running BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone IW, then VCF0936 has been coded 9, not 0. 1986 NOTE: In the 1986 Study dataset, 8 major party challengers to the running U.S. House incumbent were mistakenly excluded from challenger data (SEE VCF0919). Six of the omitted challengers were Republican: contact data for them appear in code 9 (NA) in VCF0928- VCF0936 and VCF0948.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782023 1980: V800918,V800929,V800949 1982: V820176,V820186,V820223,V820277 1984: V840936,V840946,V840978 1986: V860220,V860231,V860251 1988: V880715,V880726,V880746 1990: V900238,V900249,V900269 1992: V925513 1994: V940513

VCF0938 U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND: MET HIM

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT MET [Republican candidate] PERSONALLY
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782024 1980: V800919,V800930,V800950 1982: V820177,V820187,V820224,V820278 1984: V840937,V840947,V840979 1986: V860221,V860232,V860252 1988: V880716,V880727,V880747 1990: V900239,V900250,V900270

1992: V925514
1994: V940514

VCF0939 U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND: ATTENDED MEETING

Question Which ones?
RESPONDENT ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE [Republican candidate] SPOKE

Valid 1. Yes
5. No

Missing 9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
See VCF0937.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1978: V782025
1980: V800920,V800931,V800951
1982: V820178,V820188,V820225,V820279
1984: V840938,V840948,V840980
1986: V860222,V860233,V860253
1988: V880717,V880728,V880748
1990: V900240,V900251,V900271
1992: V925515
1994: V940515

VCF0940 U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND: TALKED TO STAFF

Question Which ones?
RESPONDENT TALKED TO A MEMBER OF [Republican candidate's] STAFF OR SOMEONE IN [Republican candidate's] OFFICE

Valid 1. Yes
5. No

Missing 9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.
0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
See VCF0937.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1978: V782026
1980: V800921,V800932,V800952
1982: V820179,V820189,V820226,V820280
1984: V840939,V840949,V840981
1986: V860223,V860234,V860254
1988: V880718,V880729,V880749
1990: V900241,V900252,V900272

1992: V925516
1994: V940516

VCF0941 U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND: RECEIVED MAIL

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT RECEIVED SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM [Republican candidate]
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782027 1980: V800922,V800933,V800953 1982: V820180,V820190,V820227,V820281 1984: V840940,V840950,V840982 1986: V860224,V860235,V860255 1988: V880719,V880730,V880750 1990: V900242,V900253,V900273 1992: V925517 1994: V940517

VCF0942 U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND: READ ABOUT

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT READ ABOUT [Republican candidate] IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782028 1980: V800923,V800934,V800954 1982: V820181,V820191,V820228,V820282 1984: V840941,V840951,V840983 1986: V860225,V860236,V860256 1988: V880720,V880731,V880751 1990: V900243,V900254,V900274

1992: V925518
1994: V940518

VCF0943 U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND: HEARD ON RADIO

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT HEARD [Republican candidate] ON THE RADIO
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782029 1980: V800924,V800935,V800955 1982: V820182,V820192,V820229,V820283 1984: V840942,V840952,V840984 1986: V860226,V860237,V860257 1988: V880721,V880732,V880752 1990: V900244,V900255,V900275 1992: V925519 1994: V940519

VCF0944 U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND: SAW ON TV

Question	Which ones? RESPONDENT SAW [Republican candidate] ON TV
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782030 1980: V800925,V800936,V800956 1982: V820183,V820193,V820230,V820284 1984: V840943,V840953,V840985 1986: V860227,V860238,V860258 1988: V880722,V880733,V880753 1990: V900245,V900256,V900276 1992: V925520 1994: V940520

VCF0945 KNOW ANYONE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND

Question	Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends or people at work, who have had some contact with [Republican candidate]?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Republican candidate running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782032 1980: V800927,V800938,V800958 1982: V820185,V820195,V820232,V820286 1984: V840945,V840955,V840987 1986: V860229,V860240,V860260 1988: V880724,V880735,V880755 1990: V900247,V900258,V900278 1992: V925522 1994: V940522

VCF0946 COUNT OF CONTACT TYPES- RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT CAND

Question	Number of specified contacts made with running incumbent candidate
Valid	0. No contacts 1. One contact 2. Two contacts 3. Three contacts 4. Four contacts 5. Five contacts 6. Six contacts 7. Seven contacts
Missing	8. Incumbent not running 9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	Derived from VCF0911-917. GENERAL NOTE: This variable does not count contacts other than the 7 specified types of contact.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0946a YES/NO ANY CONTACT WITH RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT CAND

Question	Contact with running incumbent? (YES/NO)
Valid	1. Yes, contact 5. No, no contact
Missing	0.MD in VCF0946 INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This var collapses VCF0946.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0947 COUNT OF CONTACT TYPES- CHALLENGER TO RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT CAND

Question	Number of specified contacts made with challenger to running incumbent candidate
Valid	0. No contacts 1. One contact 2. Two contacts 3. Three contacts 4. Four contacts 5. Five contacts 6. Six contacts 7. Seven contacts
Missing	8. Incumbent not running or running unopposed 9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	Derived from VCF0920-926. GENERAL NOTE: This variable does not count contacts other than the 7 specified types of contact.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0947a YES/NO ANY CONTACT WITH CHALLENGER TO RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT CAND

Question	Contact with challenger to running incumbent? (YES/NO)
Valid	1. Yes, contact 5. No, no contact
Missing	0.MD in VCF0947 INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This var collapses VCF0947.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0948 COUNT OF CONTACT TYPES- U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND

Question	Number of specified contacts made with Democratic candidate
Valid	0. No contacts 1. One contact 2. Two contacts 3. Three contacts 4. Four contacts 5. Five contacts 6. Six contacts 7. Seven contacts
Missing	8. Democrat not running 9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	Derived from VCF0928-935. GENERAL NOTE: This variable does not count contacts other than the 7 specified types of contact.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0948a YES/NO ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CAND

Question	Contact with Democratic candidate? (YES/NO)
Valid	1. Yes, contact 5. No, no contact
Missing	0.MD in VCF0948 INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This variable collapsed from VCF0948.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0949 COUNT OF CONTACT TYPES- U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND

Question	Number of specified contacts made with Republican candidate
Valid	0. No contacts 1. One contact 2. Two contacts 3. Three contacts 4. Four contacts 5. Five contacts 6. Six contacts 7. Seven contacts
Missing	8. Republican not running 9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form

IW (1992)
INAP. question not used

Notes	Derived from VCF0937-944. GENERAL NOTE: This variable does not count contacts other than the 7 specified types of contact.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0949a YES/NO ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CAND

Question	Contact with Republican candidate? (YES/NO)
Valid	1. Yes, contact 5. No, no contact
Missing	0.MD in VCF0949 INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This var collapses VCF0949.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0950 CONTACTED RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent not running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Districts where the incumbent was not running have been coded 0. 1984 NOTE: if R was in a district where the U.S. House representative was not running for re-election BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone IW, then VCF0950-960 have been coded 9, not 0.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780227 1980: V800959 1982: V820196,V820233,V820250 1984: V840956 1986: V860282 1988: V880780 1990: V900303 1992: V925701 1994: V940628

VCF0951 CONTACTED RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT TO EXPRESS OPINION

Question	Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Was it to express an opinion?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; incumbent not running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780228 1980: V800960 1982: V820197,V820234,V820251 1984: V840957 1986: V860283 1988: V880781 1990: V900304 1992: V925702 1994: V940629

VCF0952 CONTACTED RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT TO SEEK INFORMATION

Question	Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Was it to seek information?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; incumbent not running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780229 1980: V800961 1982: V820198,V820235,V820252 1984: V840958 1986: V860284 1988: V880782 1990: V900305 1992: V925703 1994: V940630

VCF0953 CONTACTED RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT TO SEEK HELP

Question	Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Was it to seek help on a problem (/problem that you had)?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; incumbent not running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780230 1980: V800962 1982: V820199,V820236,V820253 1984: V840959 1986: V860285 1988: V880783 1990: V900306 1992: V925704 1994: V940631

VCF0954 RESPONSE TO CONTACT WITH RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did you get a response from [running U.S. House incumbent] or someone in his/her office?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; incumbent not running; type of contact NA (1992 ONLY; NA in VCF0951-953) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780231 1980: V800963 1982: V820200,V820237,V820254 1984: V840960 1986: V860286 1988: V880784 1990: V900307 1992: V925705 1994: V940632

VCF0955 SATISFIED W/RESPONSE FROM RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did you get a response from [running U.S. House incumbent] or someone in his/her office? (IF YES:) How satisfied were you with the response: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied?
Valid	1. Very satisfied 2. Somewhat satisfied 4. Not very satisfied 5. Not at all satisfied
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) received response; never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; incumbent not running; type of contact NA (1992 Only: NA in VCF09451-953) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780232 1980: V800964 1982: V820201,V820238,V820255 1984: V840961 1986: V860287 1988: V880785 1990: V900308 1992: V925706 1994: V940633

VCF0956 KNOW ANYONE (ELSE) WHO CONTACTED RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Do you know anyone (else) who has contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent not running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780233 1980: V800965 1982: V820202,V820239,V820256 1984: V840962 1986: V860288 1988: V880786 1990: V900309 1992: V925707 1994: V940634

VCF0957 OTHER PERSON GET RESPONSE FROM RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Do you know anyone (else) who has contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did this (person/group) get a response?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Doesn't know (or DK/NA if knows) of anyone else; incumbent not running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780234 1980: V800966 1982: V820203,V820240,V820257 1984: V840963 1986: V860289 1988: V880787 1990: V900310 1992: V925708 1994: V940635

VCF0958 OTHER PERSON SATISFIED W/RESPONSE FROM RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Do you know anyone (else) who has contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? Did this (person/group) get a response? 1978-1986: (IF YES:) Was this (person/group) satisfied with the response? 1988-1992: (IF YES:) How satisfied was this (person/group) with the response?
Valid	1. Very satisfied (1978-1984: Yes; satisfied) 2. Somewhat satisfied 4. Not very satisfied (1978-1984: Somewhat dissatisfied) 5. Not at all satisfied (1978-1984: No; not satisfied)
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Person/group did not get (or DK/NA if got) a response; R does not know (or DK/NA if knows) of anyone else; incumbent not running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780235 1980: V800967 1982: V820204,V820241,V820258 1984: V840964 1986: V860290 1988: V880788 1990: V900311 1992: V925709 1994: V940636

VCF0959 WOULD RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT BE HELPFUL WITH PROBLEM

Question	If you had (another/a) problem that [running U.S. House incumbent] could do something about, do you think (he/she) would be very helpful, somewhat helpful, or not very helpful?
Valid	1. Very helpful 2. Somewhat helpful 3. Not very helpful 7. Depends
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent not running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780236 1980: V800968 1982: V820205,V820242,V820259 1984: V840965 1986: V860291 1988: V880791 1990: V900312 1992: V925712 1994: V940639

VCF0960 RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT DONE ANYTHING SPECIAL FOR DISTRICT

Question	Do you happen to remember anything special that [running U.S. House incumbent] has done for his/her district or for the people of his/her district while s/he has been in Congress?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Incumbent not running INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780237 1980: V800969 1982: V820206,V820243,V820260 1984: V840966 1986: V860292 1988: V880792 1990: V900316 1992: V925713 1994: V940640

VCF0961 CONTACTED RUNNING OR RETIRING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. No recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used
Notes	1990 NOTE: For New Jersey congressional district 01, the U.S. Representative was neither 'retiring' nor running at the time of the 1990 general elections. The Representative from NJ01 had campaigned for and been elected to another office in 1989, and the office of Representative in NJ 01 was not (in effect) occupied until after the 1990 elections.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780227 1980: V800959 1986: V860282 1990: V900303

VCF0962 CONTACTED RUNNING OR RETIRING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT TO EXPRESS OPINION

Question	Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Was it to express an opinion?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780228 1980: V800960 1986: V860283 1990: V900304

VCF0963 CONTACTED RUNNING OR RETIRING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT TO SEEK INFORMATION

Question	
-----------------	--

**Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office?
(IF YES:) Was it to seek information?**

Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780229 1980: V800961 1986: V860284 1990: V900305

VCF0964 CONTACTED RUNNING OR RETIRING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT TO SEEK HELP

Question	Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Was it to seek help on a problem?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780230 1980: V800962 1986: V860285 1990: V900306

VCF0965 RESPONSE TO CONTACT WITH RUNNING OR RETIRING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did you get a response from [U.S. House representative] or someone in his/her office?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No

Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780231 1980: V800963 1986: V860286 1990: V900307

VCF0966 SATISFIED W/RESPONSE FROM RUNNING OR RETIRING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did you get a response from [U.S. House representative] or someone in his/her office? (IF YES:) How satisfied were you with the response: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied?
Valid	1. Very satisfied 2. Somewhat satisfied 4. Not very satisfied 5. Not at all satisfied
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) received response; never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01:10 cases) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780232 1980: V800964 1986: V860287 1990: V900308

VCF0967 KNOW ANYONE (ELSE) WHO CONTACTED RUNNING OR RETIRING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Do you know anyone (else) who has contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House representative] or anyone in his/her office?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. No recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used
Notes	

GENERAL NOTE:
See VCF0961.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780233 1980: V800965 1986: V860288 1990: V900309

VCF0968 OTHER PERSON GET RESPONSE FROM RUNNING OR RETIRING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Do you know anyone (else) who has contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did this (person/group) get a response?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. Doesn't know (or DK/NA if knows) of anyone else; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780234 1980: V800966 1986: V860289 1990: V900310

VCF0969 OTHER PERSON SATISFIED WITH RESPONSE FR RUNNING/RETIRING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Do you know anyone (else) who has contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did this (person/group) get a response? 1978,1980: (IF YES:) Was this (person/group) satisfied with the response? 1986,1990: (IF YES:) How satisfied was this (person/group) with the response?
Valid	1. Very satisfied (1978,1980: Yes; satisfied) 2. Somewhat satisfied 4. Not very satisfied (1978,1980: Somewhat dissatisfied) 5. Not at all satisfied (1978,1980: No; not satisfied)
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. Person/group did not get (of DK/NA if got) a response; R does not know (or DK/NA if knows) of anyone else; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1978: V780235 1980: V800967 1986: V860290 1990: V900311
----------------	--

VCF0970 WOULD RUNNING OR RETIRING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT BE HELPFUL WITH PROBLEM

Question	If you had (another/a) problem that [retiring OR running U.S. House representative] could do something about, do you think (he/she) would be very helpful, somewhat helpful, or not very helpful?
Valid	1. Very helpful 2. Somewhat helpful 3. Not very helpful 7. Depends
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986); short form (1992) 0. No recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780236 1980: V800968 1986: V860291 1990: V900312

VCF0971 RUNNING OR RETIRING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT DONE ANYTHING SPECIAL FOR DISTRICT

Question	Do you happen to remember anything special that [retiring OR running U.S. House representative] has done for his/her district or for the people of his/her district while s/he has been in Congress?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. No recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780237 1980: V800969 1986: V860292 1990: V900316

VCF0972 RECALL NAMES OF U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATES

Question	ALL YEARS EXC. 2000: Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress—that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington— [1996-LATER: Who ran in the November election from this district?] that ran in this district in November?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No; DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; form II,III, IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	2000 NOTE: Asked pre-election. All other years asked post-election
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1958: V580095 1964: V640307 1966: V660088 1968: V680378,V680379 1970: V700202(type 0) 1972: V720945 1974: V742214 1978: V780115 1980: V800823 1982: V820098 1984: V840737 1986: V860103 1988: V880565 1990: V900107 1992: V925109 1994: V940210 1996: V961006 1998: V980223 2000: V000343

VCF0973 RECALL CORRECT NAME OF U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE

Question	Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress—that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington— [1996-LATER: Who ran in the November election from this district?] that ran in this district in November? (IF YES:) Who were they? (1996-LATER:) What is [NAME'S] party?
Valid	1. NAME=valid, PARTY: correct 2. NAME=valid, PARTY: incorrect 3. NAME=valid, PARTY: DK/NA 4. NAME=invalid, PARTY: any 5. NAME=invalid, PARTY: DK/NA 6. NAME=DK/NA, PARTY: any 7. NAME=DK, PARTY: DK/NA; NAME=NA, PARTY: DK/NA; R says s/he recalls no names in VCF0972
Missing	9. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C.; short form (1992); NA if R remembers any names (9 in VCF0972); NA name and DK party (1978 only); R's district misidentified and R said he/she remembered a name INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: 1958, 1964-1974 data were not coded comparably and are excluded.

1978 NOTE:
The second part of code 7 does not apply; it was combined with other missing data (code 9).
2000 NOTE:
Cases have been coded for 0 recalls in VCF0973-VCF0975 and in VCF0976 for the following: 1 case with district identification NA where R said he/she recalled no names; 2 cases with district misidentified (see VCF0900) where R said he/she recalled no names. The last of 3 cases with misidentified district produced a single recall from R and has been coded 9 in VCF0973-VCF0975 and 99 in VCF0976.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780118 1980: V800826 1982: V820102 1984: V840741 1986: V860107 1988: V880569 1990: V900111 1992: V925113 1994: V940214 1996: V961010 1998: V980227 2000: V000347

VCF0974 RECALL CORRECT NAME OF U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE

Question	Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress—that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington— [1996-LATER: Who ran in the November election from this district?] that ran in this district in November? (IF YES: Who were they? (2ND MENTION) [1996-LATER:] What was (NAME'S) party?
Valid	1. NAME:valid, PARTY: correct 2. NAME:valid, PARTY: incorrect 3. NAME:valid, PARTY: DK/NA 4. NAME:invalid, PARTY: any 5. NAME:invalid, PARTY: DK/NA 6. NAME:DK/NA, PARTY: any 7. NAME:DK, PARTY: DK/NA; NAME:NA, PARTY: DK/NA; R says s/he recalls no names in VCF0972; No second mention
Missing	9. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C.; short form (1992); NA if R remembers any names (9 in 972); NA and DK party (1978 only); R's district misidentified and R said he/she remembered a name INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0973.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780121 1980: V800829 1982: V820106 1984: V840745 1986: V860111 1988: V880573 1990: V900115 1992: V925117 1994: V940218 1996: V961014

1998: V980231
2000: V000351

VCF0975 RECALL CORRECT NAME OF U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE

Question Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress—that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington— [1996-LATER: Who ran in the November election from this district?] that ran in this district in November? (IF YES:) Who were they? (3RD MENTION) [1996-LATER:] What was (NAME'S) party?

Valid 1. NAME:valid, PARTY: correct
2. NAME:valid, PARTY: incorrect
3. NAME:valid, PARTY: DK/NA
4. NAME:invalid, PARTY: any
5. NAME:invalid, PARTY: DK/NA
6. NAME:DK/NA, PARTY: any;
7. NAME:DK, PARTY: DK/NA;
NAME:NA, PARTY: DK/NA;
R says s/he recalls no names in VCF0972;
No second or third interview

Missing 9. abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C.; short form (1992); NA if R remembers any names (9 in 972); NA name and DK party (1978 only); R's district misidentified and R said he/she remembered a name INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
See VCF0973.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1978: V780124
1980: V800832
1982: V820110
1984: V840749
1986: V860115
1988: V880577
1990: V900119
1992: V925121
1994: V940222
1996: V961018
1998: V980235
2000: V000355

VCF0976 NUMBER OF RECALLED NAMES OF U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATES

Question Summary: number of correct recalls– U.S. House candidates

Valid NONAME CORRECT
00. R's recall of any name(s) incorrect/DK/NA; any party mention(s) OR party mentions DK/NA; R says s/he recalls no names in VCF0972
ONE CORRECT NAME
10. R's recall correct for one name; party mention incorrect/DK/NA
11. R's recall correct for one name; party mention correct
TWO CORRECT NAMES
20. R's recall correct for 2 names; both party mentions incorrect/ DK/NA

21. R's recall correct for 2 names; one party mention correct
 22. R's recall correct for 2 names; both party mentions correct
 THREE CORRECT NAMES
 30. R's recall correct for 3 names; all party mentions incorrect/DK/NA
 31. R's recall correct for 3 names; one party mention correct
 32. R's recall correct for 3 names; two party mentions correct
 33. R's recall correct for 3 names; all party mentions correct

Missing	99. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW ; Washington D.C.; short form (1992); NA if R remembers any names (9 in VCF0972); R's district misidentified and R said he/she remembered a name INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Based on VCF0973 - VCF0975
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780115,V780118,V780121,V780124 1980: V800823,V800826,V800829,V800832 1982: V820098,V820102,V820106,V820110 1984: V840737,V840741,V840745,V840749 1986: V860103,V860107,V860111,V860115 1988: V880569,V880573,V880577 1990: V900111,V900115,V900119 1992: V925113,V925117,V925121 1994: V940214,V940218,V940222 1996: V961010,V961014,V961018 1998: V980227,V980231,V980235 2000: V000347,V000351,V000355

VCF0977 KNOW IF ONE U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE WAS ALREADY IN THE U.S. HOUSE

Question	1958,1964,1966: Do you happen to know if (either/the) candidate is already in Congress? 1968: Do you happen to know if (either one of these candidates/if he) is already in Congress: 1978 AND LATER: Do you happen to know if [candidate in district where only 1 candidate ran] / either [first candidate in district where 2 candidates ran] or [second candidate in district where 2 candidates ran] was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?
Valid	1. Yes, candidate was/ one candidate was/ (some years: [see VCF0978]: both) 3. No, candidate wasn't/neither candidate was 5. DK
Missing	9. NA; no major party candidate running; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone (1984); Washington D.C.; short form (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	1968 NOTE: In 1968, 27 respondents were administered incorrect candidate names; these cases are coded 9. 1996 NOTE: For districts with 2 major party candidates, the order in which the Democratic and Republican House candidate names were read within the question text was randomized. 1998 NOTE: same as 1996 2000 NOTE: same as 1996
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1958: V580097 1964: V640312 1966: V660093 1968: V680383 1978: V780180 1980: V800912 1982: V820169 1984: V840929,V840932 1986: V860213,V860216 1988: V880708,V880711 1990: V900231,V900234 1992: V925435,V925438 1994: V940431,V940434 1996: V961068,V961070 1998: V980299,V980301 2000: V001353a,V001354
----------------	---

VCF0978 CORRECT WHETHER A U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE WAS ALREADY IN OFFICE

Question	<p>1958, 1964, 1966: Do you happen to know if (either/the) candidate is already in Congress? (IF YES AND 2 MAJOR PARTY CANDIDATES IN DISTRICT:) Which (candidate) was that?</p> <p>1968: Do you happen to know if (either one of these candidates/if he) is already in Congress? (IF YES AND 2 MAJOR PARTY CANDIDATES IN DISTRICT:) Which one?</p> <p>1978 AND LATER: Do you happen to know if [candidate in district where only 1 candidate ran] / either [first candidate in district where 2 candidates ran] or [second candidate in district where 2 candidates ran] was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election? (IF YES AND 2 MAJOR PARTY CANDIDATES IN DISTRICT:) Which (candidate) was that?</p>
Valid	<p>1. CORRECT: correct incumbency status; correct candidate [districts w/incumbents running with major party opposition]</p> <p>2. INCORRECT: incorrect incumbency status; incorrect candidate [districts w/incumbents running with major party opposition]</p>
Missing	<p>9. DK if incumbent; DK which is incumbent; NA if incumbent; NA which is incumbent; no major party candidate running; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C.; short form (1992) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>See VCF0977. GENERAL NOTE: If no incumbent was running in R's district and R replied 'yes' (1 or 2 major party candidates), then 2 has been coded. If an incumbent was running unopposed in R's district and R answered 'yes,' then 1 has been coded. If an incumbent was running with major party opposition in R's district and R answered 'yes,' then R has been coded according to whether R correctly identified WHICH candidate was the incumbent. If R responded that 'both' candidates were incumbents but R did not live in one of the districts where two 'incumbents' were actually running (due to redistricting), then R's response has been considered 'incorrect'. If, however, there actually were two 'incumbents' in R's congressional district, then an answer of 'both' OR an answer of either of the two 'incumbents' names has been considered 'correct'. 2000 DATA: 1 case where respondent's district was NA and 3 cases where respondent district was misidentified have been coded 9.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1958: V580097
	1964: V640312
	1966: V660094
	1968: V680385
	1978: V780180,V780181
	1980: V800913
	1982: V820172
	1984: V840929,V840932
	1986: V860213,V860216
	1988: V880709,V880712
	1990: V900232,V900235
	1992: V925436,V925439
	1994: V940432,V940435
	1996: V961068,V961070
1998: V980299,V980301	
2000: V000194,V001353b,V001354	

VCF0980 DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND: RESPONDENT LIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes) 1. One negative mention (dislikes) 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes) 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes) 4. Four or more negative mentions (dislikes)
Missing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered) 7. DK if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but DK what 8. No Democratic candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.) 9. NA if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE:</p> <p>Four possible mentions were coded in all original study datasets except 1988 and later, which coded 5.</p> <p>Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you liked about the US House Democratic candidate?' have been coded here as 0 mentions. 'DK' responses to this question and 'I don't know anything about this candidate' responses have been maintained as MD codes, unlike VCF0401-402 and VCF0405-406, because of the very high numbers of respondents falling into these categories [for consistency, NA responses to this question have also been retained as MD]. In addition, if R responded 'yes' to 'Is there anything in particular that you liked about the US House Democratic candidate' but R provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention), then R has been coded in the corresponding MD category in VCF0980.</p> <p>In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order in which the Democratic and Republican House candidate names were administered for the set of 'likes/dislikes' questions.</p> <p>1984 AND 1992 NOTE:</p> <p>For 1984 and 1992: if R was in a district where no Democratic candidate was running BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone interview (1984) or a short form interview (1992), then VCF0980 has been coded 9, not 8.</p> <p>1998 NOTE:</p> <p>1 case (0062) that was coded 'yes' in the 1998 study data as response whether there was anything R liked about the Democratic House candidate should have been coded 'I don't know anything about this candidate' but has been correctly categorized in variables VCF0980 and VCF0981.</p>

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780160,V780161,V780162,V780163,V780164 1980: V800892,V800893,V800894,V800895,V800896 1982: V820148,V820149,V820150,V820151,V820152 1984: V840908,V840909,V840910,V840911,V840912 1986: V860184,V860185,V860186,V860187,V860188 1988: V880674,V880675,V880676,V880677,V880678,V880679 1990: V900198,V900199,V900200,V900201,V900202,V900203 1992: V925401,V925402,V925403,V925404,V925405,V925406 1994: V940401,V940402,V940403,V940404,V940405,V940406 1996: V961044,V961045,V961046,V961047,V961048,V961049 1998: V980275,V980276,V980277,V980278,V980279,V980280 2000: V001328,V001329,V001330,V001331,V001332,V001333

VCF0981 DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND: RESPONDENT DISLIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is/Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about [U.S. House Democratic candidate]? What is/was that? Anything else?
Valid	0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes) 1. One negative mention (dislikes) 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes) 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes) 4. Four or more negative mentions (dislikes)
Missing	6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered) 7. DK if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but DK what 8. No Democratic candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.) 9. NA if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); District NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0980.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780165,V780166,V780167,V780168,V780169 1980: V800897,V800898,V800899,V800900,V800901 1982: V820153,V820154,V820155,V820156,V820157 1984: V840913,V840914,V840915,V840916,V840917 1986: V860189,V860190,V860191,V860192,V860193 1988: V880680,V880681,V880682,V880683,V880684,V880685 1990: V900204,V900205,V900206,V900207,V900208,V900209 1992: V925407,V925408,V925409,V925410,V925411,V925412 1994: V940407,V940408,V940409,V940410,V940411,V940412 1996: V961050,V961051,V961052,V961053,V961054,V961055 1998: V980281,V980282,V980283,V980284,V980285,V980286 2000: V001334,V001335,V001336,V001337,V001338,V001339

VCF0982 DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Affect toward Democratic House candidate
-----------------	--

Missing	99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0980,VCF0981) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic House candidate 'likes' minus the number of Democratic House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0980-VCF0981).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0983 DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Salience of Democratic House candidate
Valid	0. Zero mentions . . 8. Eight or more mentions
Missing	99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0980,VCF0981) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic House candidate 'likes' plus the number of Democratic House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0980+VCF0981).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0984 REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND: RESPONDENT LIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is/Was there anything in particular that you liked about [U.S. House Republican candidate]? What is/was that? Anything else?
Valid	0. Zero positive mentions (likes) 1. One positive mention (likes) 2. Two positive mentions (likes) 3. Three positive mentions (likes) 4. Four or more positive mentions (likes)
Missing	6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered) 7. DK if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but DK what 8. No Republican candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.) 9. NA if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form 1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Four possible mentions were coded in all original study datasets except 1988 and later, which coded 5. Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you liked about the US House Republican candidate?' have been coded here as 0 mentions. 'DK' responses to this question and 'I don't know anything about this candidate' responses have been maintained as MD codes, unlike VCF0401-402 and VCF0405-406, because of the very high numbers of respondents falling into these categories [for consistency, NA responses to this question have also been retained as MD]. In addition, if R responded 'yes' to 'Is there anything in particular

that you liked about the US House Republican candidate' but R provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention), then R has been coded in the corresponding MD category in VCF0980.

In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order in which the Democratic and Republican House candidate names were administered for the set of 'likes/dislikes' questions.

1984 AND 1992 NOTE:
For 1984 and 1992: if R was in a district where no Republican candidate was running BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone interview (1984) or a short form interview (1992), then VCF0980 has been coded 9, not 8.

1998 NOTE:
1 case (0062) that was coded 'yes' in the 1998 study data as response whether there was anything R liked about the Republican House candidate should have been coded 'I don't know anything about this candidate' but has been correctly categorized in variables VCF0980 and VCF0981.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780170,V780171,V780172,V780173,V780174 1980: V800902,V800903,V800904,V800905,V800906 1982: V820158,V820159,V820160,V820161,V820162 1984: V840918,V840919,V840920,V840921,V840922 1986: V860194,V860195,V860196,V860197,V860198 1988: V880686,V880687,V880688,V880689,V880690,V880691 1990: V900210,V900211,V900212,V900213,V900214,V900215 1992: V925413,V925414,V925415,V925416,V925417,V925418 1994: V940413,V940414,V940415,V940416,V940417,V940418 1996: V961056,V961057,V961058,V961059,V961060,V961061 1998: V980287,V980288,V980289,V980290,V980291,V980292 2000: V001340,V001341,V001342,V001343,V001344,V001345

VCF0985 REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND: RESPONDENT DISLIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is/Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about [U.S. House Republican candidate]? What is/was that? Anything else?
Valid	0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes) 1. One negative mention (dislikes) 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes) 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes) 4. Four or more negative mentions (dislikes)
Missing	6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered) 7. DK if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but DK what 8. No Republican candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.) 9. NA if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0984.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780175,V780176,V780177,V780178,V780179 1980: V800907,V800908,V800909,V800910,V800911 1982: V820163,V820164,V820165,V820166,V820167 1984: V840923,V840924,V840925,V840926,V840927 1986: V860199,V860200,V860201,V860202,V860203 1988: V880692,V880693,V880694,V880695,V880696,V880697 1990: V900216,V900217,V900218,V900219,V900220,V900221 1992: V925419,V925420,V925421,V925422,V925423,V925424 1994: V940419,V940420,V940421,V940422,V940423,V940424

1996: V961062,V961063,V961064,V961065,V961066,V961067
 1998: V980293,V980294,V980295,V980296,V980297,V980298
 2000: V001346,V001347,V001348,V001349,V001350,V001351

VCF0986 REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND: AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Affect toward Republican House candidate
Missing	99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0984,VCF0985) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Republican House candidate 'likes' minus the number of Republican House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0984 and VCF0985).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0987 REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND: SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Salience of Republican House candidate
Valid	0. Zero mentions . . 8. Eight or more mentions
Missing	99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0984,VCF0985) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Republican House candidate 'likes' plus the number of Republican House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0984+VCF0985).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0988 REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Net affect toward major party House candidates
Missing	999. MD (codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0980,VCF0981,VCF0984,VCF0985) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The net affect toward major party House candidates is the difference between two sums: the sum of Democratic House candidate 'likes' and Republican House candidate 'dislikes' MINUS the sum of Democratic House candidate 'dislikes' and Republican House candidate 'likes.' [VCF0980+VCF0985] - [VCF0981+VCF0984], which is the same as: VCF0982-VCF0986.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
---------------	----------------------------

VCF0989 U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Major party House candidate salience
Valid	0. Zero mentions . . 16. Sixteen or more mentions
Missing	99. MD (codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0980,VCF0981,VCF0984,VCF0985) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Major party House candidate salience is the sum of all 'likes' and 'dislikes' about both the Democratic and Republican House candidates: [VCF0980+VCF0981] + [VCF0984+VCF0985], which is the same as: VCF0983+VCF0987.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0990 U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE RELATIVE SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Relative salience of major party House candidates
Missing	999. MD (codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0980,VCF0981,VCF0984,VCF0985) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Relative salience of major party House candidates is the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Democratic House candidate MINUS the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican House candidate: [VCF0980+VCF0981] - [VCF0984+VCF0985], which is the same as: VCF0983-VCF0987.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0991 APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way [1980-2004: running U.S. House incumbent Representative; 2012: U.S. House incumbent Representative] has been handling his/her job?
Valid	1. Approve 5. Disapprove 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992) 0. No running incumbent (includes Washington D.C.)

 INAP. question not used
Notes

1984 NOTE:

If R is in a district where no incumbent was running or where the incumbent was unopposed (or opposed by a non-major party challenger) BUT the interview administered was a telephone IW, then VCF0991 has been coded 9, not 0.

2012-later NOTE:

Approval of House incumbent was asked about the U.S. House Representative who was in office and representing the location of respondent household prior to the elections, regardless whether that Representative ran in the House elections held during the year of study or whether redistricting had taken place.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1980: V800972
 1982: V820212,V820249,V820266
 1984: V840967
 1986: V860353
 1988: V880789
 1990: V900058,V900404
 1992: V925710
 1994: V940637
 1996: V961123
 1998: V980326
 2000: V001358
 2004: V045091,V045092
 2012: hseinc_approval
 2016: V162114
 2020: V202200

VCF0992 APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF U.S. CONGRESS

Question

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

Valid

1. Approve
 5. Disapprove
 8. DK; pro-con; both

Missing

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984)
 INAP. question not used

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1980: V800783
 1982: V820063
 1984: V840843
 1986: V860100
 1988: V880880
 1990: V900164
 1992: V925949
 1994: V940320
 1996: V960270
 1998: V980236
 2000: V000356
 2002: V023008
 2004: V043036
 2012: congapp_job
 2016: V161080
 2020: V201124

VCF0993 INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND: RESPONDENT LIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is there anything in particular that you liked about [U.S. House incumbent candidate] What is that? Anything else?
Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0. Zero positive mentions (likes) 1. One positive mention (likes) 2. Two positive mentions (likes) 3. Three positive mentions (likes) 4. Four or more positive mentions (likes)
Missing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered) 7. DK if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but DK what 8. No running incumbent candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.) 9. NA if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0980,VCF0984.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0994 INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND: RESPONDENT DISLIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is there anything in particular that you didn't like about [U.S. House incumbent candidate] What is that? Anything else?
Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes) 1. One negative mention (dislikes) 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes) 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes) 4. Four or more negative mentions (dislikes)
Missing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered) 7. DK if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but DK what 8. No running incumbent candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.) 9. NA if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0981,VCF0985.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0995 INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND: AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Affect toward incumbent House candidates
-----------------	---

Missing	99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0993,VCF0994) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of incumbent House candidate 'likes' minus the number of incumbent House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0993-VCF0994).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0996 INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND: SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Salience of incumbent House candidates
Valid	0. Zero mentions . . 8. Eight or more mentions
Missing	99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0993,VCF0994) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of incumbent House candidate 'likes' plus the number of incumbent House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0993+VCF0994).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0997 CHALLENGER TO INCUM U.S. HOUSE CAND: RESPONDENT LIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is there anything in particular that you liked about [challenger to U.S. House incumbent candidate] What is that? Anything else?
Valid	0. Zero positive mentions (likes) 1. One positive mention (likes) 2. Two positive mentions (likes) 3. Three positive mentions (likes) 4. Four or more positive mentions (likes)
Missing	6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered) 7. DK if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but DK what 8. No running incumbent candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.) or incumbent not challenged 9. NA if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); District NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0980,VCF0984.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0998 CHALLENGER TO INCUM U.S. HOUSE CAND: RESPONDENT DISLIKES - NUMBER OF MENTIONS

Question	Is there anything in particular that you didn't like about [challenger to U.S. House incumbent candidate] What is that? Anything else?
Valid	0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes) 1. One negative mention (dislikes) 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes) 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes) 4. Four or more negative mentions (dislikes)
Missing	6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (VOLUNTEERED) 7. DK if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but DK what 8. No running incumbent candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.) or incumbent not challenged 9. NA if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0981,VCF0985.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0999 CHALLENGER TO INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND: AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Affect toward challenger to incumbent House candidates
Missing	99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0997,VCF0998) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of House challenger candidate 'likes' minus the number of House challenger candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0997-VCF0998).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1000 CHALLENGER TO INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND: SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Salience of challenger to incumbent House candidates
Valid	0. Zero mentions . . 8. Eight or more mentions
Missing	99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0997,VCF0998) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of House challenger candidate 'likes' plus the number of House challenger candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0997+VCF0998).

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
---------------	----------------------------

VCF1001 U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE AFFECT (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Net affect toward incumbent and challenger House candidates
Missing	999. MD (codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0993,VCF0994,VCF0997,VCF0998) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The net affect toward incumbent and challenger House candidates is the difference between two sums: the sum of incumbent House candidate 'likes' and challenger House candidate 'dislikes' MINUS the sum of incumbent House candidate 'dislikes' and challenger House candidate 'likes.' [VCF0993+VCF0998] - [VCF0994+VCF0997], which is the same as: VCF0995-VCF0999.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1002 U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Incumbent and challenger House candidate salience
Valid	0. Zero mentions . . 16. Sixteen or more mentions
Missing	99. MD (codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0993,VCF0994,VCF0997,VCF0998) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Incumbent and challenger House candidate salience is the sum of all 'likes' and 'dislikes' about both the incumbent and challenger House candidates: [VCF0993+VCF0994] + [VCF0997+VCF0998], which is the same as: VCF0996+VCF1000.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1003 U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE RELATIVE SALIENCE (LIKES-DISLIKES)

Question	Relative salience of Incumbent and challenger House candidates
Missing	999. MD(codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0993,VCF0994,VCF0997,VCF0998) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Relative salience of incumbent and challenger House candidates is the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the incumbent House candidate MINUS the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the challenger House candidate: [VCF0993+VCF0994] - [VCF0997+VCF0998], which is the same as: VCF0996-VCF1000.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1004 PARTY OF RESPONDENT/PARTY OF U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT FROM DISTRICT OF IW

Question	PartyID of respondent and House incumbent
Valid	1. R is:Democrat; INCUMBENT:Democrat 2. R is:Republican; INCUMBENT:Republican 3. R is:Democrat; INCUMBENT:Republican 4. R is:Republican; INCUMBENT:Democrat
Missing	9. R does not have major party partisanship or NA if has major party partisanship; no incumbent running; Washington D.C.; California (1974 only: due to redistricting type of race could not be determined); incumbent is not member of major party (1994,2000); INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: This variable has been created from R's party ID (VCF0301) and from type of congressional race (VCF0902). The respondent has been considered a partisan if R was coded as 'strong' 'weak' or leaning.</p> <p>WARNING: In years prior to 1978, no 'type of race' var was present in study datasets. Data were added, however, to 'type of race' cumulative file var 902 for 1970, 1974 and 1976 using a lengthy case-by-case procedure. Although the resultant 'type of race' data have been checked to some extent, the possibility of some error must be recognized: the 'type of race' established for 1970, 1974 and 1976-- and, as a further result, data in VCF1004 and VCF1005 for 1970, 1974 and 1976 (which have been built using type-of-race data)-- should be used with caution and at the user's risk.</p> <p>2016 NOTE: Built from VCF0902 and CF0301.</p>

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

- 1970: V700184(type 0)
- 1974: V742204
- 1976: V763174
- 1978: V780004,V780433
- 1980: V800266,V800740
- 1982: V820006,V820291
- 1984: V840059,V840318
- 1986: V860043,V860300
- 1988: V880050,V880274
- 1990: V900058,V900320
- 1992: V923021,V923634
- 1994: V940017,V940655
- 1996: V960420,V960097
- 1998: V980339,V980065
- 2000: V000194,V000523
- 2002: V023036,V024500a
- 2004: V043116,V044502
- 2012: pid_self,pid_x,typeace2012_x

VCF1005 PARTY OF VOTER RESPONDENT/ PARTY OF U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	Voter from same major party as House incumbent?
Valid	<p>1. R Voted: R is partisan of same party as incumbent</p> <p>2. R Voted: R is partisan of same major party as challenger OR (unopposed races) R is partisan of major party different from incumbent's party</p> <p>3. R is major party partisan but R did not vote, DK/NA if voted, or R voted but not (or DK/NA if) in House race</p>
Missing	<p>9. R does not have major party partisanship or NA if has major party partisanship; no incumbent running; Washington D.C.; no Post IW; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of race could not be determined); incumbent is not member of major party; district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>See VCF1004</p> <p>GENERAL NOTE: If R has no major party partisanship AND did not vote, then s/he appears in code 9, not code 3.</p> <p>2016 NOTE: Built using VCF1004 in addition to 2016 vars.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1970: V700184,V700213</p> <p>1974: V742204,V742320</p> <p>1976: V763174,V763671</p> <p>1978: V780004,V780433,V780473</p> <p>1980: V800266,V800740,V800997</p> <p>1982: V820006,V820291,V820505</p> <p>1984: V840059,V840318,V840791</p> <p>1986: V860043,V860265,V860300</p> <p>1988: V880050,V880274,V880766</p> <p>1990: V900058,V900279,V900320</p> <p>1992: V923021,V923634,V925601</p> <p>1994: V940017,V940655,V940601</p> <p>1996: V960420,V960097,V961074,V961087</p> <p>1998: V980339,V980065,V980303,V980311</p> <p>2000: V000194,V000523</p> <p>2002: V023038x,V025020,V025028a</p> <p>2004: V043116,V044502,V045018x,V045031x</p> <p>2012: hsevote2012_x,pid_self,pid_x,typerace2012_x</p> <p>2016: V162039,V161036</p>

VCF1006 CANDIDATE CODE OF CORRECTLY RECALLED U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE FROM IW DISTRICT

Question	Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress—that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington—who ran in this district this November [1996-LATER: the November election from this district]? (IF YES:) Who were they? 1996-LATER: What was [House candidate's] party? FIRST HOUSE CANDIDATE RECALL- CANDIDATE CODE
Valid	<p>31. Democratic candidate in race without running incumbent</p> <p>32. Republican candidate in race without running incumbent</p> <p>33. Democratic incumbent</p> <p>34. Republican incumbent</p> <p>35. Democratic challenger to Republican incumbent</p> <p>36. Republican challenger to Democratic incumbent</p> <p>39. Incumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate</p> <p>80. Nonincumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate [not used after 1988: combined into code 97]</p>
Missing	

97. Name given not on candidate list for House
 99. R says s/he remembers names but when asked for name replies DK or NA;
 R says s/he does not remember any (or DK/NA if remembers any) names;
 Washington D.C.; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of
 race could not be determined); no Post IW; short form (1992)
 INAP. question not used

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:
 In the original ANES datasets 1978 and later, the recall variables which appeared had codes comparable to the codes appearing VCF1006-VCF1008. Prior to 1978, however, recall vars in ANES datasets were coded quite differently, and translating pre-1978 recall data into VCF1006-1008 data involves lengthy case-by-case consultation to reference sources for type of race in R's congressional district. Among pre-1978 studies, recall data have been incorporated for 1970 and 1974 only. NO attempt has been made to include recall data from ANES studies prior to 1970.
 1970 AND 1974 NOTE:
 every House candidate was assigned a unique candidate number. Correct names of candidates given by R in response to the recall question were coded within 1970 Study data by that individual candidate number. Indications are that, if the name mentioned by the respondent was not on a complete list of candidates and candidate numbers which existed for R's STATE, then the response was simply coded as 'incorrect.' However, it is not apparent that any attempt was made to ascertain whether a name 'correctly' given was actually a correct name from the congressional race in R's own district: if R correctly named a candidate from another- or wrong- district within the state, the response was still included among 'correct' responses. For VCF1006-VCF1008, individual candidate numbers within the original 1970 recall var have been examined on a case-by-case basis to determine if the candidate number given by R corresponded to a name from the race in R's own congressional district. If so, the response has been included as a recall. If not, the response has been coded as no recall (99 MD). This same procedure was followed for including 1974 data, although the 1974 study's method for handling individual candidate codes of names outside R's district of residence was not specifically described in any 1974 study documentation. Additional NOTE for 1970 and 1974: to create the specific candidate-type codes which appear in CDF VCF1006-VCF1008, each correctly recalled name (once determined appropriate to R's congressional district) was checked individually according to type of race to determine the named candidate's exact status (e.g., Republican incumbent, etc).
 WARNING NOTE:
 The procedures used in the creation of VCF1006-1008 for 1970 and 1974 produced data that have been checked somewhat, but perfect accuracy cannot be completely guaranteed due to the nature of attempting case-by-case correction. Data in VCF1006-1008 for 1970 and 1974 should be used with caution and at the user's risk.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1970: V700203(type 0)
 1974: V742215
 1978: V780116
 1980: V800824
 1982: V820100
 1984: V840738
 1986: V860104
 1988: V880566
 1990: V900108
 1992: V925110
 1994: V940211
 1996: V961007
 1998: V980224
 2000: V001207

VCF1007 CANDIDATE CODE OF CORRECTLY RECALLED U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE FROM IW DISTRICT

Question	Second candidate recall
Valid	31. Democratic candidate in race without running incumbent 32. Republican candidate in race without running incumbent 33. Democratic incumbent 34. Republican incumbent 35. Democratic challenger to Republican incumbent 36. Republican challenger to Democratic incumbent 39. Incumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate 80. Nonincumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate [not used after 1988: combined into code 97]
Missing	97. Name given not on candidate list for House 99. R says s/he remembers names but when asked for name replies DK or NA; R says s/he does not remember any (or DK/NA if remembers any) names; Washington D.C.; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of race could not be determined); no further mention; no Post IW; short form (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF1006.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700203(type 0) 1974: V742216 1978: V780119 1980: V800827 1982: V820104 1984: V840742 1986: V860108 1988: V880570 1990: V900112 1992: V925114 1994: V940215 1996: V961011 1998: V980228 2000: V001211

VCF1008 CANDIDATE CODE OF CORRECTLY RECALLED U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE FROM IW DISTRICT

Question	Third candidate recall
Valid	31. Democratic candidate in race without running incumbent 32. Republican candidate in race without running incumbent 33. Democratic incumbent 34. Republican incumbent 35. Democratic challenger to Republican incumbent 36. Republican challenger to Democratic incumbent 39. Incumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate 80. Nonincumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate [not used after 1988: combined into code 97]
Missing	97. Name given not on candidate list for House 99. R says s/he remembers names but when asked for name replies DK or NA; R says s/he does not remember any (or DK/NA if remembers any) names; Washington D.C.; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of race could not be determined); no further mention; no Post IW; short form (1992) INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF1006.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700203(type 0) 1974: V742217 1978: V780122 1980: V800830 1982: V820108 1984: V840746 1986: V860112 1988: V880574 1990: V900116 1992: V925118 1994: V940219 1996: V961015 1998: V980232 2000: V001215

VCF1009 PARTY OF RECALLED U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE NAME(S) FROM DISTRICT OF IW

Question	Recall summary: major party candidate recalls
Valid	1. 1 Recall: Democratic candidate 2. 1 Recall: Republican candidate 3. 2 Recalls: both major party candidates (in races contested by both major parties) 4. 0 Recalls: no major party candidate recall
Missing	9. Washington D.C.; no Post IW; short form (1992); NA if R recalls any candidate names INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF1006-VCF1008.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2000: V001207,V001211,V001215

VCF1010 IS RECALLED U.S. HOUSE CAND NAME FROM OWN PARTY

Question	Recall summary: does R recall own/other major party candidate?
Valid	1. 1 Recall: Own major party's candidate 2. 1 Recall: Other major party's candidate 3. 2 Recalls: both major party candidates (in races contested by both major parties) 4. 0 Recall: no major party candidate recall
Missing	9. Washington D.C.; no Post IW; short form (1992); NA if R recalls any candidate names; R has no major party partisanship or NA if has major party partisanship INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0301 and VCF1009. This variable has been created from R's party ID (VCF0301) and from

House recall summary VCF1009. The respondent has been considered a partisan if R was 'strong', 'weak' or 'leaning'.
If R has no major party candidate recall AND has no major party partisanship, then R is coded 9, not 4.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1011 RESPONDENT VOTE FOR U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE FROM OWN PARTY IN DISTRICT OF IW

Question	<p>1968,1970: How about the vote for Congressman. Did you vote for a candidate for Congress? (IF YES): Who did you vote for? Which party was that?</p> <p>1972: How about the election for Congressman—that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington? Which party's candidate did you vote for Congressman?</p> <p>1974,1976: How about the election for Congressman—that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for Congress? Whom did you vote for? Which party was that?</p> <p>1978 AND LATER: Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? Who did you vote for? (BALLOT CARD SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<p>1. R's Vote: for major party candidate from party same as R's partisanship 2. R's Vote: for major party candidate from party different than R's partisanship</p>
Missing	<p>9. R did not vote or DK/NA if voted; R voted but not (or DK/NA if) in House race; DK/NA who voted for; R has no major party partisanship; R voted for candidate whose name was not on candidate list (1978-1988) or for third party candidate(all years); no Post IW INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: The respondent has been considered a partisan if R was 'strong', 'weak' or 'leaning'. In 1978 and later, R selected name from ballot card. When R gave a name not on the candidate list, MD was coded, and party of vote was undetermined. In 1970-1976, every House candidate was assigned a unique candidate number. Rs who reported their House vote were coded into two variables, the first of which recorded the candidate number of the named candidate, if the name was correctly given. If the name mentioned by the respondent was not on the complete list of candidates and candidate numbers, then the response was simply coded as 'incorrect.' The respondent was then asked for the party of the candidate which s/he named. VCF1011-1013 WERE BUILT FOR 1970-1976 USING THE SECOND, OR 'PARTY OF VOTE,' VARIABLE (even in cases where the party given by R was not appropriate for a correctly rendered candidate name). [NOTE: if name given in 1970-1976 was 'incorrect' or DK/NA, 'party of vote' variable was still used unless missing data: if 'party of vote' was missing data and name was 'incorrect' or DK/NA, vote in VCF1011-1013 has been coded 9.] Note that VCF0707, VCF0736 vote vars were also created from this 'party of vote' variable for 1970-1976, but the vote data present in VCF1011-1013 differ slightly from that in VCF0707 and VCF0736 in 1970-1976 for the following cases: if R correctly gave the name of a candidate who was on the complete list of candidates and candidate numbers BUT did not provide any party designation (DK or NA) in the followup 'party of vote' var, then the party of the candidate correctly named in the first recall (name) var was ascertained and incorporated into VCF1011-1013. This procedure was not undertaken for 1970-1976 in vars VCF0707 and VCF0736, which retain these cases as missing data.</p>

Note that, because no ballot card was used, for 1968-1976 the party for which R claimed to have voted in the House election is represented in VCF1011-1013 even for so-called 'impossible' cases: e.g., when R declares R voted for a Democrat when R lives in a Congressional district in which only a Republican incumbent ran in the House election: in such a case, VCF1011-1013 are coded as if R did indeed vote for a Democrat.

1968 NOTE:

R's response to the House vote question was coded into a single summary variable which provided categories to establish whether R provided a correct or incorrect Democratic House candidate name, a correct or incorrect Republican House candidate name, a party mention only, or a minor party/write-in/unidentifiable candidate name. This 1968 variable was accompanied by the following NOTE: 'When R's mention of candidate name and party were inconsistent, the response was coded as if party were the dominant element. Example: R mentioned 'Burke, a Democrat' but Burke was a Republican. Response was coded 'incorrect Republican candidate.' For VCF1011-1013, party of R's 1968 House vote was taken from this variable.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1968: V680120,V680323 1970: V700184,V700215 1972: V720140,V720485 1974: V742204,V742322 1976: V763174,V763673 1978: V780433,V780474 1980: V800266,V800998 1982: V820291,V820506 1984: V840318,V840792 1986: V860267,V860300 1988: V880274,V880768 1990: V900289,V900320 1992: V923634,V925623 1994: V940655,V940614 1996: V961089 1998: V980313 2000: V000523,V001263 2002: V023038x,V025020,V025028a 2004: V043116,V045018x,V045031x,V045033x 2012: hsevote2012_x,pid_self,pid_x 2016: V161158x

VCF1012 RESPONDENT VOTE FOR INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE IN DISTRICT OF IW

Question	Did R vote for incumbent?
Valid	1. R's Vote: for incumbent candidate in race involving running incumbent 2. R's Vote: for candidate other than incumbent in race involving running incumbent (1970-1988: incl. 3rd party/ind. candidates)
Missing	9. R did not vote or DK/NA if voted; R voted but not (or DK/NA if) in House race; DK/NA who voted for; no incumbent running in congressional district; R voted for name not on candidate list (1978-1990); R voted for third party/ independent candidate who is not an incumbent (1990 and later); R voted out of district, NA if incumbent (91,92 in VCF0735); Washington D.C.; no Post IW; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of race could not be determined) INAP. question not used
Notes	See VCF1011. GENERAL NOTE: Beginning in 1990 Study data, third party and independent candidates were combined with unknown names coded in 'name not on candidate list'

(code 97) whenever the non-major party candidate was not on the Candidate List; only independent or 3rd party candidates who were on the Candidate List were given a separate code (code 30). In 1970-1988, independent or 3rd party candidates could be coded distinct from 'name not on candidate list' even if their name was NOT on the Candidate List. For 1970-1988, if R voted for a third party or independent candidate who was not the incumbent, then VCF1012 has been coded 2 even if the candidate's name was not on the Candidate List.

WARNING NOTE:

In years prior to 1978, no 'type of race' var was present in Study data. Data were added, however, to 'type of race' VCF0902 for 1970, 1974 and 1976 using a lengthy case-by-case procedure. Although the resultant 'type of race' data have been checked to some extent, the possibility of some error must be recognized: the 'type of race' established for 1970, 1974 and 1976-- and, as a further result, data in VCF1012 for 1970, 1974 and 1976-- should be used with caution and at the user's risk.

1974 NOTE:

California data for VCF1012 is not recoverable because 'type of race' in California districts for the 1974 election can not be determined.

1992 NOTE:

1 case [2063] which was erroneously coded to candidate code 34 for House vote but which should have been code 32 is coded 9 here.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700215(type 0) 1974: V742322 1976: V763673 1978: V780474 1980: V800998 1982: V820507 1984: V840792 1986: V860266 1988: V880767 1990: V900058,V900288 1992: V925622 1994: V940613 1996: V961088 1998: V980312 2000: V001262 2002: V025028a 2004: V045018x,V045031x,V045033x 2012: hsevot2012_x,typerace2012_hse,prevote_hsvtnobc, postvote_hsvtnobc 2016: V162067x,V161015a,V161016,V162026b,V162027

VCF1013 RESPONDENT VOTE FOR WINNING U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE IN DISTRICT OF IW

Question	Did R vote for winner?
Valid	1. R's Vote: for winner 2. R's Vote: for candidate other than the winner (incl. names not on candidate list)
Missing	9. R did not vote or DK/NA if voted; R voted but not (or DK/NA if) in House race; DK/NA who voted for; Washington D.C.; no Post IW; R voted outside his/her district of interview (1978-later only, not determinable prior to 1978) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built using VCF1014 (except 1974). See NOTES VCF1011 describing process which establishes who R voted for. NOTE the following exception for 1974 California cases: Rs who

gave the party of their vote BUT who gave an 'incorrect' [or DK/NA] name could not be traced to their congressional district number which was in effect after the 1974 redistricting in CA, so that party of winning candidate was unknown: all such cases have been coded 2 here [25 cases].

1974 NOTE:

The winners in the 1974 California House congressional races which were mistakenly overlooked in the 1974 Study release have been restored here. (NOTE, however, that 1974 California data for VCF1014 'Who Won' is not recoverable.)

WARNING NOTE:

The procedures used in the creation of the VCF1014 'winner' var produced data that have been checked somewhat, but perfect accuracy cannot be completely guaranteed due to the nature of attempting coding from reference material. The possibility of some error must be recognized and the 'winner' established in VCF1014 –and, as a further result, data in VCF1013 (which has been built using 'winner' data) – should be used with caution and at the user's risk.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1970: V700215(type 0) 1974: V742321,V742322 1976: V763673 1978: V780474 1980: V800998 1982: V820507 1984: V840793 1986: V860267 1988: V880768 1990: V900288 1992: V925622 1994: V940613 1996: V961088 1998: V980312 2000: V001262 2002: V024524,V025028a 2004: V044502,V044514b,V045018x,V045031x,V045033x 2012: hsevote2012_x 2016: V161010e,V161010f,V162067x,V161037,V162040,V161015a,V161016,V162026b,V162027

VCF1014 WINNING U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE IN DISTRICT OF IW

Question	Who won House race?
Valid	1. WINNER: incumbent, Democrat 2. WINNER: incumbent, Republican 3. WINNER: challenger, Republican (loser: Democratic incumbent) 4. WINNER: challenger, Democrat (loser: Republican incumbent) 5. WINNER: open-seat candidate, Democrat (race contested) 6. WINNER: open-seat candidate, Republican (race contested) 7. WINNER: uncontested open-seat candidate, Democrat 8. WINNER: uncontested open-seat candidate, Republican
Missing	9. Washington D.C.; California (1974 only, due to redistricting, type of race could not be determined); winner not from major party (2000); respondent district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	1970 NOTE: Warning: The procedures used in the creation of the VCF1014 'winner' for 1970 and 1974 produced data that have been checked somewhat, but perfect accuracy cannot be completely guaranteed due to the nature of attempting case- by-case coding from reference material. The possibility of some error must be recognized and the 'winner' established in VCF1014 should be used with caution and at the user's

risk.
 1974 NOTE:
 See 1970 warning.
 California data in 1974 for VCF1014 'Who Won' is not recoverable because 'type of race' in California districts for the 1974 election cannot be determined.
 2016 NOTE:
 For LA04, late identification of final candidates resulted in having type of race not determined. LA04 cases are coded 9.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1015 NUMBER OF DAYS PRE-ELECTION IW CONDUCTED BEFORE ELECTION

Question Number of days from Pre-election IW until day of election

Valid Exact number of days is coded, except:

Missing 99. NA; no Pre IW; abbrev. Pre IW (1980, see VCF0015)
 INAP. question not used

Notes See also VCF1016.
 GENERAL NOTE:
 studies are not designed to produce representative samples with respect time period.
 1992 NOTE:
 Two cases of interviews mistakenly coded 11/2/1992 in Study data should have been coded 11/3/1992 [election day but prior to voting]; the correct date has been used in the calculation here.
 2004 NOTE:
 When the beginning and ending date of pre interview are different, the ending date of pre interview (042010b) was used.
 2012 NOTE:
 One ftf case interviewed on election day Nov 6, 2012; several web cases began interview prior to election day, finished on election day.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1952: V520010
 1956: V560009
 1960: V600012
 1964: V640014
 1968: V680022
 1972: V720022
 1976: V763023
 1980: V800025
 1984: V840032
 1988: V880043,V880044
 1992: V923026,V923027
 1996: V960013
 2000: V000009
 2002: V022012
 2004: V042010a,V042010
 2012: admin_pre_fff_iwdatefin admin_pre_web2_iwdatefin
 2016: V164004
 2020: V203055

VCF1016 NUMBER OF DAYS POST-ELECTION IW CONDUCTED

AFTER ELECTION

Question	Number of days from day of election until day of Post-election IW
Valid	Exact number of days is coded, except:
Missing	99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE:</p> <p>Studies are not designed to produce representative samples with respect time period.</p> <p>Election days are as follows: 2008 Nov 4 2012 Nov 6 2004 Nov 2 2002 Nov 5 2000 Nov 7 1998 Nov 3 1996 Nov 5 1994 Nov 7 1992 Nov 3 1990 Nov 6 1988 Nov 8 1986 Nov 4 1984 Nov 6 1982 Nov 2 1980 Nov 4 1978 Nov 7 1976 Nov 2 1974 Nov 5 1972 Nov 7 1970 Nov 3 1968 Nov 5 1966 Nov 8 1964 Nov 3 1962 Nov 6 1960 Nov 8 1958 Nov 4 1956 Nov 6</p> <p>2004 NOTE:</p> <p>When the beginning and ending date of post interview are different, the ending date of post interview (044010b) was used.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560193 1958: V580011 1960: V600271 1964: V640275 1966: V660017 1968: V680272 1970: V700036(type 0) 1972: V720440 1974: VV74202 1976: V763525 1978: V780030 1980: V800033 1982: V820039 1984: V840050 1986: V860009 1988: V880063,V880064 1990: V900052,V900053 1992: V925005,V925006 1994: V940036 1996: V960904 1998: V980005 2000: V000131 2002: V024012 2004: V044010a,V044010 2012: admin_post_ftf_iwdatebeg admin_post_web1_iwdatebeg 2016: V165004 2020: V203080

VCF1017 THERMOMETER AVERAGE - U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATES

Question	Thermometers – both major party Congressional candidates
Valid	0-100 Degrees.
Missing	998. DK in VCF0906 or VCF0907 999. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0906 and VCF0907 as: $(VCF0906+VCF0907)/2$. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2020: V202145, V202146

VCF1018 THERMOMETER INDEX - U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATS

Question	Major party Congressional candidate thermometer index
Valid	0. Most Republican . 50. Neutral . 100. Most Democratic
Missing	998. DK in VCF0906 or VCF0907 999. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0906 and VCF0907 as: $(VCF0906-VCF0907 + 100)/2$. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1020 LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0980. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780160 1980: V800892 1982: V820148 1984: V840908 1986: V860184 1988: V880674 1990: V900198 1992: V925401 1994: V940401 1996: V961044 1998: V980275 2000: V001328

VCF1021a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	8888. DK (mention 1 only) 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780161 1980: V800893 1982: V820149 1984: V840909 1986: V860185 1988: V880675 1990: V900199 1992: V925402 1994: V940402 1996: V961045 1998: V980276 2000: V001329

VCF1021b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	88. DK (mention 1 only) 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1021a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	

1978: V780161
 1980: V800893
 1982: V820149
 1984: V840909
 1986: V860185
 1988: V880675
 1990: V900199
 1992: V925402
 1994: V940402
 1996: V961045
 1998: V980276
 2000: V001329

VCF1022a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

Valid 0001-8877

Missing INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
 1996 NOTE:
 Documentation for code 205 (1 case) has not been found.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1978: V780162
 1980: V800894
 1982: V820150
 1984: V840910
 1986: V860186
 1988: V880676
 1990: V900200
 1992: V925403
 1994: V940403
 1996: V961046
 1998: V980277
 2000: V001330

VCF1022b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

Valid 11. People within party (0001-0097)
 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)

-
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
 - 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
 - 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
 - 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
 - 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
 - 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
 - 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1022a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780162 1980: V800894 1982: V820150 1984: V840910 1986: V860186 1988: V880676 1990: V900200 1992: V925403 1994: V940403 1996: V961046 1998: V980277 2000: V001330

VCF1023a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780163 1980: V800895 1982: V820151 1984: V840911 1986: V860187 1988: V880677 1990: V900201 1992: V925404 1994: V940404 1996: V961047 1998: V980278 2000: V001331

VCF1023b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1023a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780163 1980: V800895 1982: V820151 1984: V840911 1986: V860187 1988: V880677 1990: V900201 1992: V925404 1994: V940404 1996: V961047 1998: V980278 2000: V001331

VCF1024a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780164 1980: V800896 1982: V820152 1984: V840912 1986: V860188

1988: V880678
 1990: V900202
 1992: V925405
 1994: V940405
 1996: V961048
 1998: V980279
 2000: V001332

VCF1024b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

Valid

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 Collapsed from full code VCF1024a.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

- 1978: V780164
- 1980: V800896
- 1982: V820152
- 1984: V840912
- 1986: V860188
- 1988: V880678
- 1990: V900202
- 1992: V925405
- 1994: V940405
- 1996: V961048
- 1998: V980279
- 2000: V001332

VCF1025a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

Valid 0001-8877

Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880679 1990: V900203 1992: V925406 1994: V940406 1996: V961049 1998: V980280 2000: V001333

VCF1025b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1025a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880679 1990: V900203 1992: V925406 1994: V940406 1996: V961049 1998: V980280 2000: V001333

VCF1026 DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
-----------------	--

Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0981. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780165 1980: V800897 1982: V820153 1984: V840913 1986: V860189 1988: V880680 1990: V900204 1992: V925407 1994: V940407 1996: V961050 1998: V980281 2000: V001334

VCF1027a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	8888. DK (mention 1 only) 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780166 1980: V800898 1982: V820154 1984: V840914 1986: V860190 1988: V880681 1990: V900205 1992: V925408 1994: V940408 1996: V961051 1998: V980282 2000: V001335

VCF1027b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	88. DK (mention 1 only) 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1027a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780166 1980: V800898 1982: V820154 1984: V840914 1986: V860190 1988: V880681 1990: V900205 1992: V925408 1994: V940408 1996: V961051 1998: V980282 2000: V001335

VCF1028a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780167 1980: V800899 1982: V820155

1984: V840915
 1986: V860191
 1988: V880682
 1990: V900206
 1992: V925409
 1994: V940409
 1996: V961052
 1998: V980283
 2000: V001336

VCF1028b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
 What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

Valid

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 Collapsed from full code VCF1028a.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

- 1978: V780167
- 1980: V800899
- 1982: V820155
- 1984: V840915
- 1986: V860191
- 1988: V880682
- 1990: V900206
- 1992: V925409
- 1994: V940409
- 1996: V961052
- 1998: V980283
- 2000: V001336

VCF1029a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
 What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780168 1980: V800900 1982: V820156 1984: V840916 1986: V860192 1988: V880683 1990: V900207 1992: V925410 1994: V940410 1996: V961053 1998: V980284 2000: V001337

VCF1029b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1029a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780168 1980: V800900 1982: V820156 1984: V840916 1986: V860192 1988: V880683 1990: V900207 1992: V925410 1994: V940410 1996: V961053 1998: V980284 2000: V001337

VCF1030a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780169 1980: V800901 1982: V820157 1984: V840917 1986: V860193 1988: V880684 1990: V900208 1992: V925411 1994: V940411 1996: V961054 1998: V980285 2000: V001338

VCF1030b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about (NAME), the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1030a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780169 1980: V800901 1982: V820157 1984: V840917 1986: V860193

1988: V880684
 1990: V900208
 1992: V925411
 1994: V940411
 1996: V961054
 1998: V980285
 2000: V001338

VCF1031a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

Valid 0001-8877

Missing INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1988: V880685
 1990: V900209
 1992: V925412
 1994: V940412
 1996: V961055
 1998: V980286
 2000: V001339

VCF1031b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

Valid 11. People within party (0001-0097)
 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
 31. Government management (0601-0697)
 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1031a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880685 1990: V900209 1992: V925412 1994: V940412 1996: V961055 1998: V980286 2000: V001339

VCF1032 LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0984. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780170 1980: V800902 1982: V820158 1984: V840918 1986: V860194 1988: V880686 1990: V900210 1992: V925413 1994: V940413 1996: V961056 1998: V980287 2000: V001340

VCF1033a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	8888. DK (mention 1 only) 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780171 1980: V800903 1982: V820159 1984: V840919 1986: V860195 1988: V880687 1990: V900211 1992: V925414 1994: V940414 1996: V961057 1998: V980288 2000: V001341

VCF1033b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	88. DK (mention 1 only) 89. RF, NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1033a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780171 1980: V800903 1982: V820159 1984: V840919 1986: V860195 1988: V880687 1990: V900211 1992: V925414 1994: V940414 1996: V961057 1998: V980288 2000: V001341

VCF1034a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780172 1980: V800904 1982: V820160 1984: V840920 1986: V860196 1988: V880688 1990: V900212 1992: V925415 1994: V940415 1996: V961058 1998: V980289 2000: V001342

VCF1034b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1034a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780172 1980: V800904 1982: V820160 1984: V840920 1986: V860196

1988: V880688
 1990: V900212
 1992: V925415
 1994: V940415
 1996: V961058
 1998: V980289
 2000: V001342

VCF1035a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

Valid 0001-8877

Missing INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1978: V780173
 1980: V800905
 1982: V820161
 1984: V840921
 1986: V860197
 1988: V880689
 1990: V900213
 1992: V925416
 1994: V940416
 1996: V961059
 1998: V980290
 2000: V001343

VCF1035b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

Valid 11. People within party (0001-0097)
 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
 31. Government management (0601-0697)
 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1035a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780173 1980: V800905 1982: V820161 1984: V840921 1986: V860197 1988: V880689 1990: V900213 1992: V925416 1994: V940416 1996: V961059 1998: V980290 2000: V001343

VCF1036a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780174 1980: V800906 1982: V820162 1984: V840922 1986: V860198 1988: V880690 1990: V900214 1992: V925417 1994: V940417 1996: V961060 1998: V980291 2000: V001344

VCF1036b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
-----------------	--

Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1036a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1978: V780174 1980: V800906 1982: V820162 1984: V840922 1986: V860198 1988: V880690 1990: V900214 1992: V925417 1994: V940417 1996: V961060 1998: V980291 2000: V001344

VCF1037a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1988: V880691 1990: V900215 1992: V925418 1994: V940418 1996: V961061 1998: V980292 2000: V001345

VCF1037b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?
Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1037a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1988: V880691 1990: V900215 1992: V925418 1994: V940418 1996: V961061 1998: V980292 2000: V001345

VCF1038 DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about (NAME), the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
Valid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 5. No
Missing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTES VCF0985. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1978: V780175 1980: V800907 1982: V820163 1984: V840923 1986: V860199 1988: V880692 1990: V900216

1992: V925419
 1994: V940419
 1996: V961062
 1998: V980293
 2000: V001346

VCF1039a 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	8888. DK (mention 1 only) 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780176 1980: V800908 1982: V820164 1984: V840924 1986: V860200 1988: V880693 1990: V900217 1992: V925420 1994: V940420 1996: V961063 1998: V980294 2000: V001347

VCF1039b 1972-2004 MENTION 1: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing	88. DK (mention 1 only) 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1039a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780176 1980: V800908 1982: V820164 1984: V840924 1986: V860200 1988: V880693 1990: V900217 1992: V925420 1994: V940420 1996: V961063 1998: V980294 2000: V001347

VCF1040a 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780177 1980: V800909 1982: V820165 1984: V840925 1986: V860201 1988: V880694 1990: V900218 1992: V925421 1994: V940421 1996: V961064 1998: V980295 2000: V001348

VCF1040b 1972-2004 MENTION 2: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	
-----------------	--

**Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?**

Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1040a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780177 1980: V800909 1982: V820165 1984: V840925 1986: V860201 1988: V880694 1990: V900218 1992: V925421 1994: V940421 1996: V961064 1998: V980295 2000: V001348

VCF1041a 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780178 1980: V800910 1982: V820166 1984: V840926 1986: V860202 1988: V880695 1990: V900219 1992: V925422 1994: V940422

1996: V961065
 1998: V980296
 2000: V001349

VCF1041b 1972-2004 MENTION 3: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
 What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

Valid 11. People within party (0001-0097)
 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
 31. Government management (0601-0697)
 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

Missing INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 Collapsed from full code VCF1041a.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1978: V780178
 1980: V800910
 1982: V820166
 1984: V840926
 1986: V860202
 1988: V880695
 1990: V900219
 1992: V925422
 1994: V940422
 1996: V961065
 1998: V980296
 2000: V001349

VCF1042a 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
 What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

Valid 0001-8877

Missing INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780179 1980: V800911 1982: V820167 1984: V840927 1986: V860203 1988: V880696 1990: V900220 1992: V925423 1994: V940423 1996: V961066 1998: V980297 2000: V001350

VCF1042b 1972-2004 MENTION 4: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1042a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780179 1980: V800911 1982: V820167 1984: V840927 1986: V860203 1988: V880696 1990: V900220 1992: V925423 1994: V940423 1996: V961066 1998: V980297 2000: V001350

VCF1043a 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	0001-8877
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880697 1990: V900221 1992: V925424 1994: V940424 1996: V961067 1998: V980298 2000: V001351

VCF1043b 1972-2004 MENTION 5: DISLIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND COLLAPSED

Question	Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?
Valid	11. People within party (0001-0097) 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197) 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297) 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397) 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498) 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597) 31. Government management (0601-0697) 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897) 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097) 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310) 35. Group connections (1201-1299) 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877) 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
Missing	INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1043a.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880697 1990: V900221 1992: V925424 1994: V940424 1996: V961067 1998: V980298 2000: V001351

VCF9001 LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN COMMUNITY

Question	How long have you lived here in (1986 AND LATER: your present) (1984: this) city/town/township/county (2002: community) ?
Valid	<p>0. 1 Year or less (2002,2004: less than 1 year)</p> <p>1. 13-23 Mos. (1988-1992 only) (2002,2004: 12-18 months)</p> <p>2. 2 Years (1994-later: includes 13-23 months; 2002,2004: 19-24 months)</p> <p>3. 3 Years</p> <p>.</p> <p>.</p> <p>89. 89 Years or more</p> <p>90. 'All of life' (regardless of number of years) [exc.1974,2004]</p>
Missing	<p>99. DK; NA; Panel (2002)</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE:</p> <p>In Pre-Post study years, this was a pre var.</p> <p>In 1968-1978, if number of years given included a fraction of 6 or more months, then the number of years coded was rounded up to the next highest whole number. In 1980-1986, this practice was restricted to instances where the total number of years (and months) given was less than 2 years; if the number of years given was greater than 2, then any fraction was dropped.</p> <p>In 1988-1990, all fractions of years were dropped with the exception of months less than a year (coded as 1 year, code 0), and 13-23 months (code 1). In 1994 and later, all fractions of years were dropped with the exception of months less than a year (coded 0); the code '13-23 months; 2 years' has been recoded to 2.</p> <p>Beginning in 2012, exact number of years was not in the public release.</p> <p>1974 NOTE:</p> <p>Code 'all of life' was not used.</p> <p>2002 NOTE:</p> <p>This question was asked only of Fresh Cross respondents.</p> <p>2004 NOTE:</p> <p>Code 76 includes, '76 years or more.' Code, 'All of life' was not used.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1968: V680260</p> <p>1970: V700382(type 0)</p> <p>1972: V720419</p> <p>1974: VV74254</p> <p>1976: V763502</p> <p>1978: V780627</p> <p>1980: V800714</p> <p>1982: V820756</p> <p>1984: V840702</p> <p>1986: V860750</p> <p>1988: V880548</p> <p>1990: V900681</p> <p>1992: V924130</p> <p>1994: V941423</p> <p>1996: V960712</p> <p>1998: V980661</p> <p>2000: V001020a,V001020b,V001020</p> <p>2002: V023139</p> <p>2004: V043308</p> <p>2008: V083266a,V083266b</p> <p>2020: V201576</p>

VCF9002 LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN HOME

Question	How long have you lived (2012: at this address?) in this house (condo/apartment) (2002: your current home) ?
Valid	1. 4 years or less 2. 5-9 years 3. 10-19 years 4. 20-29 years 5. 30 or more years (2004: includes 76 years or more) 6. 'All of life' (regardless of number of years)[exc. 1974,1976,2004]
Missing	9. DK; NA 0. Panel (2002) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Beginning in 2012, exact number of years was not in the public release. 1960 NOTE: Post-only Rs were asked this question in the post: their responses were incorporated into the pre var used here. 1974 NOTE: Code 'all of life' was not used. 1976 NOTE: same as 1974. 2002 NOTE: This question was asked only of Fresh Cross respondents. 2004: Case 462 indicates a longer residence in home than in community. Code 5, '30 years or more' includes '76 years or more). Code 'All of life' was not used. 2016 NOTE: Available data do not collapse into these categories.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1958: V580229 1960: V600185 1964: V640264 1966: V660233 1970: V700384(type 0) 1974: VV74254 1976: V763503 1978: V780628 1980: V800718 1982: V820760 1984: V840705 1986: V860753 1988: V880551 1990: V900684 1992: V924134 1994: V941426 1996: V960713 1998: V980662 2000: V001021a,V001021b,V001021 2002: V023140 2004: V043311 2008: V083269a,V083269b 2020: V201587

VCF9003 THERMOMETER - EVANGELICAL GROUPS

Question	Evangelical groups active in politics – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984)

	INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800885 1984: V840772 1988: V880614

VCF9004 THERMOMETER - ELDERLY

Question	Older people (or the elderly) – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1974: VV74236 1976: V763829 1980: V800865 1984: V840771 1988: V880619 1994: V940316 1996: V961040 2000: V001319 2002: V025065 2004: V045071

VCF9005 THERMOMETER - SUPREME COURT

Question	The Supreme Court – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800866 1984: V840776 1988: V880621 1996: V961025 2000: V001304 2002: V025051 2004: V045073

2012: ftgr_ussc
 2016: V162102
 2020: V202165

VCF9006 THERMOMETER - WOMEN

Question	Women – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize (1984,1988,2004) 99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763830 1984: V840762 1988: V880608 2004: V045083

VCF9007 THERMOMETER - JESSE JACKSON

Question	JesseJackson – feeling thermometer
Valid	00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees
Missing	98. DK; don't recognize (1984,1986,1988,1990,1992,1996) 99. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840298 1986: V860145 1988: V880162 1990: V900139 1992: V923316 1994: V940228 1996: V960283 2002: V023018

VCF9008 WHICH PARTY WOULD BEST HANDLE POLLUTION AND PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT

Question	Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the problem of pollution and (1990,1994: protection of) the environment?
Valid	1. Better by Democrats 3. Same by both 5. Better by Republicans 8. DK
Missing	9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Volunteered responses 'neither' have been recoded to 3. In 2020, the response options changed slightly: 1. Democrats would do a much better job 2. Democrats would do a somewhat better job 3. Not much difference between them 4. Republicans would do a somewhat better job 5. Republicans would do a much better job. In VCF9008, response options 1 and 2 were coded "1" (Better by Democrats) while 4 and 5 were coded "5" (Better by Republicans).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1990: V900391 1994: V940830 1998: V980385 2020: V201243

VCF9009 APPROVE OF PRESIDENT PERFORMANCE ON ECONOMY

Question	Do you approve or disapprove of the way [president] is handling the economy? (IF APPROVE/DISAPPROVE:) Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?
Valid	1. Approve strongly 2. Approve not strongly 4. Disapprove not strongly 5. Disapprove strongly 8. DK if approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly; DK/NA if approve or disapprove
Missing	9. NA if approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: President: Reagan 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1990, 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840261 1986: V860099 1988: V880227 1990: V900101 1992: V923324 1994: V940202 1996: V960297 1998: V980217 2000: V000501 2002: V023042 2004: V043026,V043027 2012: presapp_econ_x 2016: V161083x,V161083,V161083a 2020: V201130

VCF9010 WHICH WOULD BEST HANDLE INFLATION

Question	Do you think inflation would be handled better by the Democrats, by the Republicans, or about the same by both?
Valid	1. Better by Democrats 3. Same by both 5. Better by Republicans 8. DK
Missing	9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Volunteered responses 'neither' have been recoded to 3.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763143 1978: V780341 1980: V800204 1982: V820337

VCF9011 WHICH WOULD BEST HANDLE UNEMPLOYMENT

Question	Do you think the problems of unemployment would be handled better by the Democrats, by the Republicans, or about the same by both?
Valid	1. Better by Democrats 3. Same by both 5. Better by Republicans 8. DK
Missing	9. NA INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V763145 1978: V780344 1980: V800208 1982: V820339

VCF9012 WHICH PARTY WOULD BEST HANDLE MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

Question	(IF R HAS MENTIONED A 'MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM' IN THE NATION:) Which political party do you think would be most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem (1972: to be helpful on this problem) –the Republicans, the Democrats, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?
Valid	1. Democrats 3. Not much difference 5. Republicans 8. DK; other (1972 only); neither
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; form I, II, IV (1972); short form (1992); question not administered in assigned half-sample (1996, 2000)

O. R has not identified any problem
INAP. question not used

Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: This var is a follow-up to VCF0875/VCF0875a/VCF0875b. 1972 NOTE: Code 8 includes 11 cases where R's response was 'other.' 1996 NOTE: A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected to be administered questions about 'most important problem'. 2000 NOTE: same as 1996 2012 NOTE: Corrected data will be provided in the next release.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1972: V720550 1976: V763692 1978: V780317 1980: V800985 1982: V820300 1984: V840995 1986: V860308 1988: V880819 1992: V925728 1994: V940707 1996: V961143 1998: V980348 2000: V000438</p>

VCF9013 SOCIETY ENSURE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO SUCCEED

Question	<p>1988 AND LATER: Using page [page] for our choice of answers, I would like you to tell me how much you agree or disagree with each statement. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R) 1984,1986,1990,1996: I am going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R) 2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. I am going to read several more statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. ALL YEARS: 'Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed.'</p>
Valid	<p>1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK</p>
Missing	<p>9. NA; no Post IW; form B (1990) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: The order in which VCF9013-9018 questions appeared in the original studies varied by study. 1984 NOTE: Egalitarianism series was administered with a break mid-sequence. 1988 NOTE 1: Placement varied by form.</p>

1988 NOTE 2:
The order of egalitarianism items was scrambled among form B respondents.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840204 1986: V860364 1988: V880924 1990: V900426 1992: V926024 1994: V940914 1996: V961229 2000: V001521 2004: V045212 2012: egal_equal 2016: V162243 2020: V202260

VCF9014 WE HAVE GONE TOO FAR PUSHING EQUAL RIGHTS

Question	'We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'
Valid	1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; form B (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See intro text and notes VCF9013. 1972 NOTE: The 1972 version of this question was not comparable.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840206 1986: V860365 1988: V880925 1990: V900427 1992: V926025 1994: V940915 1996: V961230 1998: V980429 2000: V001522 2004: V045213 2012: egal_toofar

VCF9015 BIG PROBLEM THAT NOT EVERYONE HAS EQUAL CHANCE

Question	ALL YEARS: 'One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.'
Valid	1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat

-
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
 - 4. Disagree somewhat
 - 5. Disagree strongly
 - 8. DK

Missing 9. NA; no Post IW; form B (1990)
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
See intro text and notes VCF9013.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1984: V840208
1986: V860366
1988: V880929
1990: V900428
1992: V926029
1994: V940916
1996: V961231
2000: V001523
2004: V045214
2012: egal_bigprob

VCF9016 NOT BIG PROBLEM IF SOME HAVE MORE CHANCE IN LIFE

Question **ALL YEARS:**
'It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.'

Valid 1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly
8. DK

Missing 9. NA; no Post IW; form B (1990)
INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
See intro text and notes VCF9013.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1984: V840255
1986: V860368
1988: V880927
1990: V900430
1992: V926027
1994: V940918
1996: V961233
2000: V001525
2004: V045216
2012: egal_notbigprob
2016: V162245
2020: V202262

VCF9017 SHOULD WORRY LESS ABOUT HOW EQUAL PEOPLE ARE

Question	ALL YEARS: 'The (2004: This_ country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are.'
Valid	1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; form B (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See intro text and notes VCF9013.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840251 1986: V860367 1988: V880926 1990: V900429 1992: V926026 1994: V940917 1996: V961232 2000: V001524 2004: V045215 2012: egal_worryless 2016: V162244 2020: V202261

VCF9018 U.S. FEWER PROBLEMS IF EVERYONE TREATED EQUALLY

Question	ALL YEARS: 'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.'
Valid	1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; form B (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See intro text and notes VCF9013.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840253 1986: V860369 1988: V880928 1990: V900431 1992: V926028 1994: V940919 1996: V961234 1998: V980428 2000: V001526 2004: V045217 2012: egal_fewerprobs 2016: V162246 2020: V202263

VCF9019 MOST DESIRABLE GOAL FOR THE NATION: #1

Question	For a nation, it is not always possible to obtain everything one might wish. On this page (1988,1992: on page [PAGE] of the booklet) (1972, 1976: on this card), several different goals are listed. If you had to choose among them, which one would seem most desirable to you? (RESPONDENT BOOKLET OR SHOW CARD SHOWN TO R)
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Maintaining order in the nation 2. Giving the people more say in important political decisions 3. Fighting rising prices 4. Protecting freedom of speech
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720557 1976: V763949 1980: V801171 1984: V841076 1988: V880930 1992: V926013

VCF9020 MOST DESIRABLE GOAL FOR THE NATION: #2

Question	(IF FIRST CHOICE GIVEN:) Which one {goal for our nation} would be your second choice?
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Maintaining order in the nation 2. Giving the people more say in important political decisions 3. Fighting rising prices 4. Protecting freedom of speech
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK 2nd choice 9. NA 2nd choice; no Post IW; NA or DK 1st choice (8 or 9 in VCF9019); form I,III,IV (1972); telephone IW (1984); no 2nd choice INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See intro and notes VCF9019. This is a follow-up to VCF9019.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720558 1976: V763950 1980: V801172 1984: V841077 1988: V880931 1992: V926014

VCF9021 DID ANYONE TALK TO R ABOUT VOTING OR

REGISTERING

Question	During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about registering to vote or getting out to vote (1984,1986: or about voting)?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA: no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This was a post var.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840841 1986: V860326 1988: V880835 1990: V900376 1992: V925820 1994: V940806 1996: V961174 1998: V980354 2000: V001234 2002: V025014 2012: mobilpo_reg 2016: V162009 2020: V202008

VCF9022 VOTER STRENGTH OF PREFERENCE- PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question	(ASKED OF Rs WHO VOTED): How about the election for president? Did you vote for a candidate for president? (IF YES) Who did you vote for? Would you say that your preference for this candidate was strong or not strong?
Valid	1. Strong 5. Not strong
Missing	8. DK 9. NA: no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) 0. R did not vote or DK/NA/refused to say if voted; R voted but did not vote (or DK/NA/refused to say if voted) for president; R voted for president but DK/NA/refused to say who voted for (1988 only) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is a follow-up to VCF0704-VCF0706. 1980 NOTE: Some Rs who refused to identify who they voted for or who DK/NA who voted gave valid code responses. 1984 NOTE: same as 1980.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800995 1984: V840789 1988: V880764 1992: V925610 1996: V961083 2000: V001250 2004: V045026 2012: prevote_presstr, postvote_presstr

2016: V162066x,V162035
2020: V201030, V202074

VCF9023 NONVOTER PREFERENCE- PRESIDENTIAL CAND

Question (ALL YEARS: ASKED OF Rs WHO DID NOT VOTE):
1948,1952,1956,1960,1964,1968:
Who (1948: whom) would you have voted for if you had voted?
1980,1984,1988,1992,1996:
How about the election for president? Did you prefer one of the
candidates for president? (IF YES:) Who did you prefer?

Valid

1. Preference: Democrat
2. Preference: Republican
3. Preference: Other
7. No preference (1968 and later)

Missing

9. DK; NA; refused; no Post IW
0. R voted or DK/NA/refused to say if voted (for president)

INAP. question not used

Notes

This is a follow-up to VCF0704-VCF0706.
GENERAL NOTE:
In 1952,1956,1960,1964 no category appeared (coded) for 'no preference.'
Although a category appeared for 'no preference' in 1968, R was not
asked the filter question, 'Did you prefer one of the candidates for
president?' and the absence of this filter was reflected in the small
1968 'no preference' N (presumably volunteered responses). In 1980,
1984, 1988 and 1992 Rs who replied 'no' to the filter question were
coded 7 here.
1956 NOTE:
One case from dual 'nonvoter, NA preference; voted but not for
president' has been coded 9 here.
1968 NOTE:
One case originally coded 'Nixon or Wallace' has been coded 9 here.
2004 NOTE:
Code 5, 'Ralph Nader' and code 7, 'Other' have been coded 3 here.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1952: V520178
1956: V560203
1960: V600201
1968: V680316
1980: V801014,V801016
1984: V840805,V84080
1988: V880774,V880775
1992: V925634
1996: V961098,V961099
2000: V001277
2004: V045028,V045029
2012: postvote_prefprwho
2016: V162038x,V162062x
2020: V202077

VCF9024 VOTER STRENGTH OF PREFERENCE- U.S. HOUSE CAND

Question (ASKED OF Rs WHO VOTED): Here is a list of candidates for the major
races in this district. How about the election for the House of

Representative in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? Would you say that your preference for this candidate was strong or not strong?

Valid	1. Strong 5. Not strong
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992) 0. R did not vote or DK/NA/refused to say if voted; R voted but did not vote (or DK/NA/refused to say if voted) for House candidate; R voted for House but DK/refused to say who voted for (not 1984,1988); R voted for House but NA who voted for (not 1980 1990); special districts with no House race in 1978 (4 districts: LA03, LA07, FL08, FL10); special districts with no House race in 1980 (1980, 2 districts: LA03, LA07) INAP. question not used
Notes	This is a follow-up to VCF0707,VCF0735,VCF0736. GENERAL NOTE: In 1980, 1984, 1988 and 1990 study datasets only, some Rs coded NA for House vote gave valid code responses. 1984 NOTE 1: Some Rs who refused to identify /DK who they voted for gave valid code responses. 1984 NOTE 2: 3 cases in the study dataset which were abbreviated telephone IWs were placed in the wrong MD code (0). They are correctly coded here (9). 1988 NOTE: same as 1984 NOTE 1.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780475 1980: V800999 1984: V840794 1988: V880769 1990: V900290 1992: V925624

VCF9025 VOTE FOR GOVERNOR- PARTY

Question	(ASKED OF Rs WHO VOTED): How about the vote/election for Governor (1952, 1958: here in [state])? Did you vote for a candidate for Governor? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? Which party was that?
Valid	1. Democrat 2. Republican 3. Other
Missing	9. DK; NA; did not vote; DK/NA/refused to say if voted; voted, but not for governor; voted but DK/NA/refused to say if voted for governor; DK/NA/refused to say who voted for; name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1990); no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) 0. No gubernatorial race in state (includes Washington D.C.) INAP. question not used
Notes	See Appendix NOTE 'STATES AND PARTIES OF ELECTIONS/CANDIDATES'. GENERAL NOTE: Ballot card was not used until 1978. In 1978-1982, 1986 R selected name from ballot card. When R gave a name not on the candidate list, MD was coded, and party of vote was undetermined. In 1952, 1958, 1964-1968 (no ballot card), when an incorrect name—i.e., not on the candidate list—was given with a party association, the party given was coded. When a correct name was given with an incorrect party, then the actual party associated with the named

candidate was coded: 'Smith, a Democrat' was coded as a Republican if, in fact (contrary to R's perception) Smith was indeed a Republican.

In 1970-1976 (no ballot card), R's perception of candidate's party was given. If an incorrect name (not on the candidate list) was given, party associated was still coded. If a given party was not the actual party of a correctly named candidate, party as given was still coded. In 1952, 1958, 1960 and 1964, this question was coded as a multiple response variable allowing, when applicable, for candidate-at-large mentions (2nd response) as well as district choice of candidates (1st response). Second responses were excluded in coding VCF9025. If R did not vote AND R's state did not have a gubernatorial race, then 0 (not 9) has been coded.

In 1980, 1982, 1986, 1990: if there was no race in state of IW BUT it was determined that R voted outside of state of IW AND R designated his/her vote for governor in the other state, then this variable has been coded for the party of R's 'outside' vote, not coded 0 MD (no race in state). Before 1980, it was not determined whether R voted outside of state of IW, and no information was previously available for any votes for governor outside of state of IW.

2016 NOTE:

In 2016, state of vote was determined for voters who voted outside state of residence; this variable represents vote in state of vote.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520188 1958: V580087 1964: V640291 1966: V660108 1968: V680326 1970: V700217(type 0) 1972: V720487 1974: VV74232 1976: V763676 1978: V780482 1980: V801006 1982: V820514 1986: V860274 1990: V900299 1994: V940621 1998: V980321 2012: prevote_vtgovbc, postvote_vtgovbc 2016: V162069x,V161015d,V162026d,V162031x 2020: V202113x

VCF9026 VOTE IN PRESIDENTIAL YEAR PRIMARY- PARTY

Question	<p>1972: (ASKED OF Rs WHO SAID THEY WERE REGISTERED/ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER): How about the primary election this year. Did you vote in a presidential primary this spring or summer? (IF YES:) Which party's primary did you vote in?</p> <p>1976: (ASKED OF Rs WHO SAID THEY WERE REGISTERED/ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER): How about the primary election this year? Do you happen to recall if there was a presidential primary election here in [state] this spring or summer? (IF YES:) Did you vote in the presidential primary election? (IF YES:) Which party's primary did you vote in?</p> <p>1980: Your state held a primary election on [date]. Did you vote in that election, or were you unable to do so? (IF VOTED:) Did you vote in the Republican primary or the Democratic primary? (SEE NOTE FOR ARKANSAS, SOUTH CAROLINA, MISSISSIPPI)</p> <p>1988: This state held a presidential primary/caucus election in [month]. In talking to people about elections, we find that a lot of people were not</p>
-----------------	--

able to vote because they weren't registered, they didn't meet party qualifications, they were sick or just didn't have the time. How about you—did you vote in that primary election/ caucus? (IF YES:) Did you vote in the Republican or the Democratic primary/caucus?

1992:

This state held a Presidential (primary/caucus) election in [month]. In talking to people about elections, we find that many people did not vote for a variety of reasons. How about you—did you vote in that primary (election/caucus)? (IF YES:) Did you vote in the Republican or Democratic (primary/caucus)?

Valid	<p>1. Voted: Democratic Pres. Primary (1988: or caucus) 2. Voted: Republican Pres. Primary (1988: or caucus) 3. Voted: DK/NA/Refused which Pres. Primary (1988: or caucus) 5. Voted: 'Other' (minor party) Pres. Primary (1972,1976) 7. Did not vote in Pres. Primary (1988: or caucus)</p>
Missing	<p>9. DK/NA/refused to say if voted in primary/caucus; form II (1972); short form of Spanish language IW (1992); R is not (or DK/NA if) registered/eligible to vote (1972,1976); R's state did not have a primary (1972,1976,1980); R could not recall if his/her state has a primary (1976 only) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix NOTE 'STATES AND PARTIES OF ELECTIONS/CANDIDATES'. 1972 NOTE 1: 'Caucus' was not specified. 1972 NOTE 2: This question was only asked of Rs who said they were registered (eligible) to vote; if NA whether registered/ eligible or R didn't know if R was registered/eligible, then R was not asked this question. Additionally, in 1976, R was first asked the question whether R recalled if R's state had a primary during the spring/summer of the study year; if R did recall whether there was a primary AND if R's state did indeed have a primary, then this question was asked. 1976 NOTE 1: same as 1972 NOTE 1. 1976 NOTE: same as 1972 NOTE 2. 1980 NOTE 1: same as 1972. 1980 NOTE 2: votes in nonmajor party primaries were not accounted for (no code 5). 1980 NOTE 3: Several states had only one primary for one major party. In 1980 Arkansas held a Democratic primary; South Carolina held a Republican primary; and Mississippi held a Republican primary. If R indicated that R voted in the party's primary that year, then R was coded here for the party of the primary held. The question asked in Arkansas, South and Carolina and Mississippi in 1980 was: Your state held a primary election on [date]. As you may know, the [Democratic/ Republican] party did not have a primary contest in [state] this year, so there was only a [Democratic/Republican] primary election. Did you vote in that election or were you unable to do so? 1988 NOTE 1: All states represented in the time series study had a primary or caucus. However, in some states (Kansas, Michigan and Wyoming Democratic and Republican caucuses were held on separate dates and the lead-in question was modified accordingly. 1988 NOTE 2: same as 1980 NOTE 2. 1992 NOTE: same as 1988 (Arizona, Iowa, Minnesota, Virginia and Wyoming)</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1972: V720167 1976: V763036 1980: V800372 1988: V880149 1992: V923302</p>

VCF9027 VOTE IN PREVIOUS PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION - PARTY

Question	<p>1948: Do you remember whether you voted in [year] when [Democratic presidential candidate] ran against [Republican Presidential candidate]? (IF YES:) Whom did you vote for then?</p> <p>1952-1962: (1958,1962: Two years ago,) In [year], you remember that [Democratic presidential candidate] ran against [Republican presidential candidate] (1958: for the 2nd time). Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election? (IF YES, VOTED:) Which one did you vote for?/ (1958: Did you vote for [Democratic presidential candidate] or [Republican presidential candidate]?)</p> <p>1964 FORM 1: Now, the last presidential election was four years ago, in 1960. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election? (IF YES, VOTED:) Did you vote Republican or Democratic in that election?</p> <p>1964 FORM 2,1966-1972,1976,1980,1982,1988-2002: In [year], (you remember that) [Republican presidential candidate] ran on the Republican ticket against [Democratic presidential candidate] for the Democrats/ (1970,1972: against Mr. Humphrey on the Democratic ticket and Mr. Wallace on the Independent ticket; 1982: against Jimmy Carter for the Democrats and John Anderson as an independent candidate). Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted for in that election? (IF YES, VOTED:) Which one did you vote for?</p> <p>2004: In 2000 Al Gore ran on the Democratic ticket against George W. Bush for the Republicans and Ralph Nader as the Reform party candidate.Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Voted: Democratic Pres. Candidate 2. Voted: Republican Pres. Candidate 3. Voted: DK/NA/Refused which Pres. Candidate 5. Voted: Other candidate
Missing	<p>9. DK/NA/refused to say if voted in previous presidential election; no Pre IW; no Post IW; short form or Spanish language IW (1992); NA if R has ever voted before (1956,1960,1976,1980); DK if R has ever voted before (1968)</p> <p>0. R did not vote in previous election; R has never voted</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: This question refers to the Presidential election preceding the current year.</p> <p>In 1952-1960,1964-1972,1976,1980, if R answered the filter, 'In the elections for president since you have been old enough to vote, would you say that you have voted in all of them, most of them, some of them, or none of them?' with 'none of them' or answered that s/he was never before able to vote because s/he was previously not a citizen or old enough, then this question was not asked.</p> <p>In 1956, 1960, 1976 and 1980, if it was NA whether R had ever voted, then this question was not asked. In 1968, if R DK whether R had ever voted, then this question was not asked.</p> <p>In 1962, 1982, 1988, 1990 and 1992 no filter was used, but Rs who did not vote in the previous presidential election because of age or citizenship ineligibility (or for any other reason) were simply coded 'did not vote'.</p> <p>In 1956, 1958, 1960, and 1964 the study documentation stated that residents of Washington D.C. were INAP; however, in the data, it some Washington D.C. residents were INAP while others were not.</p> <p>1952 NOTE 1: Code 9 contains 9 cases of respondents who replied that they 'thought' they had voted Democratic in 1948 and 3 cases of respondents who replied that they 'thought' they had voted Republican in 1948.</p> <p>1952 NOTE 2: In the original 1952 dataset, 1948 presidential votes for two specified nonmajor party candidates were individually coded, and there also appeared a combination category labeled 'other or NA or DK who' (9 cases). Rs who said they had voted for the specified nonmajor party candidates in 1948 have been coded 5 here, while Rs from the</p>

combination category of the original 1952 dataset have been coded 3 here.

1956 NOTE:

All cases (unknown number) of Rs who only 'thought' they voted for any particular candidate in 1952 were combined with other missing data: these cases are coded 9 here.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1948: V48002 1952: V520076 1956: V560096 1958: V580074 1960: V600097 1962: VV62003 1964: V640156 1966: V660085 1968: V680129 1970: V700199,V700200 1972: V720159,V72016 1976: V763182,V763183 1980: V800353,V800354 1982: V820292,V820293 1988: V880630,V880631 1990: V900166,V900167 1992: V923107,V923108 1994: V940324,V940325 1996: V960203,V960204 1998: V980214,V980215 2000: V000303,V000304 2004: V043002,V043003 2016: V161006,V161005 2020: V201103

VCF9028 WHICH PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE WILL WIN IN STATE

Question	How [1996,2004: What] about here in [R's state]. Which candidate for President do you think will carry this state? (1984,1988,1992, 2004: IF NECESSARY: Which candidate will win in this state?)
Valid	1. Democratic Pres. candidate 2. Republican Pres. candidate 3. Other Pres. candidate 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Pre IW; Washington D.C. INAP. question not used
Notes	2004 NOTE: Code 5, 'Ralph Nader' and code 7, 'Other' have been coded 3 here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1952: V520015 1972: V720027 1976: V763028 1980: V800057 1984: V840078 1988: V880100 1992: V923104 1996: V960383 2004: V043095 2012: preswin_state 2016: V161148 2020: V201219

VCF9029 WHICH PRESIDENTIAL RACE IN STATE BE CLOSE

Question	<p>How about here in [R's state]. Which candidate for President do you think will carry this state? (1988,1984, IF NECESSARY: Which candidate will win in this state?)</p> <p>Do you think (1972: it) (1988,1996,2004: the Presidential race) will be close here in [R's state] or will [name given] win by quite a bit? 1980,1984,1988,1992 ONLY: (IF DK) Do you think the Presidential race will be close here in [R's state] or will one candidate win by quite a bit?</p>
Valid	<p>1. Close race</p> <p>3. Win by quite a bit</p> <p>8. DK; depends</p>
Missing	<p>9. NA who R thinks will carry R's state (9 in VCF9028) (all years); DK who will win in R's state (8 in VCF9028)(1972,1976 only) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>1952 NOTE: The 1952 version of this question was not comparable.</p> <p>1972 NOTE: If R replied DK to 'Which candidate do you think will carry this state?' (VCF9028) then this question was not asked.</p> <p>1976 NOTE: same as 1972.</p> <p>2004 NOTE: A programming error early in the field period resulted in 52 respondents who replied DK to VCF9028 not being asked VCF9029; these 52 cases are coded '99. NA' in 2004 data file and '9. NA' here.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1972: V720028</p> <p>1976: V763029</p> <p>1980: V800058</p> <p>1984: V840079</p> <p>1988: V880101</p> <p>1992: V923105</p> <p>1996: V960384</p> <p>2004: V043096</p> <p>2012: preswin_closest</p> <p>2016: V161149</p> <p>2020: V201220</p>

VCF9030 CONTACTED BY WHICH MAJOR PARTY

Question	<p>(1956,1960,1964,1966,1968: You know that) (other years exc. 1988,1996: As you know,) The political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate(s).</p> <p>Did anyone from one (1956,1960,1964,1966,1968: either) of the political parties call you up or come around and talk to you about the (1956,1960,1964,1966,1968: during the) campaign (1976ff: this year)? (IF YES:) Which party was that (1956,1960: were they from)?</p>
Valid	<p>1. Yes, contact: Democratic party</p> <p>2. Yes, contact: Republican party</p> <p>3. Yes, contact: both major parties</p> <p>4. Yes, contact: other</p> <p>5. Yes, contact: DK which party</p> <p>6. Yes, contact: NA which party</p> <p>7. No contact</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK if contact</p> <p>9. NA if contact; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone</p>

	IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	See also VCF9030a,VCF9030b,VCF9030c. GENERAL NOTE: This was a Post variable. 1952 NOTE: The 1952 version of this question was not comparable.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560221 1960: V600221 1964: V640325,V640326,V64032 1966: V660071,V660072,V660073,V66007 1968: V680401,V680402,V680403,V68040 1972: V720466,V72046 1974: V742193,V742194 1976: V763539,V763540 1978: V780464,V780465 1980: V800785,V800786 1982: V820464,V820465 1984: V840812,V84081 1986: V860309,V86031 1988: V880820,V880821 1990: V900361,V900362 1992: V925801,V925802 1994: V940801,V94080 1996: V961162,V961163 1998: V980349,V980350 2000: V001219,V001220 2002: V025005,V025006 2004: V045008,V045008 2012: mobilpo_party, mobilpo_wparty 2016: V162007,V162007a 2020: V202004, V202005, V202006

VCF9030a CONTACTED BY ANY MAJOR PARTY

Question	Did PARTY contact R about election campaign?
Valid	1. Yes, contact by major party 2. No contact by major party;
Missing	8. DK if contact; contact but DK/NA which party 9. NA if contact; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF9030 intro and notes.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560221 1960: V600221 1964: V640325,V640326,V64032 1966: V660071,V660072,V660073,V66007 1968: V680401,V680402,V680403,V68040 1972: V720466,V72046 1974: V742193,V742194 1976: V763539,V763540 1978: V780464,V780465 1980: V800785,V800786 1982: V820464,V820465 1984: V840812,V84081 1986: V860309,V86031

1988: V880820,V880821
 1990: V900361,V900362
 1992: V925801,V925802
 1994: V940801,V940802
 1996: V961162,V961163
 1998: V980349,V980350
 2000: V001219,V001220
 2002: V025006
 2004: V045008,V045008
 2016: V162007,V162007a
 2020: V202004, V202006

VCF9030b CONTACTED BY DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	Did Democratic Party contact R about election campaign
Valid	1. Yes, contact by Democratic party 2. No contact by Democratic party
Missing	8. DK if contact; contact but DK/NA which party 9. NA if contact; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF9030 intro and notes.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560221 1960: V600221 1964: V640325,V640326,V64032 1966: V660071,V660072,V660073,V66007 1968: V680401,V680402,V680403,V68040 1972: V720466,V72046 1974: V742193,V742194 1976: V763539,V763540 1978: V780464,V780465 1980: V800785,V800786 1982: V820464,V820465 1984: V840812,V84081 1986: V860309,V86031 1988: V880820,V880821 1990: V900361,V900362 1992: V925801,V925802 1994: V940801,V940802 1996: V961162,V961163 1998: V980349,V980350 2000: V001219,V001220 2002: V025006 2004: V045008,V045008 2012: mobilpo_wparty 2016: V162007,V162007a 2020: V202004, V202006

VCF9030c CONTACTED BY REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Did Republican Party contact R about election campaign
-----------------	---

Valid	1. Yes, contact by Republican party 2. No contact by Republican party
Missing	8. DK if contact; contact but DK/NA which party 9. NA if contact; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF9030 intro and notes.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1956: V560221 1960: V600221 1964: V640325,V640326,V64032 1966: V660071,V660072,V660073,V66007 1968: V680401,V680402,V680403,V68040 1972: V720466,V72046 1974: V742193,V742194 1976: V763539,V763540 1978: V780464,V780465 1980: V800785,V800786 1982: V820464,V820465 1984: V840812,V84081 1986: V860309,V86031 1988: V880820,V880821 1990: V900361,V900362 1992: V925801,V925802 1994: V940801,V940802 1996: V961162,V961163 1998: V980349,V980350 2000: V001219,V001220 2002: V025006 2004: V045008,V045008 2012: mobilpo_wparty 2016: V162007,V162007a 2020: V202004, V202006

VCF9031 CONTACTED BY ANYONE OTHER THAN PARTIES

Question	1980-2004: Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone else call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK/RF 9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C. INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This was a post variable. 1978 NOTE: This question applied only to support of House candidates and was not comparable in these two years. 1982 NOTE: same as 1978
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800787 1984: V840814 1986: V860310 1988: V880822 1990: V900363

1992: V925802
 1994: V940803
 1996: V961164
 1998: V980351
 2000: V001221
 2004: V045009
 2012: mobilpo_contactoth
 2016: V162008
 2020: V202007

VCF9032 HEAR PROGRAMS ABOUT CAMPAIGNS ON THE RADIO 4-CATEGORY

Question Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign [2012: for President] on the radio?
 (IF YES:) Would you say you listened to a good many, several, or just one or two?
 2016: How many speeches or discussions about the campaign for President did you listen to on the radio? None, just one or two, several, or a good many?

Valid 0. None ('No')
 1. Good many
 3. Several
 5. One or two

Missing 8. DK how many
 9. NA how many; DK/NA whether listened to any; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form or Spanish language IW (1992)
 INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
 This was a post-election variable all years except 1988 and 1992.
 1984 NOTE:
 Code 0 includes 2 cases where R replied 'yes' that R had listened to speeches/discussions on the radio but, when asked how many, replied 'none.'

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1960: V600192
 1964: V640281
 1968: V680296
 1972: V720459,V72046
 1976: V763600,V763601
 1978: V780044,V780045
 1980: V800745,V800746
 1984: V840723,V84072
 1986: V860060,V86006
 1988: V880138,V880139
 1992: V923208,V923209
 1996: V961335,V961336
 2000: V001647
 2004: V045005,V045005
 2012: mediapo_radio, mediapo_radioamt
 2016: V162003

VCF9033 NUMBER OF DAYS READ NEWSPAPER IN LAST WEEK

Question	How many days in the past week did you read a daily newspaper? [2012: During a typical week, how many days do you read news in a printed newspaper, not including sports?]
Valid	0. None 1. One day . . 7. Every day
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; short form or Spanish language IW (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Actual number of days coded.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840112 1986: V860069 1988: V880130 1990: V900071 1992: V923203 1994: V940125 1996: V960246 1998: V980202 2000: V000335 2002: V023004 2004: V043019

VCF9034 READ DAILY NEWSPAPER

Question	1974,1978,1980: Now let's switch to newspapers. 1976: How about newspapers. ALL YEARS: Do you read a daily newspaper?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This was a post-election variable.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1974: VV74204 1976: V763639 1978: V780058 1980: V800754

VCF9035 NUMBER OF DAYS WATCHED NATIONAL TV NEWS IN PAST WEEK

Question	How many days in the past week did you watch national news (1986: a national news program) (1984,1988-1994: the news; 1996-2000: the national news) (2002,2004: the national network news) on TV? [2012: During a typical week, how many days do you watch national news on TV, not including sports?]
Valid	0. None 1. One day . . 7. Every day
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; DK/NA if watches national network TV news (1984 only); short form or Spanish language IW (1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	Actual number of days coded. GENERAL NOTE: This was a post variable in 1986 only. 1984 NOTE: This variable was preceded by the question, 'How often do you watch the national network news on TV...?' and if R replied that R watched national network news at all, then R was asked how many days in the past week R watched the news. NOTE: distributions vary considerably.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840108,V84011 1986: V860070 1990: V900072 1992: V923201 1994: V940126 1996: V960242 1998: V980204 2000: V000329 2002: V023002 2004: V043014

VCF9036 PARTY WITH SENATE MAJORITY BEFORE THE ELECTION

Question	Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate before the election this/last month? (IF NECESSARY:) Which one?
Valid	1. Republicans - correct 2. Democrats - correct 4. Republicans - incorrect 5. Democrats - incorrect 7. Other (comments) 8. DK (No)
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1982: V820525 1984: V841008 1986: V860350 1988: V880879 1990: V900403 1992: V925952 1994: V941013 1996: V961073 1998: V980480 2000: V001357 2004: V045090

VCF9037 GOVERNMENT SHOULD ENSURE FAIR JOBS FOR BLACKS

Question	<p>Some people feel that if black people (1964,1968: negroes) are not getting fair treatment in jobs, the government in Washington ought to see to it that they do. Others feel that this is not the federal government's business.</p> <p>Have you had enough interested in this question to favor one side over the other?</p> <p>1964,1968,1972: (IF YES) How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs or should the government in Washington leave these matters to the states and local communities?</p> <p>1986 AND LATER: (IF YES) How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs or is this not the federal government's business?</p>
Valid	<p>1. See to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs</p> <p>5. 1992 and later: Not the federal government's business /</p> <p>1964,1968,1972: leave to the states and local communities</p> <p>9. Other; depends; DK; no interest</p>
Missing	<p>0. NA opinion; DK/NA whether 'interested enough,' no Post IW; form A (1986)</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>See also VCF0818.</p> <p>GENERAL NOTE: This variable combines data from question versions in which the second response option differs.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1964: V640098</p> <p>1968: V680073</p> <p>1972: V720103,V72010</p> <p>1986: V860505,V86050</p> <p>1988: V880864,V880865</p> <p>1992: V925937,V925938</p> <p>1996: V961205,V961206</p> <p>2000: V000799,V000800</p> <p>2004: V045109,V045109</p> <p>2012: fairjob_opin</p>

VCF9038 GUARANTEED EQUAL OPPORTUNITY IS NOT GOVERNMENT JOB

Question	<p>1986:</p> <p>Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with the following statement. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R) Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with that statement?)</p> <p>1988:</p> <p>Now looking again at [respondent booklet] for your choices, here are several more statements. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R)</p> <p>ALL YEARS;</p> <p>'Equal opportunity for blacks and whites is very important but it's not really the government's job to guarantee it.'</p>
Valid	<p>1. Agree strongly</p> <p>2. Agree somewhat</p> <p>3. Neither agree nor disagree</p> <p>4. Disagree somewhat</p> <p>5. Disagree strongly</p> <p>8. DK</p>

Missing	9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860522 1988: V880965

VCF9039 CONDITIONS MAKE IT DIFFICULT FOR BLACKS TO SUCCEED

Question	1986,1990,1994: In past studies we have asked people why they think white people seem to get more of the good things in life in America– such as better jobs and more money–than black people do. These are some of the reasons given by both blacks and whites. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each reason as to why white people seem to get more of the good things in life. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R). 1988,1992: Now looking again at [respondent booklet] for your choices, here are several more statements. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R). ALL YEARS: 'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'
Valid	1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	1972 NOTE: The 1972 version of this question was not comparable. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860580 1988: V880964 1990: V900523 1992: V926129 1994: V941051 2000: V001511 2004: V045194 2012: resent_slavery 2016: V162212 2020: V202301

VCF9040 BLACKS SHOULD NOT HAVE SPECIAL FAVORS TO SUCCEED

Question	2004: Now I'm going to read several more statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is: ALL YEARS: 'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should to the same without any special favors.'
Valid	1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See question text and notes VCF9039. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860568 1988: V880961 1990: V900521 1992: V926126 1994: V941049 1998: V980513 2000: V001508 2004: V045193 2016: V162211 2020: V202300

VCF9041 BLACKS MUST TRY HARDER TO SUCCEED

Question	'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.'
Valid	1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See question text and notes VCF9039. 1972 NOTE: The 1972 version of this question was not comparable. See question text and notes VCF9039. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860579 1988: V880963 1990: V900522 1992: V926128 1994: V941050 2000: V001510 2004: V045196

2012: resent_try
 2016: V162214
 2020: V202303

VCF9042 BLACKS GOTTEN LESS THAN THEY DESERVE OVER THE PAST FEW YEARS

Question	'Over the past few years blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'
Valid	1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See question text and notes VCF9039. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860566 1988: V880962 1990: V900520 1992: V926127 1994: V941048 1998: V980514 2000: V001509 2004: V045195 2012: resent_deserve 2016: V162213 2020: V202302

VCF9043 SCHOOL PRAYER ALLOWED 4-CATEGORY

Question	Which of the following views comes closest to your opinion on the issue of school prayer? [1996-LATER: Just give me the number of your choice] (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. By law, prayer should not be allowed in public schools 2. The law should allow public schools to schedule time when children can pray silently if they want to 3. The law should allow public schools to schedule time when children as a group can say a general prayer not tied to a particular religious faith 4. By law, public schools should schedule a time when all children would say a chosen Christian prayer 9. Other, DK
Missing	0. NA; no Post IW; form A (1990); short form IW (1992) INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860481 1988: V880866

1990: V900467
 1992: V925945
 1994: V941020
 1996: V961214
 1998: V980455

VCF9043a STRENGTH OF OPINION WHEN SCHOOL PRAYER ALLOWED 4-CATEGORY

Question	Which of the following views comes closest to your opinion on the issue of school prayer? [1996-LATER: Just give me the number of your choice] (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R)] Do you favor that choice strongly or not strongly?
Valid	1. Strongly 5. Not strongly
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; other/DK/NA opinion (except 1990,1996); DK/NA opinion (1990,1996); form A (1990); short form questionnaire (1992); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: In all years except 1990 and 1996, this question was not asked of respondents who gave an 'other' opinion about school prayer (see VCF9043)
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860482 1988: V880867 1990: V900468 1992: V925946 1994: V941021 1996: V961215 1998: V980456

VCF9044 EFFECT OF FEDERAL ECONOMIC POLICIES 7-CATEGORY

Question	(1988 AND LATER: Over the past year) Would you say that the economic policies of the federal government have made the nation's economy better, worse, or haven't they made much difference either way? (IF BETTER/WORSE:) Would you say the economy is much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?
Valid	1. Much better 2. Somewhat better 3. Same ('haven't made much difference') 4. Somewhat worse 5. Much worse 6. Better, DK/NA how much 7. Worse, DK/NA how much
Missing	8. DK if better/worse/same 9. NA if better/worse/same INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	

1984: V840245
 1986: V860374,V86037
 1988: V880245,V880246
 1992: V923541,V923542
 1994: V940911,V940912
 1996: V960391

VCF9044a EFFECT OF FEDERAL ECONOMIC POLICIES 3-CATEGORY

Question	(1988,1992-LATER: Over the past year) Would you say that the economic policies of the federal government have made the nation's economy better, worse, or haven't they made much difference either way?
Valid	1. Better 2. Same ('haven't made much difference') 3. Worse 8. DK
Missing	9. NA INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: THIS IS A RECODE OF VCF9044: 1,2,6=1 3=2 4,5,7=3 8=8 9=9 0=0.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840244 1986: V860374,V86037 1988: V880245,V880246 1992: V923541,V923542 1994: V940911,V940912 1996: V960390

VCF9045 POSITION OF THE U.S. WEAKER/STRONGER IN THE PAST YEAR

Question	1958,1960,1964,1968: Would you say that in the past year or so our position in the world has become stronger, less strong, or has it stayed about the same? 1984 AND 1984-LATER: (2002,2004: Turning to some other issues facing the country) During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has it grown stronger?
Valid	1. Weaker (1958,1960,1964,1968: less strong) 3. Same 5. Stronger 8. DK
Missing	9. NA INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1958: V580058 1960: V600083 1964: V640137 1968: V680114 1984: V840246

1986: V860523
 1988: V880252
 1990: V900494
 1992: V923601
 1994: V941015
 1996: V960409
 1998: V980484
 2000: V000507
 2002: V023032
 2004: V043112
 2012: usworld_stronger
 2016: V161152
 2020: V201346

VCF9046 FEDERAL SPENDING- FOOD STAMPS

Question	Food stamps
Valid	1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased 7. Cut out entirely (volunteered) 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes preceding VCF0886.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V841000 1986: V860330 1988: V880349 1990: V900382 1992: V923725 1994: V940822 1996: V960496 2000: V000679

VCF9047 FEDERAL SPENDING- IMPROVE AND PROTECT THE ENVIRONMENT

Question	Should federal spending on improving and protecting the environment (2000,2002: environmental protection; 2008,2012,2016: protecting the environment) be increased, decreased, or stay the same 2012: fedspend_enviro V083151 V023052,5113,5113x
Valid	1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased 7. Cut out entirely (volunteered) 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	

GENERAL NOTE:

See federal spending question text and notes preceding VCF0886.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840996 1986: V860327 1988: V880377 1990: V900377 1992: V923815 1994: V940817 1996: V960562 2000: V000682 2002: V025113 2016: V161212 2020: V201321

VCF9048 FEDERAL SPENDING- SPACE/SCIENCE/TECHNOLOGY

Question	1984,1992 and later: Science and technology 1986,1988: Space and scientific research 1990: the space program
Valid	1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased 7. Cut out entirely (volunteered) 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes preceding VCF0886.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V841003 1986: V860333 1988: V880380 1990: V900387 1992: V923812 2004: V043167 2008: V083143 2012: fedspend_scitech 2016: V161207

VCF9049 FEDERAL SPENDING- SOCIAL SECURITY

Question	Social Security
Valid	1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased 7. Cut out entirely (volunteered) 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW (1984); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes preceding VCF0886.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840999 1986: V860329 1988: V880348 1990: V900380 1992: V923811 1994: V940819 1996: V960560 2000: V000681 2002: V025117 2004: V043165 2012: fedspend_ss 2016: V161205 2020: V201300

VCF9050 FEDERAL SPENDING- ASSISTANCE TO BLACKS

Question	Programs that assist blacks 1984: assistance to blacks 2002: aid to blacks
Valid	1. Increased 2. Same 3. Decreased 7. Cut out entirely (volunteered) 8. DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes preceding VCF0886.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V841005 1986: V860334 1988: V880381 1990: V900386 1992: V923729 2000: V000687 2002: V025119

VCF9051 SCHOOL PRAYER ALLOWED 2-CATEGORY

Question	Some people think it is all right for the public schools to start each day with a prayer. Others feel that religion does not belong in the public schools but should be taken care of by the family and the church. Have you been interested enough in this to favor one side over the other? (IF YES) Which do you think—schools should be allowed to start each day with a prayer or religion does not belong in the schools?
Valid	1. Schools should be allowed to start with prayer 5. Religion does not belong in the school 8. Other; depends; both (1964-1968); DK; no interest

Missing	9. NA opinion; DK/NA if interested; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640081 1966: V660030 1968: V680458 1980: V801134,V801135 1984: V841037,V84103

VCF9052 ARE THINGS IN U.S. GOING WELL OR NOT

Question	Let's talk about the country as a whole. Would you say that things in the country are generally going very well, fairly well, not too well or not well at all?
Valid	1. Very well 2. Fairly well 4. Not too well 5. Not well at all
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; Form B (1986) INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840226 1986: V860540 1988: V880238

VCF9053 INCOME KEPT UP WITH COSTS IN LAST YEAR

Question	Do you think that over the last year your/your family's income has gone up more than the cost of living, has it fallen behind, or has it stayed about even with the cost of living? (IF GONE UP OR FALLEN BEHIND) Has it (gone up/fallen behind) a lot or (gone up/fallen behind) a little?
Valid	1. Gone up a lot 2. Gone up a little 3. Same 4. Fallen behind a little 5. Fallen behind a lot 6. Gone up, DK/NA how much 7. Fallen behind, DK/NA how much
Missing	8. DK if gone up/fallen behind/stayed same 9. NA if gone up/fallen behind/stayed same; Form B (1986) INAP. question not used
Notes	1980 NOTE: The 1980 version of this variable was not comparable.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840154,V84015 1986: V860362,V86036 1988: V880210,V880211 1992: V923429,V923430

VCF9054 SENATE RACE IN STATE

Question	Checkpoint: Senate race in state of IW?
Valid	1. U.S. Senate race in state 2. No U.S. Senate race in state
Missing	9. no Post IW; Washington D.C. INAP. question not used
Notes	See Appendix NOTE 'STATES AND PARTIES OF ELECTIONS/CANDIDATES'. GENERAL NOTE: To determine whether a Senate race was held for no-post-IW cases, see VCF9055 codes 0, 81-85. In 2020, for 41 cases it was not possible to determine whether or not there was a senate race in R's state. These cases have been coded '9'.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780476 1980: V800833 1982: V820111 1984: V840060 1986: V860116 1988: V880578 1990: V900012,V900013,V900059 1992: V923022 1994: V940018 1996: V961090 1998: V980314 2000: V000204 2002: V024500b,V02504 2004: V044507 2012: prevote_senhhstate 2016: V161010e 2020: V203500

VCF9055 TYPE OF U.S. SENATE RACE

Question	Type of Senate race
Valid	12. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER 13. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT- OTHER CHALLENGER 14. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT- UNOPPOSED 19. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS 21. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER 23. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT- OTHER CHALLENGER 24. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT- UNOPPOSED 29. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS 51. DEMOCRATIC CAND UNOPPOSED (Democratic incumbent not running) 52. REPUBLICAN CAND UNOPPOSED (Democratic incumbent not running) 55. DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS (Democratic incumbent not running) 59. DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS (Democratic incumbent not running) 61. DEMOCRATIC CAND UNOPPOSED (Republican incumbent not running) 62. REPUBLICAN CAND UNOPPOSED (Republican incumbent not running) 65. DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS (Republican incumbent not running) 69. DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS (Republican incumbent not running) 75. DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS (other incumbent not running) 81. 2 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS: NO RACE IN STATE 82. 2 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS: NO RACE IN STATE 83. 1 DEMOCRATIC AND 1 OTHER INCUMBENT: NO RACE IN STATE 84. 1 REPUBLICAN AND 1 OTHER INCUMBENT: NO RACE IN STATE 85. 1 DEMOCRATIC AND 1 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT: NO RACE IN STATE 95. 2 Senate elections in state [regular Senate election and special Senate

election] (1992: CA ONLY; note that regular Senate election is open race with 2 nonincumbent candidates) (1994: TN ONLY)

Missing	00. Washington D.C.; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Non-major-party candidates are not accounted for here unless they are incumbents.</p> <p>1984 NOTE: 1 case in Connecticut which was mistakenly coded 82 in the study data has been corrected to 85 here. Also 1 case in Pennsylvania in 1984 which was mistakenly coded 85 in the study data has been corrected to 82 here.</p> <p>2002 NOTE: LA05 cases, where a Democratic incumbent was challenged by 2 Republicans, has been coded to 12.</p> <p>2016 NOTE: In 2016 two Democratic candidates ran in the California Senate election; typerace is coded 51.</p> <p>In 2020, for 41 cases it was not possible to determine whether or not there was a senate race in R's state. These cases have been coded '0'.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1978: V780005 1980: V800741 1982: V820007 1984: V840010,V84006 1986: V860044 1988: V880012,V880051 1990: V900059 1992: V923022 1994: V940018 1996: V960098 1998: V980066 2000: V000204 2002: V024500 2004: V044507 2012: typerace2012_sen 2016: V161010e 2020: V203500</p>

VCF9056 THERMOMETER - SENATE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE

Question	Senate Democratic candidate - feeling thermometer
Valid	0-100. Degrees as given
Missing	<p>990. No Democratic candidate 996. No race in state; Washington D.C. 997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge (2016: FTF only) 999. NA; refused; no Post IW INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.</p> <p>GENERAL NOTE: If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded.</p> <p>1992 NOTE: In California in 1992, the regular Senate election and a second 'special' Senate election were held. This variable codes data for the Democratic candidate in the regular Senate election only.</p> <p>1994 NOTE: same as 1992, for Tennessee</p> <p>2016 NOTE:</p>

Web respondents who did not recognize or know where to rate the candidate were instructed to skip the question and are included in code 999. Code 999 also includes 51 cases of preload failure for candidate name.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782001 1980: V800848 1982: V820126 1986: V860131 1988: V880596 1990: V900140 1992: V925304 1994: V940231 1998: V980241 2000: V001301 2002: V025047 2004: V044507,V045050 2012: ftpo_src 2016: V162085 2020: V202148

VCF9057 THERMOMETER - SENATE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE

Question	Senate Republican candidate - feeling thermometer
Valid	0-100. Degrees as given
Missing	990. No Republican candidate 996. No race in state; Washington D.C. 997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. GENERAL NOTE: If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded. 1992 NOTE: In California in 1992, the regular Senate election and a second 'special' Senate election were held. This variable codes data for the Democratic candidate in the regular Senate election only. 1994 NOTE: same as 1992, for Tennessee 2016 NOTE: Web respondents who did not recognize or know where to rate the candidate were instructed to skip the question and are included in code 999. Code 999 also includes 51 cases of preload failure for candidate name and includes 40 cases where a FTF programming error caused the instrument to skip the question.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V782002 1980: V800849 1982: V820127 1986: V860132 1988: V880597 1990: V900141 1992: V925305 1994: V940232 1998: V980242 2000: V001302 2002: V025048,V025049 2004: V044507,V045051 2012: ftpo_src

2016: V162086
2020: V202149

VCF9058 THERMOMETER - SENATE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE

Question	Senate incumbent candidate - feeling thermometer
Valid	0-100. Degrees as given
Missing	990. No incumbent candidate 996. No race in state; Washington D.C. 997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	Built from VCF9056 and VCF9057. See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. GENERAL NOTE: If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded. If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded. 1992 NOTE: In California in 1992, the regular Senate election and a second 'special' Senate election were held. This variable codes data for the Democratic candidate in the regular Senate election only. 1994 NOTE: same as 1992, for Tennessee
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9059 THERMOMETER - SENATE CHALLENGER

Question	Senate challenger to incumbent candidate - feeling thermometer
Valid	0-100. Degrees as given
Missing	990. No incumbent candidate; incumbent candidate is unopposed 996. No race in state; Washington D.C. 997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	Built from VCF9056 and VCF9057. See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. GENERAL NOTE: If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded. If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded. 1992 NOTE: In California in 1992, the regular Senate election and a second 'special' Senate election were held. This variable codes data for the Democratic candidate in the regular Senate election only. 1994 NOTE: same as 1992, for Tennessee 2016 NOTE: Correction to 2016 data are in progress and will be provided in a future release.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
---------------	----------------------------

VCF9060 THERMOMETER - SENATOR IN STATE WITH SENATE RACE

Question	Senator not up for re-election in state with Senate race - feeling thermometer
Valid	0-100. Degrees as given
Missing	996. No race in state; Washington D.C.; 2 senate races: CA (1992 ONLY), TN (1994 ONLY) 997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix NOTE 'STATES AND PARTIES OF ELECTIONS/CANDIDATES'. See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 2016 NOTE: Web respondents who did not recognize or know where to rate the person were instructed to skip the question and are included in code 999. Code 999 also includes 51 cases of preload failure for candidate name and includes 41 cases where a FTF programming error caused the instrument to skip the question.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780145 1980: V800854 1982: V820131 1986: V860133 1988: V880598 1990: V900142 1992: V925308 1994: V940235 2004: V044507,V044511b,V045055 2016: V162090 2020: V202155

VCF9061 THERMOMETER - SENATOR 1 IN STATE WITH NO SENATE RACE)

Question	First Senator in state without Senate race - feeling thermometer
Valid	0-100. Degrees as given
Missing	996. Race in state; Washington D.C.; 2 Senate races: CA (1992 ONLY), TN (1994 ONLY) 997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix NOTE 'STATES AND PARTIES OF ELECTIONS/CANDIDATES'. See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1978: V780148 1980: V800852 1982: V820129 1986: V860134 1988: V880600 1990: V900143 1992: V925309 1994: V940236 2004: V044507,V045053
----------------	---

VCF9062 THERMOMETER - SENATOR 2 IN STATE WITH NO SENATE RACE)

Question	Second Senator in state without Senate race - feeling thermometer
Valid	0-100. Degrees as given
Missing	996. Race in state; Washington D.C.; 2 Senate races: CA (1992 ONLY), TN (1994 ONLY) 997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix NOTE 'STATES AND PARTIES OF ELECTIONS/CANDIDATES'. See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780149 1980: V800853 1982: V820130 1986: V860135 1988: V880599 1990: V900144 1992: V925310 1994: V940237 2004: V044507,V045054

VCF9063 RECALL NAMES OF U.S. SENATE CANDIDATES

Question	ALL YEARS EXCEPT 1992 CALIFORNIA ONLY: How about the candidates who ran in this state for the United States Senate in Washington? Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were? 1992 CALIFORNIA ONLY: As you know, there were two Senate races in California this year. Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No; DK
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW; Washington D.C. 0. No Senate race in state INAP. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	

1974: VV74217
 1978: V780126
 1980: V800834
 1982: V820112
 1986: V860117
 1988: V880579
 1990: V900121
 1992: V925202,V925215

VCF9064 RECALL CORRECT NAME OF U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE

Question **How about the candidates who ran in this state for the United States Senate in Washington? Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were? / (1992 CALIFORNIA ONLY:) As you know, there were two Senate races in California this year. Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were**
ALL YEARS:
Who were they? (1ST MENTION)

Valid

1. NAME: valid, PARTY: correct
2. NAME: valid, PARTY: incorrect
3. NAME: valid, PARTY: DK/NA
4. NAME: invalid, PARTY: any
5. NAME: invalid, PARTY: DK/NA
6. NAME: DK/NA, PARTY: any
7. NAME: DK, PARTY: DK/NA;

NAME: NA, PARTY: DK (except 1978);
 R says that s/he recalls no names (5 in VCF9063)

Missing

9. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C.; NA if remembers any names (9 in VCF9063)
0. No Senate race in state
 INAP. question not used

Notes

1974 NOTE:
 1974 data are not coded comparably.

1978 NOTE:
 NA in code 9 also includes 'NA name, DK party.'

1992 NOTE:
 In California in 1992, in addition to the regular Senate election a second 'special' Senate election was held and 4 –rather than 3 – Senate recall mentions were coded for CA only in the original data. For 1992 CA cases, CDF vars VCF9064-9066 are coded only for mentions which are not mentions of 'special' election candidates (not candidate '93' nor '94' in the original study data). If a 1992 California respondent has recall but R's ONLY mention(s) refer to the special election candidates, then 8 has been coded in VCF9064-9066 [98 in VCF9067].

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1978: V780129
 1980: V800837
 1982: V820115
 1986: V860121
 1988: V880583
 1990: V900125
 1992: V925206,V925216,V92521

VCF9065 RECALL CORRECT NAME OF U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE

Question	How about the candidates who ran in this state for the United States Senate in Washington? Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were? Who were they? (2ND MENTION)
Valid	<p>1. NAME: valid, PARTY: correct 2. NAME: valid, PARTY: incorrect 3. NAME: valid, PARTY: DK/NA 4. NAME: invalid, PARTY: any 5. NAME: invalid, PARTY: DK/NA 6. NAME: DK/NA, PARTY: any 7. NAME: DK, PARTY: DK/NA; NAME: NA, PARTY: DK (1978 only)</p> <p>R says that s/he recalls no names (5 in VCF9063); R only has recall(s) for 'special' election (1992, CA only); no second mention</p>
Missing	<p>9. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C. 0. No Senate race in state INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF9064.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1978: V780132 1980: V800840 1982: V820118 1986: V860125 1988: V880587 1990: V900129 1992: V925210,V925223</p>

VCF9066 RECALL CORRECT NAME OF U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE

Question	How about the candidates who ran in this state for the United States Senate in Washington? Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were? Who were they? (3RD MENTION)
Valid	<p>1. NAME: valid, PARTY: correct 2. NAME: valid, PARTY: incorrect 3. NAME: valid, PARTY: DK/NA 4. NAME: invalid, PARTY: any 5. NAME: invalid, PARTY: DK/NA 6. NAME: DK/NA, PARTY: any 7. NAME: DK, PARTY: DK/NA; NAME: NA, PARTY: DK (1978 only)</p> <p>R says that s/he recalls no names (5 in VCF9063); R only has recall(s) for 'special' election (1992, CA only); no third mention</p>
Missing	<p>9. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C. 0. No Senate race in state INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF9064.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1978: V780135 1980: V800843</p>

1982: V820121
 1986: V860129
 1988: V880591
 1990: V900133
 1992: V925214,V925227

VCF9067 NUMBER OF RECALLED NAMES OF U.S. SENATE CANDIDATES

Question	Summary: number of correct recalls– U.S. Senate candidates
Valid	<p>NONAME CORRECT 00. R's recall of any name(s) incorrect/DK/NA and any party mention(s) mention incorrect/DK/NA; R says s/he recalls no names; R only has recall(s) for 'special' election (1992, CA only)</p> <p>ONE CORRECT NAME 10. R's recall correct for one name; party mention incorrect/DK/NA 11. R's recall correct for one name; party mention correct</p> <p>TWO CORRECT NAMES 20. R's recall correct for 2 names; both party mentions incorrect/DK/NA 21. R's recall correct for 2 names; one party mention correct 22. R's recall correct for 2 names; both party mentions correct</p> <p>THREE CORRECT NAMES 30. R's recall correct for 3 names; all party mentions incorrect/DK/NA 31. R's recall correct for 3 names; one party mention correct 32. R's recall correct for 3 names; two party mentions correct 33. R's recall correct for 3 names; three party mentions correct</p>
Missing	<p>97.No Senate race in state 99. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C. INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF9063-VCF9066</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9069 STRENGTH APPROVE/DISAPPROVE RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

Question	In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way [running U.S. House incumbent Representative] has been handling his/her job? (IF APPROVE/DISAPPROVE) Do you approve/disapprove strongly or approve/disapprove not strongly?
Valid	<p>1. Approve strongly 2. Approve not strongly 4. Disapprove not strongly 5. Disapprove strongly 8. DK strongly or not strongly; DK if approve/ disapprove (8 in VCF0991)</p>

Missing	9. NA strongly or not strongly; NA if approve/ disapprove; no Post IW (presidential years); short form (1992) 0. No running incumbent (includes Washington D.C.) INAP. question not used
Notes	This is a follow-up to VCF0991. GENERAL NOTE: If the U.S. House representative in R's district was NOT running for re-election (i.e., was 'retiring'), then this question does not apply (0 coded). If there was no running incumbent and no post IW, then 9 (no post) has been coded.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800973 1986: V860354 1988: V880790 1990: V900404,V900405 1992: V925710,V925711 1994: V940637,V940638 1996: V961124 1998: V980326,V980327 2000: V001361 2004: V045091,V045092,V045092 2012: hseinc_approval_x 2016: V162114x 2020: V202203x

VCF9070 AGREEMENT W/ RUNNING HOUSE INCUMBENT'S LEGISLATIVE VOTES

Question	Now we would like your opinion about the way [running U.S. House incumbent representative] has voted on bills that have come up in the U.S. House of Representatives in Washington. 1982: Would you say that you generally agreed or generally disagreed with the way he/she has voted on bills, have you agreed sometimes but disagreed others, or haven't you thought much about this? 1986,1988: Would you say that you have generally agreed with the way he/she has voted on bills, agreed and disagreed about equally, generally disagreed, or haven't paid much attention to this?
Valid	1. Agreed 3. Agreed and disagreed about equally (1982: Agree sometimes, disagree sometimes) 5. Disagreed
Missing	8. Haven't paid much attention (1986,1988); haven't thought much about this (1982) 9. NA; no Post IW 0. No running incumbent (includes Washington D.C.) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: If the U.S. House representative in R's district was NOT running for re-election (i.e., was 'retiring'), then this question does not apply (0 coded). If there was no running incumbent and no post IW, then 9 (no post) has been coded. 1978 NOTE: The 1978 version of this variable was not coded comparably. 1982 NOTE: Study data included variables for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the running incumbent who represented R's sampling location

BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent' for Cumulative Data File definition. See NOTE VCF0902 describing the congressional districts affected and designation of candidates as the (running) 'incumbent.'

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1982: V820207,V820244,V820261 1986: V860294 1988: V880794

VCF9071 REMEMBER RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT VOTE ON ANY BILL

Question	Was there any bill in particular that you remember how [House running incumbent] voted on in the last couple of years?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW 0. No running incumbent (includes Washington D.C.); NJ01 (1990) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: If the U.S. House representative in R's district was NOT running for re-election (i.e., was 'retiring'), then this question does not apply (0 coded). If there was no running incumbent and no post IW, then 9 (no post) has been coded.</p> <p>1990 NOTE: for New Jersey congressional district 01, the U.S. Representative was neither 'retiring' nor running at the time of the 1990 general elections. The Representative from NJ01 had campaigned for and been elected to another office in 1989, and the office of Representative was not (in effect) occupied until after the 1990 elections (code 0).</p> <p>1978 NOTE: The 1978 version of this variable were not comparable.</p> <p>1982 NOTE: same as 1978.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860295 1988: V880795 1990: V900058,V900314

VCF9072 AGREE WITH RUNNING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT VOTE ON BILL

Question	Was there any bill in particular that you remember how s/he [House running incumbent] voted on in the last couple of years? (IF YES) Did you agree or disagree with the way s/he voted on that bill?
Valid	1. Agreed 5. Disagreed
Missing	

8. DK
 9. NA; no Post IW
 0. No running incumbent (includes Washington D.C.); R does not remember or DK/NA if remembers any House votes (5,8,9 in VCF9071)
 INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: If the U.S. House representative in R's district was NOT running for re-election (i.e., was 'retiring'), then this question does not apply (0 coded). If there was no running incumbent and no post IW, then 9 (no post) has been coded.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860296 1988: V880796 1990: V900058,V900315

VCF9073 PRESIDENT ON DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE

Question	Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. 2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [president] on this issue? ALL YEARS: Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Greatly decrease defense spending 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Greatly increase defense spending
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale not 1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: In all years before 1996, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' or if his/her response was NA in rating self on this same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. Presidents: Jimmy Carter 1980; Ronald Reagan 1982,1984,1986,1988; George Bush Sr. 1990,1992; Bill Clinton 1996; George W. Bush, 2004.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800282 1982: V820408 1984: V840396 1986: V860406 1988: V880317 1990: V900440 1992: V923708

1996: V960466
2004: V043144

VCF9074 PRESIDENT ON COOPERATION WITH USSR SCALE

Question **1980:**
Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia.
1984,1988:
Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia.
ALL YEARS:
Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid

1. Cooperate more/try to get along with Russia
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Get much tougher/big mistake to try to get along with Russia

Missing

8. DK
9. NA; no Post IW
0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale

INAP. question not used

Notes GENERAL NOTE:
See NOTE VCF9073.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1980: V801079
1984: V840409
1988: V880375

VCF9075 PRESIDENT ON WOMEN'S EQUAL ROLE SCALE

Question **2004:**
Please look at page [page] of the booklet.
Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.
Where would you place [president] (on this issue)?
ALL YEARS:
Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home.
Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid	1. Women and men should have an equal role 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Women's place is in the home
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale not 1996 or later) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9073.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720233 1976: V763788 1978: V780390 1980: V801095 1982: V820436 1988: V880392 1996: V960544 1998: V980449 2004: V043198

VCF9076 PRESIDENT ON AID TO BLACKS SCALE

Question	<p>1970-1984, 1988 FORM B: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks (1970: negroes) and other minority groups (1980: even if it means giving them preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves.</p> <p>1988 FORM A, 1990 AND LATER: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.</p> <p>2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place [president] on this issue? ALL YEARS: Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN)</p>
Valid	1. Government should help minority blacks/minorities 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves
Missing	

8. DK
 9. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (2000)
 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (1972-1984,1988, 1990); R self-rating is 'haven't thought much about it' (1970)
 INAP. question not used

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:
 See NOTE VCF9073.
 ADDITIONAL NOTE:
 Note that form B in 1988 and all previous years specified 'blacks and other minorities' in this question while form A in 1988 and all cases in 1990 specified only 'blacks.' To filter for form A/B responses, use variableVCF0012.
 2000 NOTE:
 Telephone respondents were asked a branching series and are excluded here.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1970: V700109(type 0)
 1972: V720630
 1974: VV74229
 1976: V763265
 1978: V780374
 1980: V801063
 1982: V820416
 1984: V840383
 1988: V880339(form A),V880347(form B)
 1990: V90044
 1994: V940937
 1996: V960490
 2000: V000646
 2004: V043160
 2012: aidblack_dpc

VCF9077 PRESIDENT ON GOVERNMENT HEALTH INSURANCE SCALE

Question

There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs.
Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses (1994: for everyone). Others feel that medical expenses should be paid by individuals, and through private insurance[1996: plans] like Blue Cross (1994: or [1996:some] other company paid plans).
Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid

1. Government insurance plan
 2.
 3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Private insurance plan

Missing

8. DK
 9. NA; Form II (1972)
 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (1972,1976,1978); R self-rating is 'haven't thought much about it' (1970)
 INAP. question not used

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:
 See NOTE VCF9073.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1970: V700137(type 0) 1972: V720209 1976: V763274 1978: V780382 1994: V940951 1996: V960480 2012: inspre_dpc
----------------	--

VCF9078 PRESIDENT ON GOVERNMENT SPENDING/SERVICES SCALE

Question	<p>2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [president] on this issue? ALL YEARS: Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education, in order to reduce spending. Other people feel that it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<p>1. Government should provide many fewer services: Reduce spending a lot 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government should provide many more services: Increase spending a lot</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale all years before 1996) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9073. 2000 NOTE: Telephone respondents were asked a branching series and are excluded here.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1982: V820444 1984: V840376 1986: V860449 1988: V880309 1990: V900453 1992: V923702 1994: V940941 1996: V960453 1998: V980464 2000: V000551 2004: V043138 2012: spsrvpr_ssdpc

VCF9079 PRESIDENT ON GUARANTEED JOBS AND LIVING SCALE

Question	<p>Please look at page 9 of the booklet.</p> <p>Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.</p> <p>Where would you place [president] on this issue?</p> <p>ALL YEARS:</p> <p>Some people feel that the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person ahead on his own. And, of course, other people have opinions somewhere in between. Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Government see to job and good standard of living 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government let each person get ahead on his own
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK; haven't thought much about it 9. NA; no Post IW; form II (1972); short-form or Spanish language IW (1992) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (all yrs. exc. 1992,1996 and later) <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9073.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1972: V720173 1974: VV74226 1976: V763242 1978: V780358 1980: V801111 1982: V820426 1984: V840415 1988: V880330 1992: V923719 1994: V940931 1996: V960484 1998: V980458 2004: V043154 2012: guarpr_dpc</p>

VCF9080 PRESIDENT ON LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE

Question	<p>We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. I'm going to [1996-LATER: Here is a] show you a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p> <p>Where would you place [president] on this scale?</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Extremely liberal 2. Liberal 3. Slightly liberal 4. Moderate; middle of the road

	5. Slightly conservative 6. Conservative 7. Extremely conservative
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; forms III, IV (1972); R selected for branching version of this question 0. DK/Haven't thought about self-rating on same 7pt scale [see above] (not 1996 or later) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9073. 2000 NOTE: Telephone respondents were asked a branching series and are excluded here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720653 1974: VV74230 1976: V763287 1978: V780400 1980: V800268 1982: V820394 1984: V840371 1986: V860387 1988: V880236 1990: V900408 1992: V923514 1994: V940841 1996: V960369 1998: V980403 2000: V000448 2004: V043087 2012: libcpre_dpc

VCF9081 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE

Question	<p>2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<p>1. Greatly decrease defense spending 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Greatly increase defense spending</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000)</p>

0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (before 1996)
INAP. question not used

Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Democratic Presidential candidates: McGovern 1972; Carter 1976, 1980; Mondale 1984; Dukakis 1988; Clinton 1992, 1996; Gore 2000. In years prior to 1996, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' rating self on same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order of the major Presidential candidate names for this placement. 2000 NOTE: Telephone respondents were administered a branching series instead and are excluded here. 2012 NOTE: The 7-point scale used in 2012 was not comparable.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1980: V800282 1984: V840397 1988: V880311 1992: V923709 1996: V960466 2000: V000588 2004: V043145 2016: V161182</p>

VCF9082 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- COOPERATION WITH U.S.S.R. SCALE

Question	<p>1980: Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia. 1984,1988: Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia. ALL YEARS: Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<p>1. Try to cooperate more with Russia (1984,1988)/ Important to try very hard to get along with Russia(1980) 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Get much tougher with Russia (1984,1988)/Big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK 9. NA: no Post IW INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9081.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1980: V801079 1984: V840410 1988: V880369</p>

VCF9083 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- WOMEN EQUAL ROLE SCALE

Question	<p>2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Democratic presidential candidate] (on this issue)?</p> <p>ALL YEARS: Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home. Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Women and men should have an equal role 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Women's place is in the home
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (before 1996) <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9081.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1972: V720234 1976: V763789 1980: V801095 1988: V880388 1996: V960544 2000: V000761 2004: V043199</p>

VCF9084 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- AID TO BLACKS SCALE

Question	<p>1972,1976,1980,1984 and 1988 FORM B: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every (1972, 1976: possible) effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks and other minority groups (1988 B: other minorities) (1980: even if it means giving the preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves.</p> <p>1988 Form A, 1996 AND LATER: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.</p> <p>2004:</p>
-----------------	--

Please look at page [page] of the booklet.
 Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place [the Democratic presidential candidate] (on this issue)?

ALL YEARS:

Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid	1. Government should help Blacks/minorities 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (before 1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9081. 1988 NOTE: Form A asked about 'blacks' only, while Form B asked about 'blacks and other minorities' (to filter for Form A/B responses, use variable VCF0012).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720631 1976: V763266 1980: V801063 1984: V840384 1988: V880333,V880341 1996: V960490 2000: V000651 2004: V043161 2012: aidblack_dpc 2016: V161199 2020: V201259

VCF9085 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- GOVERNMENT HEALTH INSURANCE SCALE

Question	There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses. Others feel that medical expenses should be paid by individuals, and through private insurance like Blue Cross. Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Government insurance plan 2. 3. 4. 5.

-
6.
7. Private insurance plan

Missing	8. DK 9. NA; Form II (1972) 0. 'Haven't thought much about it' for Democratic candidate's rating (1988 only); R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (1972,1976) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9081.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720210 1976: V763275 1988: V880319 1996: V960480 2012: inspre_dpc 2016: V161185 2020: V201253

VCF9086 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- GOVERNMENT SERVICES-SPENDING SCALE

Question	<p>2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Democratic presidential candidate] (on this issue)?</p> <p>ALL YEARS: Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<p>1. Gov't provide many fewer services, reduce spending a lot 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot</p>
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (before 1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9081. 1980 NOTE: The 1980 version of the question is not comparable. 1984 NOTE:</p>

In 1984 this question appeared in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840377 1988: V880303 1992: V923703 1996: V960453 2000: V000557 2004: V043139 2012: spsrvr_ssdpc 2016: V161179 2020: V201247

VCF9087 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- GUARANTEED JOBS AND LIVING SCALE

Question	<p>2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place [the Democratic presidential candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his (1988: their) own. Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<p>1. Government see to job and good standard of living 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government let each person get ahead on own</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK 9. NA; Form II (1972); no Post IW; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'Haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (before 1996 exc. 1988,1992) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9081.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720174 1976: V763243 1980: V801111 1984: V840416 1988: V880324 1992: V923720 1996: V960484 2000: V000621 2004: V043155

2012: guarpr_dpc
2016: V161190

VCF9088 DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CAND- LIBERAL- CONSERVATIVE SCALE

Question We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid

1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate; middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative

Missing

8. DK
9. NA; mail questionnaire; no Post IW; R selected for branching series version of this question (2000)
0. R did not rate self on same 7-pt scale (see above)

INAP. question not used

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:
Democratic Presidential candidates: McGovern 1972; Carter 1976, 1980; Mondale 1984; Dukakis 1988; Clinton 1992, 1996; Gore 2000.
In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order of the major Presidential candidate names for this placement.
In 1972-1980, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. [NOTE that in 1972-1982 there was no follow-up question ('if you had to choose.') for self-rating.]
In 1984,1988,1992, if R's self-placement was NA on the 7-point scale, then this question was not asked. If R replied 'haven't thought much about it' or DK to this same 7-point scale, then R was prompted, 'if you had to choose...' If R's response to the follow-up in 1984-1992 was DK, RF or NA, then R was not asked this question.
1972 NOTE:
Respondents in Post mail sample were not asked this question.
1980 NOTE:
In 1980, this question appeared in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here.
2000 NOTE:
The 7-point scale version from both modes is represented here; both telephone and FTF respondents were randomly selected for administration of the traditional 7-pt scale version of this question or a branching series.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1972: V720654
1976: V763287
1980: V800268
1984: V840372
1988: V880231
1992: V923515
1996: V960369
2000: V000455
2004: V043088
2016: V161128
2020: V201202

VCF9089 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE

Question	<p>2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<p>1. Greatly decrease defense spending 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Greatly increase defense spending</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'Haven't thought much about it' (before 1996) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: Republican Presidential candidates: Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush Sr. 1988,1992; Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000. In all years except 1996 and 2000, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' rating self on same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order of the major Presidential candidate names for this placement. 2000 NOTE: Telephone respondents were administered a branching series instead and are excluded here. 2012 NOTE: The 7-point scale used in 2012 was not comparable.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1980: V800283 1984: V840396 1988: V880312 1992: V923708 1996: V960469 2000: V000593 2004: V043144 2016: V161183</p>

VCF9090 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- COOPERATION WITH U.S.S.R. SCALE

Question	<p>1980: Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia. 1984,1988:</p>
-----------------	--

Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia.

ALL YEARS:

Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid	1. Try to cooperate more with Russia (1984,1988)/ Important to try very hard to get along with Russia (1980) 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Get much tougher with Russia (1984,1988)/Big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V801080 1984: V840409 1988: V880370

VCF9091 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- WOMEN EQUAL ROLE SCALE

Question	<p>2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Republican presidential candidate] (on this issue)?</p> <p>ALL YEARS: Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home. Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	1. Women and men should have an equal role 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Women's palce is in the home
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'Haven't thought much about it' (before 1996) INAP. question not used

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720233 1976: V763788 1980: V801096 1988: V880389 1996: V960545 2000: V000766 2004: V043198

VCF9092 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- AID TO BLACKS SCALE

Question	<p>1972,1976,1980,1984 and 1988 FORM B: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every (1972, 1976: possible) effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks and other minority groups (1988 B: other minorities) (1980: even if it means giving the preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves.</p> <p>1988 Form A, 1996 AND LATER: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.</p> <p>2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place [the Republican presidential candidate] (on this issue)?</p> <p>ALL YEARS: Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Government should help Blacks/minorities 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'Haven't thought much about it' (before 1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089.</p> <p>1988 NOTE: Form A asked about 'blacks' only, while Form B asked about 'blacks and other minorities' (to filter for Form A/B responses, use variable VCF0012).</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1972: V720630 1976: V763265 1980: V801064 1984: V840383 1988: V880334,V880342 1996: V960492 2000: V000656 2004: V043160 2012: aidblack_rpc 2016: V161200 2020: V201260
----------------	--

VCF9093 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- GOVERNMENT HEALTH INSURANCE SCALE

Question	There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses. Others feel that medical expenses should be paid by individuals, and through private insurance like Blue Cross. Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
-----------------	---

Valid	1. Government insurance plan 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Private insurance plan
--------------	---

Missing	8. DK 9. NA; Form II (1972) 0. 'Haven't thought much about it' for Republican candidate's rating (1988 only); R self-rating is DK or 'Haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (1972,1976) INAP. question not used
----------------	---

Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089.
--------------	------------------------------------

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
---------------	----------------------------

Sources	1972: V720209 1976: V763274 1988: V880320 1996: V960481 2012: inspre_rpc 2016: V161186 2020: V201254
----------------	--

VCF9094 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- GOVERNMENT SERVICES-SPENDING SCALE

Question	2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at
-----------------	--

one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

Where would you place [the Republican presidential candidate] (on this issue)?

ALL YEARS:

Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending.

Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid	1. Gov't provide many fewer services, reduce spending a lot 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (before 1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089. 1980 NOTE: The 1980 version of the question in not comparable. 1984 NOTE: In 1984 this question appeared in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840376 1988: V880304 1992: V923702 1996: V960455 2000: V000563 2004: V043138 2012: spsrvr_ssrpc 2016: V161180 2020: V201248

VCF9095 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- GUARANTEED JOBS AND LIVING SCALE

Question	2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place [the Republican presidential candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS:
-----------------	---

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living.

Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his (1988 and after: their) own.

Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R) (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid	1. Government see to job and good standard of living 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government let each person get ahead on own
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; Form II (1972); no Post IW; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (before 1996 exc. 1988,1992) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720173 1976: V763242 1980: V801112 1984: V840415 1988: V880325 1992: V923719 1996: V960485 2000: V000626 2004: V043154 2012: guarpr_rpc 2016: V161191

VCF9096 REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAND- LIBERAL- CONSERVATIVE SCALE

Question	We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Extremely liberal 2. Liberal 3. Slightly liberal 4. Moderate; middle of the road 5. Slightly conservative 6. Conservative 7. Extremely conservative
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; mail questionnaire; no Post IW; R selected for branching series version of this question (2000) 0. R did not rate self on same 7pt scale (see above) INAP. question not used
Notes	See NOTE VCF9089. GENERAL NOTE: Republican Presidential candidates: Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush Sr. 1988,1992; Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000. In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order of the major

Presidential candidate names for this placement.
 In 1972-1980, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. [NOTE that in 1972-1982 there was no follow-up question ('if you had to choose.') for self-rating.]
 In 1984,1988,1992, if R's self-placement was NA on the 7-point scale, then this question was not asked. If R replied 'haven't thought much about it' or DK to this same 7-point scale, then R was prompted, 'If you had to choose...' If R's response to the follow-up in 1984-1992 was DK, RF or NA, then R was not asked this question.
 1972 NOTE:
 Respondents in Post mail sample were not asked this question.
 1980 NOTE:
 In 1980, this question appeared in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here.
 2000 NOTE:
 The 7-point scale version from both modes is represented here; both telephone and FTF respondents were randomly selected for administration of the traditional 7-pt scale version of this question or a branching series.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720653 1976: V763287 1980: V800269 1984: V840371 1988: V880232 1992: V923514 1996: V960371 2000: V000465 2004: V043087 2012: libcpre_rpc 2016: V161129 2020: V201203

VCF9097 DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND- WOMEN EQUAL ROLE SCALE

Question	Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home. Where would you place [the Democratic House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Women and men should have an equal role 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Women's place is in the home
Missing	8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer) 9. NA; no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Democratic candidate in district race (incl. Washington DC) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: Responses responses for independent or 'other' candidate are not included here for districts having only one major party candidate (opposite party).. In all years before 1998, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same scale, then R was not asked this

question.
 1998 NOTE 1:
 Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement.
 1998 NOTE 2:
 If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic Candidate for the thermometer rating, then this question was not asked.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780395 1980: V801099 1982: V820439 1998: V980451

VCF9098 DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND- AID TO BLACKS SCALE

Question	Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks (1970: negroes) and other minority groups (1980: even if it means giving them preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves. Where would you place [the Democratic House candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Government should help Blacks/minorities 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves
Missing	8. DK/Don't Recognize 9. NA; no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Democratic candidate in district race (incl. Washington DC) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9097.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780377 1980: V801067 1982: V820419

VCF9099 DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND- GOVERNMENT SERVICES-SPENDING SCALE

Question	2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services
-----------------	---

even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

Where would you place [the Democratic house candidate] (on this issue)?

ALL YEARS:

Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending.

Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

Where would you place [the Democratic U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid	<p>1. Gov't provide many fewer services, reduce spending a lot</p> <p>2.</p> <p>3.</p> <p>4.</p> <p>5.</p> <p>6.</p> <p>7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer)</p> <p>9. NA; telephone (1984); no Post IW; telephone IW (2000)</p> <p>0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Democratic candidate in district race (incl. Washington DC)</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9097. 1996 NOTE 1: Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement. 1996 NOTE 2: If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic candidate for the thermometer rating, then this question was not asked. 2000 NOTE: This question was administered as a 7-point scale to FTF respondents only; telephone respondents were administered a branching series and are excluded here.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1982: V820447</p> <p>1984: V841031</p> <p>1986: V860450</p> <p>1990: V900454</p> <p>1994: V940942</p> <p>1996: V960459</p> <p>1998: V980466</p> <p>2000: V001391</p> <p>2004: V045046,V045122</p>

VCF9100 DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND- GUARANTEED JOBS AND LIVING SCALE

Question	<p>Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living.</p> <p>Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his own.</p> <p>Where would you place [the Democratic U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	<p>1. Government see to job and good standard of living</p> <p>2.</p> <p>3.</p> <p>4.</p>

	5.
	6.
	7. Government let each person get ahead on own
Missing	8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer) 9. NA; telephone (1984); no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Democratic candidate in district race (incl. Washington DC) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9097.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780361 1980: V801115 1982: V820429 1984: V841051 1994: V940932 1998: V980460

VCF9101 DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CAND- LIBERAL- CONSERVATIVE SCALE

Question	We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place [the Democratic U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Extremely liberal 2. Liberal 3. Slightly liberal 4. Moderate; middle of the road 5. Slightly conservative 6. Conservative 7. Extremely conservative
Missing	8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer) 9. NA; no Post IW (1980,2000); telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no Democratic candidate in district race (incl. Washington DC) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9097. 1996 NOTE 1: Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement. 1996 NOTE 2: If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic candidate for the thermometer rating, then this question was not asked. 2000 NOTE: This question was administered as a 7-point scale to FTF respondents only; telephone respondents were administered a branching series and are excluded here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780404 1980: V801049 1982: V820401 1986: V860388 1990: V900409 1994: V940843 1996: V960375

1998: V980407
 2000: V001378
 2004: V045046,V045119

VCF9102 REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND- WOMEN EQUAL ROLE SCALE

Question Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home.
 Where would you place [the Republican U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid

1. Women and men should have an equal role
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Women's place is in the home

Missing

8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer)
9. NA; no Post IW
0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Republican candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.)
- INAP. question not used

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:
 Responses responses for independent or 'other' candidate are not included here for districts having only one major party candidate (opposite party)..
 In all years before 1998, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same scale, then R was not asked this question.
 1998 NOTE 1:
 Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement.
 1998 NOTE 2:
 If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic Candidate for the thermometer rating, then this question was not asked.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1978: V780396
 1980: V801100
 1982: V820440
 1998: V980451

VCF9103 REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND- AID TO BLACKS SCALE

Question Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every (1978: possible) effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks and other minority groups (1980: even if it means giving the preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves.
 Where would you place [the Republican U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid	1. Government should help Blacks/minorities 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves
Missing	8. DK/Don't Recognize 9. NA; no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Republican candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See VCF9102 NOTE.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780378 1980: V801068 1982: V820420

VCF9104 REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND- GOVERNMENT SERVICES-SPENDING SCALE

Question	<p>2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Republican U.S. house candidate] (on this issue)?</p> <p>ALL YEARS: Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Where would you place [the Republican U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)</p>
Valid	1. Gov't provide many fewer services, reduce spending a lot 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot
Missing	8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer) 9. NA; telephone IW (1984,2000); no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Republican candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9102. 1996 NOTE 1: Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement. 1996 NOTE 2:

If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic candidate for the thermometer rating, then this question was not asked.

2000 NOTE:

This question was administered as a 7-point scale to FTF respondents only; telephone respondents were administered a branching series and are excluded here.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1982: V820448 1984: V841032 1986: V860451 1990: V900455 1994: V940943 1996: V960460 1998: V980467 2000: V001397 2004: V045047,V045123

VCF9105 REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND- GUARANTEED JOBS AND LIVING SCALE

Question	Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his own. Where would you place [the Republican U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Government see to job and good standard of living 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government let each person get ahead on own
Missing	8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer) 9. NA; telephone IW (1984); no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Republican candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9102.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780362 1980: V801116 1982: V820430 1984: V841052 1994: V940933 1998: V980461

VCF9106 REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CAND- LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE

Question	We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might
-----------------	--

**hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.
Where would you place [the Republican U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)**

Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Extremely liberal 2. Liberal 3. Slightly liberal 4. Moderate; middle of the road 5. Slightly conservative 6. Conservative 7. Extremely conservative
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer) 9. NA; no Post IW; R selected for branching series version of this question (2000) 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no Republican candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.) <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9097. 1996 NOTE 1: Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement. 1996 NOTE 2: If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic candidate for the thermometer rating, then this question was not asked. 2000 NOTE: This question was administered as a 7-point scale to FTF respondents only; telephone respondents were administered a branching series and are excluded here.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1978: V780405 1980: V801050 1982: V820402 1986: V860389 1990: V900411 1994: V940845 1996: V960377 1998: V980409 2000: V001380 2004: V045047,V045120</p>

VCF9107 INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND- WOMEN EQUAL ROLE SCALE

Question	Women's equal role – incumbent House cand placement on 7-point scale
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Women and men should have an equal role 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Women's place is in the home
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK/Don't Recognize 9. NA; no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.) <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9097, VCF9102.</p>

1982 NOTE:

1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9108 INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND- AID TO BLACKS SCALE

Question**Aid to blacks – incumbent House cand placement on 7-point scale****Valid**

1. Government should help Blacks/minorities
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves

Missing

8. DK/Don't Recognize
9. NA; no Post IW
0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.)
- INAP. question not used

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:

For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9098, VCF9103.

1982 NOTE:

1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9109 INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND- GOVERNMENT SERVICES-SPENDING SCALE

Question**Govt services/spending – incumbent House cand placement on 7-point scale****Valid**

1. Government should provide many fewer services: reduce spending a lot
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Government should provide many more services: increase spending a lot

Missing

8. DK/Don't Recognize
 9. NA; telephone IW (1984,2000); no Post IW
 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.)
 INAP. question not used

Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9099, VCF9104.</p> <p>1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9110 INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND- GUARANTEED JOBS AND LIVING SCALE

Question	Guaranteed jobs/living – incumbent House cand placement on 7-point scale
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Government see to job and good standard of living 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government let each person get ahead on own
Missing	<p>8. DK/Don't Recognize 9. NA; telephone (1984); no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.) INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9100, VCF9105.</p> <p>1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9111 INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND- LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE

Question	Liberal/conservative – incumbent House cand placement on 7-point scale
----------	--

Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Extremely liberal 2. Liberal 3. Slightly liberal 4. Moderate; middle of the road 5. Slightly conservative 6. Conservative 7. Extremely conservative
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK/Don't Recognize 9. NA; no Post IW; R selected for branching series version of this question (2000) 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.) <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9101, VCF9106.</p> <p>1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9112 CHALLENGER TO INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND- WOMEN EQUAL ROLE SCALE

Question	Women's equal role – challenger House cand placement on 7-point scale
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Women and men should have an equal role 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Women's place is in the home
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK/Don't Recognize 9. NA; no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.); incumbent unopposed <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9097, VCF9102.</p> <p>1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9113 CHALLENGER TO INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND- AID TO BLACKS SCALE

Question	Aid to blacks – challenger House cand placement on 7-point scale
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Government should help Blacks/minorities 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK/Don't Recognize 9. NA; no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.); incumbent unopposed INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9098, VCF9103.</p> <p>1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9114 CHALLENGER TO INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND- GOVERNMENT SERVICES-SPENDING SCALE

Question	Govt services/spending – challenger House cand placement on 7-point scale
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Gov't provide many fewer services, reduce spending a lot 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot
Missing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. DK/Don't Recognize 9. NA; telephone IW (1984,2000); no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.); incumbent unopposed INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9099, VCF9104.</p> <p>1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional</p>

district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9115 CHALLENGER TO INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND- GUARANTEED JOBS AND LIVING SCALE

Question Guaranteed jobs/living – challenger House cand placement on 7-point scale

Valid

1. Government see to job and good standard of living
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Government let each person get ahead on own

Missing

8. DK/Don't Recognize
9. NA;telephone(1984); no Post IW
0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.); incumbent unopposed

INAP. question not used

Notes

GENERAL NOTE:
For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9100, VCF9105.

1982 NOTE:
1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9116 CHALLENGER TO INCUMBENT U.S. HOUSE CAND- LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE

Question Liberal/conservative – challenger House cand placement on 7-point scale

Valid

1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate; middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative

Missing

8. DK/Don't Recognize
9. NA; no Post IW; R selected for branching series version of this question (2000)
0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about

it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.); incumbent unopposed INAP. question not used

Notes**GENERAL NOTE:**

For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9101, VCF9106.

1982 NOTE:

1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9117 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ON COOPERATION WITH USSR SCALE

Question**1980:**

Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia.

1984,1988:

Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia.

ALL YEARS:

Where would you place what the Federal Government is doing at the present time? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Valid

1. Try to cooperate more with Russia (1984,1988)/ Important to try very hard to get along with Russia (1980)
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Get much tougher with Russia (1984,1988)/Big Mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia

Missing

8. DK
 9. NA; no Post IW
 0. R self-rating was DK/'haven't thought much about it'
- INAP. question not used

Notes**GENERAL NOTE:**

In all years, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question.

Weight

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources

1980: V801091
1984: V840413
1988: V880372

VCF9118 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ON DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE

Question	Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Where would you place what the Federal Government is doing at the present time? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Greatly decrease defense spending 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Greatly increase defense spending
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992) 0. R self-rating was DK/'haven't thought much about it' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: In all years, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800288 1982: V820414 1984: V840400 1986: V860414 1988: V880314 1990: V900445 1992: V923712

VCF9119 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ON AID TO BLACKS SCALE

Question	1980,1982,1984 and 1988 FORM B: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks and other minority groups (1988 B: other minorities) (1980: even if it means giving the preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any 1988 Form A,1990 AND LATER: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. ALL YEARS: Where would you place what the Federal Government is doing at the present time? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Government should help blacks (1988a)/minorities (1988b)/minority groups (1980,1984) 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Blacks (1988a)/Minorities (1988b)/Minority groups (1980,1984) should help themselves
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW 0. R self-rating was DK/'haven't thought much about it' INAP. question not used
Notes	

GENERAL NOTE:

In all years, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question.

1988 NOTE:

Form A asked about 'blacks' only, while Form B asked about 'blacks and other minorities.' (To filter for Form A/B responses, use variable VCF0012.)

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V801075 1982: V820424 1984: V840387 1988: V880336,V880344 1990: V900451

VCF9120 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ON GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE

Question	Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Where would you place what the Federal Government is doing at the present time? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Gov't provide many fewer services, reduce spending a lot 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992) 0. R self-rating was DK/'haven't thought much about it' INAP, question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: In all years, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. 1980 NOTE: The 1980 version of this question is not comparable.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1982: V820451 1984: V840380 1986: V860457 1988: V880306 1990: V900458 1992: V923706

VCF9121 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ON GUARANTEED JOBS AND LIVING SCALE

Question	Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his (1988: their) own. Where would you place what the Federal Government is doing at the present time? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)
Valid	1. Government see to job and good standard of living 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government let each person get ahead on own
Missing	8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992) 0. R self-rating was DK/'haven't thought much about it' INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: In all years, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V801123 1982: V820434 1984: V840419 1988: V880327 1992: V923723

VCF9122 NUMBER OF POLITICALLY ELIGIBLE ADULTS IN HH

Question	Household composition, number of politically eligible adults
Valid	1. One politically eligible adult 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Seven or more politically eligible adults
Missing	9. NA; Panel (1992,1996) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: ANES samples include eligible persons residing in the coterminous 48 states and not institutionalized. Eligible persons are those eligible to vote by age and and U.S. citizen status. 1966 NOTE: Politically eligible adults are represented from the primary family unit only. 1966 Rs who were politically eligible but from a secondary family unit (23 CASES) are missing data, code 9. 'Politically eligible' adults were citizens who were 18 or over in Georgia and Kentucky, 21 or over elsewhere. 1968 NOTE: 'Politically eligible' adults were citizens who were 18 or over in Georgia and Kentucky, 21 or over elsewhere. 1972-LATER NOTE: Respondents were politically eligible if they were 18 or older by election day and U.S. citizens. 2002 NOTE: Respondents were politically eligible if they were 18 or older on the day of interview and U.S. citizens.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1966: V660016 1968: V680020 1972: V720019 1974: VV74202 1976: V763021 1978: V780038 1980: V800043 1982: V820053 1984: V840070 1986: V860014 1988: V880091 1990: V900029 1992: V923076 1994: V940058 1996: V960046 1998: V980035 2000: V000039 2002: V021106 2004: V041102 2008: V081108a 2012: hhlist_elighttot

VCF9123 NUMBER OF PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER CALLS

Question	Call number of last interviewer call to housing unit, pre-election.
Missing	99. NA; Web mode INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The actual number of telephone calls or personal trips made to the household by the interviewer is coded here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800030 1984: V840039 1988: V880028 1992: V923032 1996: V960029 2000: V000027,V000028 2002: V022003 2004: V042010a 2008: V082007

VCF9124 NUMBER OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER CALLS

Question	Call number of last interviewer call to housing unit, post-election.
Missing	99. NA; Web mode INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The actual number of telephone calls or personal trips made to the household by the interviewer is coded here.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1978: V780035 1980: V800038 1982: V820043 1984: V840057 1986: V860011 1988: V880055 1990: V900037 1992: V925011 1994: V940043 1996: V960919 1998: V980022 2000: V000150,V000151 2002: V024003 2004: V044017 2008: V084007
----------------	---

VCF9125 POST-ELECTION PERSUASION LETTER 1978-2012

Question	Was a persuasion letter requested/sent to the respondent?
Valid	1. Yes 5. No
Missing	9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: This is a post-election variable. In 1980,1992,1996,2000 this question appeared in both the pre and post; POST data are represented here. 2012 NOTE: Refusal conversion letter. 2016 NOTE: All Pre respondents were sent at least 1 letter in the Post
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780034 1980: V800034 1982: V820038 1986: V860010 1988: V880029 1990: V900036 1992: V925024 1994: V940093 1996: V960916 1998: V980018 2000: V000146 2002: V024020 2012: admin_post_ltr_refcon

VCF9131 LESS GOVERNMENT BETTER OR GOVERNMENT DO MORE

Question	Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your (2000: own) views: ONE, the less government the better; or TWO, there are more things that government should be doing
-----------------	---

Valid	1. Less government the better 2. More things government should be doing 8. DK; both, depends (1990,1992)
Missing	9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: The order in which items VCF9131-VCF9134 were administered may have varied by study. Interviewer instruction: [IF NECESSARY, PROBE 'Which Closer' ONCE EACH QUESTION] 2000 NOTE: A code for 'both, depends' was not used. 2004 NOTE: A code for 'both, depends' was not used.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1990: V900333 1992: V925729 2000: V001420 2004: V045152 2012: govrole_lessmore 2016: V162185 2020: V202253

VCF9132 GOVT HANDLE ECONOMY OR FREE MARKET CAN HANDLE

Question	Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your (2000: own) views: ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems; or TWO, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved
Valid	1. Strong government 2. Free market 8. DK; both, depends (1990,1992)
Missing	9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF9131.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1990: V900331 1992: V925730 2000: V001421 2004: V045151 2012: govrole_market 2016: V162184

VCF9133 GOVT TOO INVOLVED IN THINGS OR PROBLEMS REQUIRE

Question	<p>Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your (2000: own) views:</p> <p>ONE, the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves; or</p> <p>TWO, government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger</p>
Valid	<p>1. Gotten involved in things</p> <p>2. Problems we face are bigger</p> <p>8. DK; both, depends (1990,1992)</p>
Missing	<p>9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE:</p> <p>See notes VCF9131.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1990: V900335</p> <p>1992: V925731</p> <p>2000: V001422</p> <p>2004: V045150</p> <p>2012: govrole_big</p> <p>2016: V162183</p>

VCF9134 MORE IMPORTANT TO BE COOPERATIVE OR SELF-RELIANT

Question	<p>Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your (2000: own) views:</p> <p>ONE, it is more important to be a cooperative person who works well with others; or</p> <p>TWO, it is more important to be a self-reliant person able to take care of oneself</p>
Valid	<p>1. Cooperative</p> <p>2. Self-reliant</p> <p>8. DK; both, depends (1990)</p>
Missing	<p>9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW</p> <p>INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE:</p> <p>See notes VCF9131.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1990: V900334</p> <p>2000: V001423</p>

VCF9149 ELECTION OFFICE NUMBER

Question	VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: Election Office Number
-----------------	---

Valid	3-DigitNumber 1-182 Coded, Except:
Missing	998. R self-report registered outside of area, attempt made by phone to elicit registration and vote information from outside area election office (1984,1990 only) 000. Records not checked (1984,1986 only) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE:</p> <p>Vars VCF9149-9155 are variables related to special Vote Validation studies which have been conducted for some years of the ANES time series. Vote validation studies were conducted in 1964, 1976, 1978, 1980, 1984, 1986, 1988, and 1990. Information available in the Study data across these years varies in completeness and coding; for some of these years the Study data do not provide information (or sufficient information) to code some variables VCF9149-9155.</p> <p>This is the jurisdiction, county or municipality in which registration and voting records are located for persons living in the particular sample segment in which R was living when interviewed.</p> <p>In 1988 and 1990 only, if records were not checked for R, the vote validation office number which would have been assigned to R if his/her records had been checked was coded.</p> <p>In 1984 and 1990 only, if R reported that s/he was registered outside of the sample area, telephone contact with the outside election office was made to attempt to elicit registration and vote information about R. In 1986 and 1988, no such attempt was made, and Rs who self-reported their registration outside the sample area were not included in vote validation checks for those years.</p> <p>Note that in 1986 1 case coded 87 and 2 cases coded 152 in the original office number variable (VCF0772) should have been MD (records not checked/ registered out of area); they are corrected here (000). For a description of cases in code 000 SEE VCF9152 (codes 2-7).</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V841120 1986: V860772 1988: V881117 1990: V900714

VCF9150 R REGISTRATION STATUS (SELF-REPORTED)

Question	VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: R Registration Status (Self-reported)
Valid	1. Yes, implicit (R self-report voted) 2. Yes, explicit 3. No, not registered; DK; NA; etc.
Missing	0. no Post IW (no self-report of registration) (1980,1984,1988) INAP. question not used
Notes	<p>See NOTE VCF9149.</p> <p>GENERAL NOTE:</p> <p>CODE 1: Respondents who said that they voted have implicitly claimed to be registered to vote (even 'same-day registration' states usually require registration).</p> <p>CODE 2: Only those who said that they did not vote are explicitly asked if they were registered so they could have voted.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1978: V780470,V780484 1980: V800988,V801011 1984: V840783,V84080 1986: V860276 1988: V881144 1990: V900279,V900280 2012: prevote_voted, postvote_rvote

VCF9151 R TURNOUT (SELF-REPORTED)

Question	VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: R Turnout (Self-reported)
Valid	1. Yes, voted 5. No, did not vote
Missing	8. DK; NA; refused 9. 1976 non-Panel Rs 0. no Post IW (no self-report of vote) INAP. question not used
Notes	GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9149 1976 NOTE: Only 1976 time-series respondents who also participated in the 1972-1974-1976 panel study (ICPSR 7607) were included in 1976 vote validation. In vars 9151,9152,9154,9155, 1976 prepost Rs who did not also participate in the panel study are INAP.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640286 1976: V763655 1978: V780470 1980: V800988 1984: V840783 1986: V860261 1988: V880756,V881147 1990: V900279

VCF9152 ATTEMPTED VALIDATION OF REGISTRATION

Question	VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: Attempted Validation of Registration
Valid	1. Yes, attempted 2. No: R says is not registered (1984-1990); R says not required/DK/NA if registered (1984-1990) 3. No: No respondent named and/or insufficient address (all years); registered out of area (except 1984,1990); Washington DC [Note: code 2 has priority] 4. No: Same-day registration (1964,1980) 5. No: Records not sent out due to: Office error (1980); No Post IW (1964,some cases in 1976) 7. No: Office refuses all access to registration records
Missing	0. 1976 non-Panel Rs INAP. question not used
Notes	See NOTE VCF9149. GENERAL NOTE: Code 1: For 1984 and 1990, code 1 includes telephone attempts at validation of cases registered outside of sample area (998 in VCF9149). Similar attempts were not made in other years. For 1980, code 1 includes 31 cases (code 9 in original var 1207) where respondent was discovered to be registered at a different address: NOTE, however, that for these cases no effort was subsequently made to Code 2: Applies to 1984-1990 only. In 1984-1990, vote validation was intended for Rs who: 1) reported that they voted; or 2) reported that they didn't vote but were registered; or 3) had no post IW. That is, in years 1984-1990, POST RS WHO DID NOT REPORT THAT THEY WERE REGISTERED WERE NOT VALIDATED. Codes 3-7: All years: complications in the ability to carry out registration records-checks prevented the validation of registration status for some Rs for whom validation was originally intended. Validation was intended in 1984-90 for all Rs except nonvoters who

didn't report being registered. Prior to 1984, vote validation was intended for all ANES respondents [exception: alidation was not intended for 1964 no-posts (code 5). Validation was not intended for 1976 no-posts, however ANES was able to carry out validation for some 1976 no-posts.]

Code 4: although registration status was not checked (assumed unnecessary), voting records WERE checked (for 1980, 1 in VCF9153).

1986 NOTE:

4 cases for which validation was not attempted (due to insufficient address, no name, or registered out of area) were mistakenly coded 'attempted.' They are corrected in VCF9152 (code 3) and in VCF9154-9155 (code 0).

1976 NOTE:

Only 1976 time-series respondents who also participated in the 1972-1974-1976 panel study (ICPSR 7607) were included in 1976 vote validation. In vars 9151,9152,9154,9155, 1976 prepost Rs who did not also participate in the panel study are INAP.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640423,V64042 1976: V765003 1978: V781400 1980: V801207 1984: V841132 1986: V860798 1988: V881143 1990: V900712

VCF9153 STATUS OF OFFICE VOTING RECORDS

Question	VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: Status of Office Voting Records
Valid	<p>1. Office records appear to be adequate, no information about deficiencies</p> <p>2. Some office voting records not accessible</p> <p>3. <i>R's name unknown and/or insufficient address and/or registered out of area (not 1984, 1986: see code 0)</i></p> <p>5. No office voting records accessible</p>
Missing	<p>8. DK (1980: 0,9 in orig. dataset var 1207: 27 cases office error [5 in VCF9152] and 31 cases registered at different address [see note VCF9152]; 1976: 5 in VCF9152, records not sent to field)</p> <p>9. Office refused (7 in VCF9152)</p> <p>0. Office not identified (0 in VCF9149: 1984,1986 only); 1976 non-Panel Rs INAP. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>See NOTE VCF9149,VCF9152.</p> <p>GENERAL NOTE:</p> <p>This variable basically describes conditions at the records office identified in VCF9149:</p> <p>A- If R's election office was identified in VCF9149 then VCF9153 has been coded-- regardless whether R was validated or not applies specifically to 1988,1990).</p> <p>B- In 1984 and 1986, R's records office was not identified if R was unvalidated (0 in VCF9149): 1984 and 1986 unvalidated Rs appear in MD code 0.</p> <p>C- Records offices were not identified at all prior to 1984, however some (limited) information about cases of inaccessibility of voting records was obtained during 1980, 1978 and 1976. NOTE that all 1976-1980 cases have been coded 1 except for such exceptions (described below and coded 5) and for name/address problems (code 3).</p> <p>In 1978 and 1976, identification of records office (VCF9149) was not established, and minimal information about condition/accessibility of office records was collected. Information available from 1978 is that in 32 cases (8 in original var 1409) of Rs with active registration (coded 1 in VCF9152), interviewers were unable to check</p>

voting records at all: these cases have been coded 5 in VCF9153. Similarly, information available from 1976 indicates that in 31 cases of Rs (weighted: code 8 in original var 5012) with active registration status (coded 1 in VCF9152), interviewers were unable to check vote records: these cases have also been coded 5 in VCF9153. No cases in VCF9153 are coded 2 for 1978 or 1976.

Code 1: 1984: includes 40 cases which were coded 67, 68, or 998 in VCF9149 (election office) and which were labeled 'problem with registration records' in the original dataset's variable (V1129). For all but 11 of these 40 cases, however, a voting record was found, and, of the 11 for whom no voting record was subsequently found, 7 were cases of telephone (limited) attempt at validation.

Code 3: Except for 1984 and 1986, code 3 encompasses situations in which it was impossible to check specifically for R's records. Information identical to code 3 for 1984 and 1986 is found in VCF9152 code 3.

Code 5: 1984: No cases appear in code 5 for 1984, because the original dataset variable combined cases of voting records 'wholly OR partially unavailable' into a single code category (contained here in code 2).

CODE ANNOTATION:

- * 1988: election offices 65,75,80,81,89,90,110,111
- * 1986: election offices 3,17,37-39,50,75,111,125,145,156.
- * 1984: election offices 61,65,76,79,82
- ** 1990: 9 in orig. dataset var V2003
- ** 1988: 2,9 in orig. dataset var V1143.
- *** 1990: election office 182
- *** 1988: code 1 in orig. dataset var 1145 [all cases, election office 76]
- *** 1986: election offices 68,69,79 and 1 case election office 169 (3 other cases in 169 coded 1 in VCF9153 in accordance with original dataset)
- *** 1976-1980: see above

1980 NOTE:
Identification of records office (VCF9149) was not established, and minimal information about condition/accessibility of office records was collected. Information available is that in 1980 in Montgomery County Alabama, Madison County Alabama, and Acadia Parish Louisiana, the electoral offices would not allow any voting records to be accessible (20 cases, 5 in VCF9153)– although the office had already allowed verification of registration status (coded 1 in VCF9152). There are no cases in code 2 for 1980.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1976: V765011 1978: V781409 1980: V801207 1984: V841129 1986: V860800 1988: V881117,V881143,V881145 1990: V900712,V900730

VCF9154 WAS R REGISTRATION VALIDATED

Question	VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: Was R Registration Validated
Valid	1. Yes, a registration record was found that matches 2. A registration record was found but it is inactive/purged/to be processed (1976,1978,1984-1990 only, see note above) 5. No registration record was found
Missing	8. DK (1980 ONLY: code 9 in original var 1207, 31 cases registered at different address) 0. 3,7 in VCF9152 (all years); 2 in VCF9152 (1984-1990 only); 5 in VCF9152 (1964,1976,1980 only); 4 in VCF9152 (1964,1980 only)

9. 1976 non-Panel Rs
INAP. question not used

Notes	<p>See NOTE VCF9149,VCF9152.</p> <p>GENERAL NOTE: NOTE that information describing status [code 2] of non-active files was not collected systematically before 1984. Non-active-registration cases prior to 1984 appear in either code 1 or code 5, with the following exceptions: in 1978, original var 1408 indicated whether registration records were eligible/active on election day (or ineligible/inactive); 53 cases of inactive registration in 1978 have been coded 2. Similarly, in 1976, original var 5003 indicated a registration record but inactive for 70 cases, who have been coded 2 in VCF9154.</p> <p>Code 1 includes several cases identified as 'registration record found, NA if active/inactive' (applies specifically to 1988,1990). Code 2 for 1990 includes 1 case of 'other' inactive file and 2 cases of 'NA' type of inactive file.</p> <p>1976 NOTE: Only 1976 time-series respondents who also participated in the 1972-1974-1976 panel study (ICPSR 7607) were included in 1976 vote validation. In vars 9151,9152,9154,9155, 1976 prepost Rs who did not also participate in the panel study are INAP.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1964: V640423,V64042</p> <p>1976: V765002,V765003</p> <p>1978: V781400,V781408</p> <p>1980: V801207</p> <p>1984: V841121</p> <p>1986: V860773,V86077</p> <p>1988: V881146</p> <p>1990: V900748</p>

VCF9155 WAS R VOTE VALIDATED

Question	VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: Was R Vote Validated
Valid	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>3. Registration record was found, no record of R voting</p> <p>5. No registration record found; no record of voting found</p>
Missing	<p>0. 3,7 in VCF9152 (all years); 2 in VCF9152 (1984 1990 only); 5 in VCF9152 (1964,1976); 5 in VCF9152 or 8 in VCF9153 (1980 only)</p> <p>9. 1976 non-Panel Rs INAP. Inap. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>GENERAL NOTE: See note VCF9149,VCF9152.</p> <p>Code 3 and code 5 include cases of limited access to vote records (codes 2 and 5 in VCF9153). Codes 3 and 5 also incorporate 1984 and 1990 cases of attempted validation by phone of Rs registered out of area (998 in VCF9149).</p> <p>In cases where registration is not required or where voting and registration lists are for some reason kept entirely separate, this code implies that an independent check for voting was also done and no record of R voting was found.</p> <p>In all Pre-Post years except 1964 (and some cases in 1976), this variable includes in valid codes respondents who did not give a post-election interview.</p> <p>1964 and 1980 are the only years with cases categorized for same-day registration. Registration was not 'checked' in such cases but voting records WERE checked (4 in VCF9152 and [1980] 1 in VCF9153). The results of the vote record checks for "same-day registration" Rs in</p>

1964 and 1980 are represented here in codes 1 (voted) and 3 (did not vote), with nocases of same-day registration coded 5.

1976 NOTE:

Only 1976 time-series respondents who also participated in the 1972-1974-1976 panel study (ICPSR 7607) were included in 1976 vote validation. In vars VCF9151,9152,9154,9155, 1976 prepost Rs who did not also participate in the panel study are INAP.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1964: V640423,V640424,V64042 1976: V765002,V765012 1978: V781400,V781409,V781411 1980: V801206 1984: V841121,V84113 1986: V860773,V860778,V860789,V86080 1988: V881148 1990: V900754,V900756

VCF9201 CSES: LIKE-DISLIKE (11PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	(I'd like to know what you think about each of our political parties. After I read the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.) [The first party is: / Using the same scale where would you place:] the Democratic party {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
Valid	0. Strongly dislike 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Strongly like
Missing	-7. Haven't heard of (2008,2012 only) -8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Administered with Respondent Booklet.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1996: V961470 2004: V045257 2008: V085187a 2012: cses_dptylike 2016: V162283 2020: V202433

VCF9202 CSES: LIKE-DISLIKE (11PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	(I'd like to know what you think about each of our political parties. After I read the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.) [The first party is: / Using the same scale where would you place:] the Republican party {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
Valid	0. Strongly dislike 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Strongly like
Missing	-7. Haven't heard of (2008,2012 only) -8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Administered with Respondent Booklet.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1996: V961471 2004: V045258 2008: V085187b 2012: cses_rptylike 2016: V162284 2020: V202434

VCF9203 CSES: DO ANY OF THE PARTIES IN THE U.S. REPRESENT R'S VIEW REASONABLY WELL

Question	Would you say that any of the parties in the United States represents your views reasonably well? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
Valid	1. Yes 2. No
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V045248 2008: V085185 2016: V162278 2020: V202428

VCF9204 CSES: WHICH POLITICAL PARTY IS IT THAT REPRESENTS R'S VIEWS BEST

Question	(Would you say that any of the parties in the United States represents your views reasonably well?) Which party represents your views best? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
Valid	1. Democratic 2. Republican 7. Other {SPECIFY}
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; skip: no party represents views; no Post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V045248a 2008: V085185a 2016: V162279 2020: V202429

VCF9205 WHICH PARTY WOULD DO A BETTER JOB HANDLING THE NATION'S ECONOMY

Question	Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy, the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them? {1996: IF 'NO DIFFERENCE' AND 'NEITHER PARTY' ARE VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE RESPONSES. 2000-later: IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE}
Valid	1. Democrats 2. No difference 3. Republican 7. Neither party {VOL} (exc. 1996,2004)
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	1992 NOTE: Respondent booklet used. 1996,2002-later: Order of parties was randomized in the question text. In 2020, the response options changed slightly: 1. Democrats would do a much better job 2. Democrats would do a somewhat better job 3. Not much difference between them 4. Republicans would do a somewhat better job 5. Republicans would do a much better job. In VCF9205, response options 1 and 2 were coded "1" (Democrats) while 4 and 5 were coded "3" (Republicans).
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1990: V900390 1992: V923545 1994: V940829 1996: V960397 2000: V000505 2002: V023031 2004: V043109 2008: V083093 2012: ptyperf_ptyecon 2016: V161144 2020: V201239

BETTER WHEN ONE PARTY CONTROLS BOTH THE VCF9206 PRESIDENCY AND U.S. CONGRESS OR WHEN CONTROL IS SPLIT

Question	Do you think it is better when one party controls both the presidency and Congress; better when control is split between the Democrats and Republicans, or doesn't it matter?
Valid	1. One party control both 2. Control is split 3. It doesn't matter
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V925732 1998: V980426 2000: V000397 2002: V023021 2004: V043060 2008: V083053 2012: divgov_splitgov 2016: V161136 2020: V201227

VCF9207 CSES: LIKE-DISLIKE (11PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	<p>1996: And now, using the same scale, I'd like to ask you how much you like or dislike some political leaders. Again, if I come to a leader you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first political leader is: -Democratic Presidential candidate name- 2008,2012: (And what do you think of the presidential candidates? After I read the name of a presidential candidate, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If I come to a presidential candidate you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.) [The first is: / Using the same scale, where would you place:] -Democratic Presidential candidate name- 2016: Using the same scale, where would you place: -Democratic Presidential candidate name-</p>
Valid	0. Strongly dislike 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Strongly like

Missing	-7. Haven't heard of (2008,2012 only) -8. DK -9. NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Administered with Respondent Booklet. 2016 NOTE: Administration for Presidential candidates were asked after administration for parties, without separate introduction.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1996: V961473 2008: V085188b 2012: cses_dpclike 2016: V162285 2020: V202435

VCF9208 CSES: LIKE-DISLIKE (11PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	<p>1996: And now, using the same scale, I'd like to ask you how much you like or dislike some political leaders. Again, if I come to a leader you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first political leader is: -Republican Presidential candidate name- 2008,2012: (And what do you think of the presidential candidates? After I read the name of a presidential candidate, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If I come to a presidential candidate you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.) [The first is: / Using the same scale, where would you place:] -Republican Presidential candidate name- 2016: Using the same scale, where would you place: -Republican Presidential candidate name-</p>
Valid	0. Strongly dislike 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Strongly like
Missing	-7. Haven't heard of (2008,2012 only) -8. DK -9. NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Administered with Respondent Booklet. 2016 NOTE: Administration for Presidential candidates were asked after administration for parties, without separate introduction.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	

1996: V961474
 2008: V085188a
 2012: cses_rpclike
 2016: V162286
 2020: V202436

HOW WELL DOES *PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP* VCF9209 DESCRIBE: DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE (NO RB, 5 CAT)

Question [Think about
 -Democratic Presidential candidate name-.
 In your opinion, does the phrase
 'he provides strong leadership'
 describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly
 well, or not well at all?
 /
 (What about)
 'provides strong leadership'?
 (Does this phrase describe
 -Democratic Presidential candidate name-
 extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or
 not well at all?)]

Valid 1. Extremely well
 2. Very well
 3. Moderately well
 4. Slightly well
 5. Not well at all

Missing -8. DK
 -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008)
 INAP. Inap. question not used

Notes The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized.
 For the first trait administered, wording began "Think about..."

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 2008: V083100b
 2012: ctrait_dpcllead
 2016: V161159
 2020: V201208

HOW WELL DOES *REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE* VCF9210 YOU DESCRIBE: DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE (NO RB, 5 CAT)

Question [Think about
 -Democratic Presidential candidate name-.
 In your opinion, does the phrase
 'he really cares about people like you'
 describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly
 well, or not well at all?
 /
 (What about)
 'really cares about people like you'?

	(Does this phrase describe -Democratic Presidential candidate name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Very well 3. Moderately well 4. Slightly well 5. Not well at all
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008) INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording began "Think about..."
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V083100c 2012: ctrait_dpccare 2016: V161160 2020: V201209

VCF9211 HOW WELL DOES *KNOWLEDGEABLE* DESCRIBE: DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE (NO RB, 5 CAT)

Question	2[Think about -Democratic Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is knowledgeable' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'knowledgeable'? (Does this phrase describe -Democratic Presidential candidate name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Very well 3. Moderately well 4. Slightly well 5. Not well at all
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008) INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording began "Think about..."
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V083100d 2012: ctrait_dpcknow 2016: V161161 2020: V201210

VCF9212 HOW WELL DOES *HONEST* DESCRIBE: DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE (NO RB, 5 CAT)

Question	[Think about -Democratic Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is honest' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'honest'? (Does this phrase describe -Democratic Presidential candidate name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Very well 3. Moderately well 4. Slightly well 5. Not well at all
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008) INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording began "Think about..."
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V083100f 2012: ctrait_dpchonst 2016: V161162 2020: V201211

VCF9213 HOW WELL DOES *PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP* DESCRIBE: REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE (NO RB, 5 CAT)

Question	[Think about -Republican Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he provides strong leadership' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'provides strong leadership'? (Does this phrase describe -Republican Presidential candidate name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Very well 3. Moderately well 4. Slightly well 5. Not well at all
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008) INAP. Inap. question not used

Notes	The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording began "Think about..."
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V083102b 2012: ctrait_rpclead 2016: V161164 2020: V201212

VCF9214 HOW WELL DOES *REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU* DESCRIBE: REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE (NO RB, 5 CAT)

Question	[Think about -Republican Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he really cares about people like you' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about 'really cares about people like you'? (Does this phrase describe -Republican Presidential candidate name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Very well 3. Moderately well 4. Slightly well 5. Not well at all
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008) INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording began "Think about..."
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V083102c 2012: ctrait_rpccare 2016: V161165 2020: V201213

VCF9215 HOW WELL DOES *KNOWLEDGEABLE* DESCRIBE: REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE (NO RB, 5 CAT)

Question	[Think about -Republican Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is knowledgeable' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about)
-----------------	--

'knowledgeable'?

(Does this phrase describe

-Republican Presidential candidate name-

extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?])

Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Very well 3. Moderately well 4. Slightly well 5. Not well at all
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008) INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording began "Think about..."
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V083102d 2012: ctrait_rpcknow 2016: V161166 2020: V201214

VCF9216 HOW WELL DOES *HONEST* DESCRIBE: REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE (NO RB, 5 CAT)

Question	[Think about -Republican Presidential candidate name- In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is honest' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'honest'? (Does this phrase describe -Republican Presidential candidate name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?])
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Very well 3. Moderately well 4. Slightly well 5. Not well at all
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008) INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording began "Think about..."
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V083102f 2012: ctrait_rpchonst 2016: V161167 2020: V201215

VCF9217 DOES R APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF THE WAY CURRENT U.S. PRESIDENT IS HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS

Question	Do you approve or disapprove of the way -President name- is handling (1984,1988-2004: our) relations with foreign countries?
Valid	1. Approve 2. Disapprove
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	1996 NOTE: The order of Presidential performance items was randomized. 2000 NOTE: The order of Presidential performance items was randomized.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1984: V840264 1988: V880255 1990: V900102 1992: V923321 1994: V940205 1996: V960299 1998: V980220 2000: V000515 2002: V023034 2004: V043028 2008: V083030 2012: presapp_foreign 2016: V161084 2020: V201133

VCF9218 DOES R APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF THE WAY CURRENT U.S. PRESIDENT IS HANDLING HEALTH CARE

Question	Do you approve or disapprove of the way -President name- is handling health care?
Valid	1. Approve 2. Disapprove
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	1996 NOTE: The order of Presidential performance items was randomized.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1996: V960303 2008: V083032 2012: presapp_health 2016: V161085 2020: V201136

VCF9219 CURRENT U.S. PRESIDENT RETROSPECTIVE: U.S. MORE OR LESS SECURE, OR NOT CHANGED

Question	<p>2000: Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?</p> <p>2008: Would you say that compared to 2000, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?</p> <p>2012,2016: Would you say that compared to 2008, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?</p>
Valid	<p>1. More secure</p> <p>2. No change</p> <p>3. Less secure</p>
Missing	<p>-8. DK</p> <p>-9. RF; NA; no post data; half sample administration (2000)</p> <p>INAP. Inap. question not used</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>2000: V000823,V001605</p> <p>2008: V083180</p> <p>2012: presadm_secure</p> <p>2016: V161234</p>

VCF9220 CURRENT U.S. PRESIDENT RETROSPECTIVE (SINCE BEGINNING OF TERM): ECONOMY BETTER, WORSE, OR SAME

Question	<p>1992: Compared to four years ago, would you say that the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?</p> <p>2000: Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?</p> <p>2004: Would you say that compared to 2000, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?</p> <p>2016: Would you say that compared to 2008, the nation's economy is now better, worse, or about the same?</p>
Valid	<p>1. Gotten better</p> <p>2. Stayed the same</p> <p>3. Gotten worse</p>
Missing	<p>-8. DK</p> <p>-9. RF; NA; short or Spanish questionnaire (1992); half sample administration (2000)</p> <p>INAP. Inap. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>This describes the change in the economy since the current President was first elected. 1992: George Bush Sr., 2000: Bill Clinton, 2004: George W. Bush, 2016: Barack Obama. Wording in 1998 was not comparable ("since Clinton took office").</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	1992: V923535 2000: V000814,V001596 2004: V043213 2016: V161235
----------------	--

VCF9221 CSES: HOW GOOD OR BAD A JOB HAS THE GOVERNMENT DONE IN GENERAL OVER THE LAST TERM OF THE CURRENT ADMINISTRATION

Question	Now thinking about the performance of the government in Washington in general, how good or bad a job do you think the government in Washington has done over the past four years. Has it done a very good job? A good job? A bad job? A very bad job? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
Valid	1. Very good job 2. Good job 3. Bad job 4. Very bad job
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V045240 2008: V085184 2016: V162277 2020: V202427

VCF9222 ARE THINGS IN THIS COUNTRY ON THE RIGHT TRACK OR HAVE THINGS SERIOUSLY GOTTEN OFF ON THE WRONG TRACK

Question	Do you feel things in this country are generally going in the right direction, or do you feel things have pretty seriously gotten off on the wrong track?
Valid	1. Right direction 2. Wrong track
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V923526 1994: V940901 1998: V980413 2004: V043034x 2008: V083027 2012: presapp_track 2016: V161081 2020: V201114

VCF9223 HOW LIKELY IS IT THAT RECENT IMMIGRATION LEVELS WILL TAKE JOBS AWAY FROM PEOPLE ALREADY HERE

Question	How likely is it that recent immigration levels will take jobs away from people already here– extremely likely, very likely, somewhat likely, or not at all likely?
Valid	1. Extremely likely 2. Very likely 3. Somewhat likely 4. Not at all likely
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no Post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V045116 2008: V085083 2012: immigpo_jobs 2016: V162158 2020: V202233

VCF9224 IS R INVESTED IN THE STOCK MARKET

Question	Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any money invested in the stock market right now – either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?
Valid	1. Yes 2. No
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Breakoff (sufficient partial IW) cases from 2020 have been coded -9
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1998: V980425 2000: V000504 2002: V023142 2004: V043065 2008: V083059 2012: wealth_stocks 2016: V161350 2020: V201606

VCF9225 HAS UNEMPLOYMENT IN THE COUNTRY GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED THE SAME, OR GOTTEN WORSE IN THE PAST YEAR

Question	Would you say that over the past year, the level of unemployment in the country has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
-----------------	---

Valid	1. Better 2. Stayed same 3. Worse
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (1986); programming error (2004) INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860377 1988: V880239 1992: V923527 2004: V043101 2008: V083087 2012: econ_unpast 2016: V161142 2020: V201331

VCF9226 MUCH OR SOMEWHAT THAT THE LEVEL OF UNEMPLOYMENT HAS GOTTEN BETTER/WORSE

Question	(Would you say that over the past year, the level of unemployment in the country has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?) Would you say much better or somewhat better? / Would you say much worse or somewhat worse?
Valid	1. Much better 2. Somewhat better 3. Same 4. Somewhat worse 5. Much worse
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; DK/RF/NA whether better or worse; half sample administration (1986); programming error (2004) INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860378 1988: V880240 1992: V923528 2004: V043102 2008: V083087x 2012: econ_unpast_x 2016: V161142x 2020: V201333x

VCF9227 DOES R THINK THE DIFFERENCE IN INCOMES BETWEEN RICH AND POOR IN THE U.S. IS LARGER, SMALLER, OR ABOUT THE SAME AS 20 YEARS AGO

Question	Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is larger, smaller, or about the same as it was 20 years ago?
-----------------	---

Valid	1. Larger 2. About the same 3. Smaller
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V045113 2008: V085080 2012: ineq_incgap 2016: V161137 2020: V201397

VCF9228 MUCH OR SOMEWHAT LARGER/SMALLER GAP IN INCOMES BETWEEN RICH AND POOR IN THE U.S. THAN 20 YEARS AGO

Question	(Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is larger, smaller, or about the same as it was 20 years ago?) Would you say the difference in incomes is) much larger or somewhat larger? / Would you say the difference in incomes is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?
Valid	1. Much larger 2. Somewhat larger 3. About the same 4. Somewhat smaller 5. Much smaller
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; DK/RF/NA whether larger or smaller; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V045113a 2008: V085080a 2012: ineq_incgap_x 2016: V161138x 2020: V201400x

VCF9229 WILL UNEMPLOYMENT GET BETTER, STAY THE SAME, OR GET WORSE IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS

Question	How about people out of work during the coming 12 months– do you think that there will be more unemployment than now, about the same, or less?
Valid	1. More unemployment 2. About the same 3. Less unemployment
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data; programming error (2004)

INAP. Inap. question not used

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V926152 2004: V043103 2008: V083088 2012: econ_unnext 2016: V161143 2020: V201334

VCF9230 SHOULD THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ENCOURAGE OR DISCOURAGE AMERICAN COMPANIES TO REPLACE U.S. WORKERS WITH FOREIGN WORKERS, OR STAY OUT

Question	Recently, some big American companies have been hiring workers in foreign countries to replace workers in the U.S. Do you think the federal government should discourage companies from doing this, encourage companies to do this, or stay out of this matter?
Valid	1. Discourage companies 2. Should stay out of this matter 3. Encourage companies
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V045142 2008: V085103 2012: outsource_enc 2016: V162177

VCF9231 DOES R FAVOR OR OPPOSE PLACING LIMITS ON IMPORTS TO PROTECT AMERICAN JOBS (OR HAVEN'T YOU THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS)

Question	Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?
Valid	1. Favor new limits 2. Oppose new limits
Missing	-7. Haven't thought -8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data; half sample administration (2000) INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880376 1992: V923802

1996: V961327
 1998: V980490
 2000: V000511a
 2004: V045114
 2008: V085081
 2012: imports_limit
 2016: V162152b
 2020: V202229

VCF9232 DOES R FAVOR OR OPPOSE THE U.S. GOVERNMENT TORTURING PEOPLE WHO ARE SUSPECTED OF BEING TERRORISTS, TO TRY TO GET INFORMATION

Question	Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the U.S. government torturing people, who are suspected of being terrorists, to try to get information?
Valid	1. Favor 2. Neither favor nor oppose 3. Oppose
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V085232 2012: dhs_torture 2016: V162295

VCF9233 HOW MUCH DOES R FAVOR/OPPOSE USE OF U.S. GOVT TORTURE [OF THOSE SUSPECTED OF BEING TERRORISTS]

Question	(Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the U.S. government torturing people, who are suspected of being terrorists, to try to get information?) Do you favor that a great deal, moderately, or a little? / Do you oppose that a great deal, moderately, or a little?
Valid	1. Favor a great deal 2. Favor moderately 3. Favor a little 4. Neither favor nor oppose 5. Oppose a little 6. Oppose moderately 7. Oppose a great deal
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; DK/RF/NA whether favor or oppose; no post data; programming error (2016) INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V085232x 2012: dhs_torture,dhs_torturefav,dhs_tortureopp 2016: V162295x

VCF9234 ABORTION ISSUE PLACEMENT FOR DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	<p>1992: What do you think is -Democratic Presidential candidate name-'s position on abortion?</p> <p>1996,2004-LATER: Where would you place -Democratic Presidential candidate name-(on this scale)?</p> <p>2000: FTF: Where would you place -Democratic Presidential candidate name-on abortion?</p> <p>PHONE: What about -Democratic Presidential candidate name-(on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted 2. The law should permit only in cases of rape, incest or danger 3. The law should permit for reasons other than rape, incest or danger 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion
Missing	<p>-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data; half sample administration (2008); VOL: other (1992,1996,2000,2004,2008,2012) INAP. Inap. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>Respondent booklet was used. After 1992, the order of Presidential candidates was randomized.</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1992: V923734 1996: V960506 2000: V000696 2004: V045135 2008: V085089a 2012: abort_dpc4 2016: V162181 2020: V201338</p>

VCF9235 ABORTION ISSUE PLACEMENT FOR REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Question	<p>1992: What do you think is -Democratic Presidential candidate name-'s position on abortion?</p> <p>1996,2004-LATER: Where would you place -Democratic Presidential candidate name-(on this scale)?</p> <p>2000: FTF: Where would you place -Democratic Presidential candidate name-on abortion?</p> <p>PHONE: What about -Democratic Presidential candidate name-(on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted 2. The law should permit only in cases of rape, incest or danger 3. The law should permit for reasons other than rape, incest or danger 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion
Missing	<p>-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data; half sample administration (2008); VOL: other (1992,1996,2000,2004,2008,2012) INAP. Inap. question not used</p>
Notes	

Respondent booklet was used.
After 1992, the order of Presidential candidates was randomized.

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V923733 1996: V960509 2000: V000698 2004: V045134 2008: V085089b 2012: abort_rpc4 2016: V162182 2020: V201339

VCF9236 DOES R FAVOR OR OPPOSE THE DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER

Question	Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?
Valid	1. Favor 2. Oppose
Missing	-8. DK; depends (VOL) -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880854 1990: V900477 1992: V925933 1994: V941041 1996: V961197 1998: V980503 2000: V000749 2004: V043186 2008: V083163 2012: penalty_favdpen 2016: V161233 2020: V201343

VCF9237 R STRONGLY OR NOT STRONGLY FAVOR/OPPOSE THE DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER

Question	(Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?) Do you favor the death penalty for persons convicted of murder strongly or not strongly? / Do you oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder strongly or not strongly?
Valid	1. Favor strongly 2. Favor not strong 4. Oppose not strong 5. Oppose strongly
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; DK/RF/NA whether favor or oppose; no post data

	INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1988: V880855 1990: V900478 1992: V925934 1994: V941042 1996: V961198 1998: V980504 2000: V000752 2004: V043187 2008: V083163x 2012: penalty_favopp_x 2016: V161233x 2020: V201345x

VCF9238 SHOULD THE GOVERNMENT MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT OR EASIER TO BUY A GUN, OR SHOULD THE RULES STAY THE SAME AS THEY ARE NOW

Question	Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?
Valid	1. More difficult 2. Keep these rules about the same 3. Make it easier
Missing	-8. DK; depends (VOL) -9. RF; NA INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2000: V000728 2004: V043188 2008: V083164 2012: gun_control 2016: V161187 2020: V202337

VCF9239 HOW IMPORTANT IS GUN CONTROL ISSUE TO R

Question	(Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?) How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?
Valid	1. Extremely important 2. Very important 3. Somewhat important 4. Not too important 5. Not at all important

Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008) INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2000: V000732 2004: V043190 2008: V083164a 2012: gun_importance 2016: V161188 2020: V202338

VCF9240 CSES: LEFT-RIGHT (11PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR R

Question	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? {2004-LATER: INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
Valid	0. Left 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Right
Missing	-8. DK -9. NA; no Post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1996: V961484 2004: V045271 2008: V085191 2012: cses_selfleft 2016: V162289 2020: V202439

VCF9241 CSES: LEFT-RIGHT (11PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Question	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the Democratic party on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
Valid	0. Left
Missing	-7. Haven't heard of (2004,2008,2012) 10. Right -8. DK -9. NA; no Post data INAP. Inap. question not used

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V045260 2008: V085189a 2012: cses_dptyleft 2016: V162287 2020: V202437

VCF9242 CSES: LEFT-RIGHT (11PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR REPUBLICAN PARTY

Question	Using the same scale, where would you place the Republican party? (INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW)
Valid	0. Left 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Right
Missing	-7. Haven't heard of (2004,2008,2012) -8. DK -9. NA; no Post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V045261 2008: V085189b 2012: cses_rptyleft 2016: V162288 2020: V202438

VCF9243 WOULD R CALL SELF A BORN-AGAIN CHRISTIAN /CONSIDER SELF A BORN-AGAIN CHRISTIAN / HAD THE DEEP RELIGIOUS EXPERIENCE DESCRIBED AS BEING BORN AGAIN

Question	1980,1984: Some people have had deep religious experiences which have transformed their lives. I'm thinking of experiences sometimes described as "being born again in one's life." There are deeply religious people who have not had an experience of this sort. How about you; have you had such an experience? 1988: Do you consider yourself a born-again Christian? 1990,1992,1996-2000,2008-later: Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is,
-----------------	---

have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

Valid	1. Yes 2. No
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; R not Christian; programming error (2016) INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	1992 NOTE: For panel short form cases, 1990 data were included.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V801175 1984: V841080 1988: V880529 1990: V900545 1992: V923847 1996: V960601 1998: V980568 2000: V000903 2008: V083203 2012: relig_bornagn 2016: V161263 2020: V201456

VCF9244 HOW OFTEN CAN R TRUST PEOPLE

Question	Generally speaking, how often can you trust other people? Always, most of the time, about half the time, once in a while, or never?
Valid	1. Always 2. Most of the time 3. About half the time 4. Once in a while 5. Never
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008) INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V083092b 2012: trust_social 2016: V161219 2020: V201237

VCF9245 HOW SATISFIED IS R WITH R'S LIFE

Question	All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days? Would you say that you are extremely satisfied, very satisfied, moderately satisfied, slightly satisfied, or not satisfied at all?
Valid	1. Extremely satisfied 2. Very satisfied

	3. Moderately satisfied 4. Slightly satisfied 5. Not satisfied at all
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V085310 2012: happ_lifesatisf 2016: V161522 2020: V201651

VCF9246 WHICH IS MORE IMPORTANT FOR A CHILD TO HAVE: CURIOSITY OR GOOD MANNERS

Question	(Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities. For each pair please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:) curiosity or good manners
Valid	1. Curiosity 2. Both (VOL) 3. Good manners
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; short questionnaire (1992); no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V926021 2000: V001588 2004: V045209 2008: V085159 2012: auth_cur 2016: V162240 2020: V202267

VCF9247 WHICH IS MORE IMPORTANT FOR A CHILD TO HAVE: OBEDIENCE OR SELF-RELIANCE

Question	(Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities. For each pair please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:) obedience or self-reliance
Valid	1. Obedience 2. Both (VOL) 3. Self-reliance 4. Neither (2020)
Missing	

-8. DK
 -9. RF; NA; short questionnaire (1992); no post data
 INAP. Inap. question not used

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1992: V926020
 2000: V001587
 2004: V045210
 2008: V085160
 2012: auth_obed
 2016: V162241
 2020: V202268

VCF9248 WHICH IS MORE IMPORTANT FOR A CHILD TO BE: CONSIDERATE OR WELL-BEHAVED

Question (Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities. For each pair please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)
being considerate or well behaved

Valid 1. Being considerate
 2. Both (VOL)
 3. Well behaved

Missing -8. DK
 -9. RF; NA; short questionnaire (1992); no post data
 INAP. Inap. question not used

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1992: V926022
 2000: V001589
 2004: V045211
 2008: V085161
 2012: auth_considerate
 2016: V162242
 2020: V202269

VCF9249 WHICH IS MORE IMPORTANT FOR A CHILD TO HAVE: INDEPENDENCE OR RESPECT FOR ELDERS

Question (Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities. For each pair please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)
independence or respect for elders

Valid 1. Independence
 2. Both (VOL)
 3. Respect for elders

Missing -8. DK
 -9. RF; NA; short questionnaire (1992); no post data
 INAP. Inap. question not used

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V926019 2000: V001586 2004: V045208 2008: V085158 2012: auth_ind 2016: V162239 2020: V202266

VCF9250 CSES: WHO VOTES FOR MAKES A BIG DIFFENCE - (5PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR R

Question	Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. Using the scale in the booklet, (where one means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and five means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
Valid	1. Won't make a difference 2. 3. 4. 5. Can make a big difference
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	1996,2004 wording were not comparable.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V085183 2012: cses_diffvote 2016: V162282 2020: V202432

VCF9251 HOW WELL DOES R UNDERSTAND THE IMPORTANT POLITICAL ISSUES FACING OUR COUNTRY

Question	How well do you understand the important political issues facing our country? Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?
Valid	1. Extremely well 2. Very well 3. Moderately well 4. Slightly well 5. Not well at all
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008,2012); no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources	2008: V083080b 2012: effic_undrev 2016: V162218 2020: V202215
----------------	--

VCF9252 HOW OFTEN DO POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT SEEM SO COMPLICATED THAT R CAN'T REALLY UNDERSTAND WHAT'S GOING ON

Question	How often do politics and government seem so complicated that you can't really understand what's going on? All the time, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, or never?
Valid	1. All the time 2. Most of the time 3. About half the time 4. Some of the time 5. Never
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008,2012); no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V083080a 2012: effic_complicrev 2016: V162217 2020: V202214

VCF9253 CSES: MAKE BIG DIFFERENCE WHO IS IN POWER (5PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR R

Question	Please look at page 10 in the booklet. Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. Using the scale in the booklet, (where ONE means that it doesn't make any difference who is in power and FIVE means that it makes a big difference who is in power), where would you place yourself? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
Valid	1. It doesn't make a difference who is in power 2. 3. 4. 5. It makes a big difference who is in power
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	1996,2004 wording not comparable
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V085182 2012: cses_diffpower

2016: V162281
2020: V202431

CSES: HOW SATISFIED IS R WITH THE WAY DEMOCRACY VCF9254 WORKS IN THE U.S. (4CAT: SATISFIED-NOT AT ALL SATISFIED)

Question	On the whole, are you satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States?
Valid	1. Satisfied 2. Fairly satisfied 3. Not very satisfied 4. Not at all satisfied
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1996: V961459 2000: V001651 2002: V025179

CSES: HOW SATISFIED IS R WITH THE WAY DEMOCRACY VCF9255 WORKS IN THE U.S. (4CAT: VERY SATISFIED-NOT AT ALL SATISFIED)

Question	On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
Valid	1. Very satisfied 2. Fairly satisfied 3. Not very satisfied 4. Not at all satisfied
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V045241 2008: V085194 2012: cses_satisdem 2016: V162290 2020: V202440

VCF9256 WAS R ABLE TO DEVOTE ANY TIME TO VOLUNTEER WORK IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS

Question Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months (2000-later: or did you not do so)?

Valid 1. Yes
2. No

Missing -8. DK
-9. RF; NA; no post data
INAP. Inap. question not used

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 1992: V926138
1996: V961257
2000: V001474
2002: V025100
2004: V045171
2008: V085128
2012: involv_voltr
2016: V162197
2020: V202033

VCF9257 HAS R WORKED WITH OTHERS TO DEAL WITH ISSUE IN COMMUNITY DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS

Question During the past 12 months, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?

Valid 1. Yes
2. No

Missing -8. DK
-9. RF; NA; no post data
INAP. Inap. question not used

Weight VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Sources 2000: V001491
2002: V025163
2004: V045166
2008: V085124
2012: involv_communwk
2016: V162195
2020: V202031

VCF9258 DID R ATTEND A MEETING ABOUT AN ISSUE IN COMMUNITY OR SCHOOLS DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS

Question During the past twelve months, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

Valid	1. Yes 2. No
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2000: V001493 2004: V045168 2008: V085126 2012: involv_commmtg 2016: V162196 2020: V202032

VCF9259 HOW OFTEN DOES R FOLLOW INFORMATION ABOUT POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT

Question	How often do you pay attention to what's going on in government and politics? All the time, most of the time, about half the time, once in a while, or never?
Valid	1. Always 2. Most of the time 3. About half the time 4. Some of the time 5. Never
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V085073b 2012: interest_attention 2016: V161003 2020: V201005

VCF9260 WHAT JOB OR OFFICE IS HELD BY NAMED SPEAKER OF THE U.S. HOUSE

Question	Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like. (The first name is) -name- (What job or political office does he now hold?) {BEFORE 2004: PAUSE AFTER EACH NAME. RECORD EXACTLY WHAT IS SAID BUT DO NOT PROBE} {2004-LATER: INTERVIEWER: DOUBLE-CHECK THAT SCREEN IS NOT WITHIN VIEW OF THE RESPONDENT. PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?" DK KEY IS NOT ALLOWED FOR THIS QUESTION}
Valid	1. Correctly identifies 2. No identification; identification is incomplete or wrong

Missing	-9. no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V925919 1996: V961192 2004: V045162 2008: V085120 2012: ofcrec_speaker_correct 2016: V162073a 2020: V202139y1

VCF9261 WHAT JOB OR OFFICE IS HELD BY NAMED VICE-PRESIDENT

Question	<p>Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like. (The first name is) -name-. (What job or political office does he now hold?) {BEFORE 2004: PAUSE AFTER EACH NAME. RECORD EXACTLY WHAT IS SAID BUT DO NOT PROBE} {2004-LATER: INTERVIEWER: DOUBLE-CHECK THAT SCREEN IS NOT WITHIN VIEW OF THE RESPONDENT. PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?" DK KEY IS NOT ALLOWED FOR THIS QUESTION}</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Correctly identifies 2. No identification; identification is incomplete or wrong
Missing	-9. no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860343 1990: V900395 1992: V925916 1994: V941006 1996: V961189 1998: V980475 2004: V045163 2008: V085121 2012: ofcrec_vp_correct 2016: V162072 2020: V202138y

VCF9262 WHAT JOB OR OFFICE IS HELD BY NAMED CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

Question	<p>Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like. (The first name is) -name-. (What job or political office does he now hold?) {BEFORE 2004: PAUSE AFTER EACH NAME. RECORD EXACTLY WHAT IS</p>
-----------------	---

**SAID BUT DO NOT PROBE)
{2004-LATER: INTERVIEWER: DOUBLE-CHECK THAT SCREEN IS NOT
WITHIN VIEW OF THE RESPONDENT. PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL,
WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?" DK KEY IS NOT ALLOWED FOR THIS
QUESTION}**

Valid	1. Correctly identifies 2. No identification; identification is incomplete or wrong
Missing	-9. no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860345 1988: V880873 1990: V900397 1992: V925917 1994: V941007 1996: V961190 1998: V980476 2000: V001449a 2004: V045165 2008: V085123 2012: ofcrec_cj_correct 2016: V162076b 2020: V202142y2

VCF9263 WHAT WAS THE PARTY OF THE RUNNING CANDIDATE TO WHICH R GAVE MONEY

Question	(1980,1982: What about other political contributions. Did you give any money this year to a candidate running for public office? 1984,1986: Now, apart from contributing to a political party, did you give any money to an individual candidate running for public office? 1988-LATER: During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns Did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?) ALL YEARS: Which party did that candidate belong to?
Valid	1. Democratic party 2. Both Democratic and Republican parties 3. Republican party 7. Other party only; nonpartisan only (1980,1982 only)
Missing	-8. DK (exc. 1980,1982) -9. RF; NA; DK (1980,1982 only); R did not contribute to candidate; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	2020: Data for this variable was restricted in the 2020 Time Series study These data were restricted in the 2020 Time Series study
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1980: V800804,V800806,V800808 1982: V820483,V820485 1984: V840829 1986: V860322 1988: V880831 1990: V900372 1992: V925816 1994: V940813 1996: V961170 1998: V980366

2000: V001230
 2004: V045014a
 2008: V085033a
 2012: mobilpo_ctcbndpty
 2016: V162014a
 2020: V202018 (restricted)

VCF9264 WHAT WAS THE POLITICAL PARTY TO WHICH R GAVE MONEY

Question	<p>(1972,1974: Did you give any money to a political party this year?</p> <p>1976: Did you give any money to a political party or make any other contribution this year?</p> <p>1980-1982: Did you give money to a political party during this election year?</p> <p>1984,1986: During the last year did you give any money to an individual candidate, a political party organization, people supporting a ballot proposition, or to a particular issue or interest group? (IF YES:) Apart from contributions to specific candidates, how about contributions to a political party organization? Did you give money to a political party during this election year?</p> <p>1988-LATER: Did you give money to a political party during this election year?)</p> <p>ALL YEARS: To which party (did you give money)?</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Democratic party 2. Both Democratic and Republican parties 3. Republican party 7. Other party only
Missing	<p>-8. DK (exc. 1980,1982) -9. RF; NA; DK (1980,1982 only); R did not contribute to party; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>2020: Data for this variable was restricted in the 2020 Time Series study These data were restricted in the 2020 Time Series study</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1972: V720473 1974: V742200 1976: V763536 1980: V800812,V800814 1982: V820491,V820493,V820495 1984: V840827 1986: V860324 1988: V880833 1990: V900374 1992: V925818 1994: V940815 1996: V961172 1998: V980368 2000: V001232 2004: V045015a 2008: V085034a 2012: mobilpo_ctbwhpty 2016: V162016a 2020: V202020 (restricted)</p>

VCF9265 PRE-ELECTION: DID R VOTE IN THE STATE PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY ELECTION OR CAUCUS

Question	<p>1972: How about the primary election this year. Did you vote in a Presidential primary this spring or summer?</p> <p>1976: Did you vote in the Presidential primary election?</p> <p>1980: ALL STATES EXC. ARKANSAS, MISSISSIPPI, SOUTH CAROLINA: Your state held a primary election on -date-. Did you vote in that election, or were you unable to do so? ARKANSAS ONLY: Your state held a primary election on -date-. As you may know, the Republican Party did not have a primary contest in Arkansas this year, so there was only a Democratic primary election. Did you vote in that election or were you unable to do so? MISSISSIPPI AND SOUTH CAROLINA ONLY: Your state held a primary election on -date-. As you may know, the Republican Party did not have a primary contest in Arkansas this year, so there was only a Democratic primary election. Did you vote in that election or were you unable to do so?</p> <p>1988: This state held a Presidential primary/caucus election on -date- (KANSAS, MICHIGAN, WYOMING ONLY: its Democratic Presidential nominating caucus on -date- and its Republican caucus on -date-). In talking to people about elections, we find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they didn't meet party qualifications, they were sick, or they just didn't have the time. How about you-- did you vote in that primary election/caucus? (KANSAS, MICHIGAN, WYOMING ONLY: did you vote in either of those caucuses?)</p> <p>1992: This state held a Presidential primary/caucus election on -date- (ARIZONA, IOWA, MINNESOTA, MISSOURI, VIRGINIA, WYOMING ONLY: its Democratic Presidential nominating caucus on -date- and its Republican caucus on -date-). In talking to people about elections (ARIZONA, IOWA, MINNESOTA, MISSOURI, VIRGINIA, WYOMING ONLY: about caucuses), we find that many people did not vote for a variety of reasons. How about you -- did you vote in that primary/caucus? (ARIZONA, IOWA, MINNESOTA, MISSOURI, VIRGINIA, WYOMING ONLY: in either of those caucuses?)</p> <p>2008-LATER: Did you vote in a presidential primary election or caucus (2012,2016: this year)?</p>
Valid	<p>1. Yes 2. No</p>
Missing	<p>-8. DK -9. RF; NA; short or Spanish questionnaire (1992); Form II (1972) INAP. Inap. question not used</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1968: V680139 1972: V720164 1976: V763034 1980: V800371 1988: V880148 1992: V923301 2008: V083077 2012: prevote_primv 2016: V161021 2020: V201020</p>

VCF9266 (HISPANIC RS) FOR INFORMATION ABOUT POLITICS DOES R GET THE MOST INFORMATION FROM SPANISH OR ENGLISH LANGUAGE TELEVISION, RADIO, AND NEWSPAPERS

Question	For information about politics would you say you get the most information from Spanish-language television, radio, and newspapers, or from English-language TV, radio, and newspapers?
Valid	1. English more 2. Both Equally (Bilingual) (VOL) 3. Spanish more 7. Other (SPECIFY)
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; Inap INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V085279 2012: pohisp_hispnews 2016: V162222 2020: V202354

VCF9267 THERMOMETER FOR: MUSLIMS

Question	How would you rate: Muslims {PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}
Valid	1-100
Missing	-7. Don't recognize -8. DK where to rate -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Order of group thermometers was randomized.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2004: V045088 2008: V085065e 2012: ftgr_muslims 2016: V162106 2020: V202168

VCF9268 THERMOMETER FOR: RICH PEOPLE

Question	How would you rate: rich people {PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}
-----------------	---

Valid	1-100
Missing	-7. Don't recognize -8. DK where to rate -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Order of group thermometers was randomized.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1998: V980269 2004: V045082 2008: V085065b 2012: ftgr_rich 2016: V162105

VCF9269 THERMOMETER FOR: CHRISTIANS

Question	How would you rate: Christians {PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?}
Valid	1-100
Missing	-7. Don't recognize -8. DK where to rate -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Order of group thermometers was randomized.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V085065g 2012: ftgr_xian 2016: V162107 2020: V202169

VCF9270 HARDWORKING-LAZY (7PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR WHITES

Question	Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that almost all of the people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course you may choose any number in between. Where would you rate whites in general on this scale? 2000 TELEPHONE: Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. Imagine a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first question a score of 1 means that you think
-----------------	---

almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

Valid	1. Hard-working 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Lazy
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Scale administered first for whites, then for other racial groups in random order. 2008 NOTE: ACASI administration
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V926221 1996: V961311 2000: V001574 2004: V045222 2008: V083207a 2012: stype_hwkwhite 2016: V162345 2020: V202515

VCF9271 HARDWORKING-LAZY (7PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR BLACKS

Question	<p>(Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that almost all of the people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course you may choose any number in between.)</p> <p>(Where would you rate) blacks (on this scale)? 2000 TELEPHONE: Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)</p>
Valid	1. Hard-working 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Lazy
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

Notes	Scale administered first for whites, then for other racial groups in random order. 2008 NOTE: ACASI administration
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V926222 1996: V961312 2000: V001575 2004: V045223 2008: V083207b 2012: stype_hwkblack 2016: V162346 2020: V202516

VCF9272 HARDWORKING-LAZY (7PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR HISPANIC-AMERICANS

Question	(Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that almost all of the people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course you may choose any number in between.) (Where would you rate) Hispanic-Americans (on this scale)? 2000 TELEPHONE: Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)
Valid	1. Hard-working 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Lazy
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Scale administered first for whites, then for other racial groups in random order. 2008 NOTE: ACASI administration
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V926224 1996: V961313 2000: V001576 2004: V045224 2008: V083207c 2012: stype_hwkhisp 2016: V162347 2020: V202517

VCF9273 HARDWORKING-LAZY (7PT) SCALE PLACEMENT FOR ASIAN AMERICANS

Question	<p>(Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that almost all of the people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course you may choose any number in between.)</p> <p>(Where would you rate Asian-Americans (on this scale)?</p> <p>2000 TELEPHONE:</p> <p>Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)</p>
Valid	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hard-working 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Lazy
Missing	<p>-8. DK</p> <p>-9. RF; NA; no post data</p> <p>INAP. Inap. question not used</p>
Notes	<p>Scale administered first for whites, then for other racial groups in random order.</p> <p>2008 NOTE:</p> <p>ACASI administration</p>
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	<p>1992: V926223</p> <p>2000: V001577</p> <p>2004: V045225</p> <p>2008: V083207d</p> <p>2012: stype_hwkasian</p> <p>2016: V162348</p> <p>2020: V202519</p>

VCF9274 IN AMERICAN LIFE AND POLITICS, DO BLACKS HAVE TOO MUCH, ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT OF, OR TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

Question	<p>ALL YEARS:</p> <p>Some people think that certain groups have too much influence in American life and politics, while other people feel that certain groups don't have as much influence as they deserve.</p> <p>1972-1976:</p> <p>Here are three statements about how much influence a group might have. For each group I read to you, just tell me the number of the statement that best says how you feel: blacks</p> <p>2000:</p> <p>I am going to read you a list of groups, for each one please tell me whether that group has too much influence, just about the right amount of influence or too little influence. What about blacks?</p>
-----------------	--

(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

Valid	1. Too much influence 2. Just about the right amount 3. Too little influence
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	In 1972-1976, the Respondent Booklet was used.
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1972: V720745 1974: V742384 1976: V763570 2000: V001438 2012: racecasi_infwhite

VCF9275 IN AMERICAN POLITICS, DO BLACKS HAVE TOO MUCH, ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT OF, OR TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

Question	Would you say that blacks have too much influence in American politics, just about the right amount of influence in American politics, or too little influence in American politics?
Valid	1. Too much influence 2. Just about the right amount 3. Too little influence
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V085114 2012: racecasi_infblacks 2016: V162323 2020: V202495

VCF9277 DOES WORKING/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF R WORK FOR SOMEONE ELSE, SELF, OR WHAT

Question	Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed, or what?
Valid	1. Someone else 2. Other and self (both) 3. Self only
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; not currently working or temporarily laid off INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Applies to all working Rs, regardless of number of hours

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860624 1988: V880438 1990: V900575 1992: V923925 1994: V941226 1996: V960668 1998: V980631 2000: V000972 2004: V043280 2008: V083236 2012: dem_semplnow 2016: V161293

VCF9278 DOES WORKING/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF R WORK FOR THE FEDERAL, STATE, OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Question	Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?
Valid	1. Yes 2. No
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; not currently working/TLO; works only for self INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	Applies to all working Rs, regardless of number of hours
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1986: V860625 1988: V880439 1990: V900576 1992: V923926 1994: V941227 1996: V960669 1998: V980632 2000: V000973 2004: V043281 2008: V083237 2012: dem_empgovnow 2016: V161294

VCF9279 DOES R CONSIDER SELF TO BE HETEROSEXUAL OR STRAIGHT, HOMOSEXUAL OR [GAY/LESBIAN], OR BISEXUAL

Question	Do you consider yourself to be heterosexual or straight, homosexual or gay (or lesbian), or bisexual?
Valid	1. Heterosexual or straight 2. Bisexual 3. Homosexual or gay (or lesbian) 4. Something else (2020)
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; Inap INAP. Inap. question not used

Notes	2008 NOTE: ACASI administration
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V083209 2012: orientn_rgay 2016: V161511 2020: V201601

VCF9280 DOES R KNOW ANYONE AMONG FAMILY AND FRIENDS WHO IS BISEXUAL OR GAY

Question	Among your immediate family members, relatives, neighbors, co-workers, or close friends, are any of them gay, lesbian, or bisexual as far as you know?
Valid	1. Yes 2. No
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA INAP. Inap. question not used
Notes	2008 NOTE: ACASI administration
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	2008: V083210 2012: orientn_knowgay 2016: V161512 2020: V202471

VCF9281 DOES R HAVE ANY (1994: SOME) KIND OF HEALTH INSURANCE

Question	Do you presently have any (1974: some) kind of health insurance?
Valid	1. Yes 2. No
Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA; short or Spanish questionnaire (1992) INAP. Inap. question not used
Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
Sources	1992: V923714 1994: V941022 2004: V043068 2008: V083060 2012: health_insured 2016: V161112 2020: V201620

VCF9282 IS R LIVING WITH FAMILY MEMBERS

Question	Are you living at home with one or more persons who are family members?
-----------------	--

Valid	1. Yes 2. No
--------------	-----------------

Missing	-8. DK -9. RF; NA INAP. Inap. question not used
----------------	---

Weight	VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
---------------	----------------------------

Sources	2008: V083056 2012: finance_finfam 2016: V161109 2020: V201501
----------------	---
